

## A year of living dangerously

### Govt must restore order in the run-up to the February election

It is disheartening that what began as a year of political transition has ended in a grim tableau of lawlessness. Two rights organisations have described the situation as “unstable and deeply concerning,” a verdict borne out most starkly by a surge in lynchings and mob violence. Ain o Salish Kendra (ASK) recorded at least 197 deaths in mob attacks in 2025, up from 128 the previous year. Manabadhikar Shongskriti Foundation (MSF) puts the number of deaths from lynchings at 166. The victims of mob violence cut across society—men and women, members of religious minorities, and even freedom fighters—and the trend seemed to intensify as the year wore on.

On December 18, for instance, a mob in Mymensingh beat a garment worker, Dipu Chandra Das, before burning him to death over unproven allegations of blasphemy. Around the same time in Dhaka, mobs looted and torched the offices of *The Daily Star* and *Prothom Alo*. It feels distant now that, following the bloody uprising in 2024, people expected the interim government to restore order. Instead, targeted repression by the previous regime has been replaced by the chaotic tyranny of the street. Mobs have vandalised statues, harassed women, and attacked cultural institutions. Hindu homes and temples have also been targeted. The streets have grown unruly, while law enforcement was absent in many cases.

Election-related violence has added another dimension. After the election schedule was announced, MSF documented 26 incidents linked to nominations and campaigning, leaving three people dead and 249 injured. In one chilling episode, Sharif Osman Hadi, a leader of Inqilab Moncho and a potential candidate in the Dhaka-8 constituency, was shot dead in a daylight attack. Alongside this breakdown, another disturbing pattern persists. ASK documented 38 extrajudicial killings in 2025, all reportedly occurring during so-called “crossfire” or “gunfights” while suspects were in custody. At least 116 inmates died in prison, with most deaths officially attributed to illness, although cases of suicide, torture, and unexplained fatalities were also reported. Perhaps most chilling is the discovery of 641 unidentified bodies, many found bound with tape and dumped by roadsides or in rivers.

Press freedom—often the first casualty of disorder in Bangladesh—has also been suffocating. Beyond the arson attacks on media offices, three journalists were killed during the year, and nearly 400 others were harassed or abused. MSF cited the continued abuse of laws to intimidate and silence reporters.

As we have said before, the interim administration cannot simply wait out the clock. It must work proactively to ensure that peace in the run-up to the February election is not marred by chaos. If it can hold the line, the post-election period may, we hope, serve as a circuit-breaker to prevent violence from becoming a permanent feature of our national life; a government elected with a genuine mandate could muster the authority needed to rein in mobs and dismantle the culture of impunity. That is perhaps the narrow path out of this morass.

## Healthcare sector in disarray

### Service breakdowns show why reforms are vital

We are concerned about the persistent chaos in our healthcare sector. The year 2025 was particularly troubling, as per a report by this daily, with prolonged service disruptions, medicine shortages, and policy paralysis. Thousands of people were deprived of essential care as a decades-long operational arrangement was abruptly scrapped without an effective alternative in place. At a time when the country is grappling with rising disease burdens and growing healthcare needs, these disruptions have exposed serious weaknesses in planning, coordination, and governance.

Trouble began with the sudden halt of USAID funding that affected critical programmes including tuberculosis control initiatives. The situation worsened when the interim government scrapped the proposed fifth Health, Population, and Nutrition Sector Programme (HPNSP), ending a framework that had guided health, nutrition, and family planning services since 1998. While the government argued that integrating sectoral programmes into regular ones would improve coordination, the transition was poorly managed. Months passed before alternative projects were approved, leaving gaps that directly harmed service delivery.

Reportedly, hundreds of upazila-level hospitals faced disruptions in the supply of medicines for chronic conditions such as diabetes and hypertension. Around 14,500 community clinics—serving nearly half a million people daily—experienced prolonged shortages of essential drugs after procurement stalled for almost a year. Even when funding was later allocated, bureaucratic complications again halted procurement. Moreover, dengue outbreaks overwhelmed hospitals, influenza infection rates reached a record high, and the total fertility rate rose for the first time in five decades, signalling setbacks in preventive and family planning services.

While the promotion of over 6,000 doctors was a welcome step, placing most of them in supernumerary posts without adequate infrastructure or deployment planning has limited its benefit. This again reflects the absence of efficient leadership and long-term planning, a major weakness of our health sector. Meanwhile, the recommendations of the Health Sector Reform Commission—ranging from making primary healthcare a constitutional obligation to establishing independent and decentralised health authorities—were widely recognised as pragmatic and necessary to make healthcare efficient, accountable, and accessible to all, but little visible effort has been made to translate these proposals into concrete action.

Overall, abrupt policy decisions, weak administrative capacity, and neglect of reform have left the sector in a fragile state, depriving many of much-needed care. We hope the next elected government will take it upon itself to rid the sector of these long-standing challenges, but that doesn’t mean that those in charge now should abdicate their responsibility for stabilising services and laying the groundwork for meaningful reform. Together, they must ensure better budget utilisation, continuity of essential programmes, and the implementation of long-discussed reforms. Otherwise, the vision of a people-oriented healthcare system will remain a distant dream.

# The magic of Khaleda Zia

## Her last speeches call for a politics of ‘no vengeance’



### THE THIRD VIEW

Mahfuz Anam  
is editor and publisher of *The Daily Star*.

MAHFUZ ANAM

People’s presence said it all. The millions who poured in last Wednesday at her namaz-e-janaza did so out of a love for her that cannot be measured by the usual standards applied to political leaders. They came spontaneously, driven by an inner urge to show their respect to a person who they felt represented them. The bonding was more subconscious than political, and definitely not partisan, for most of the people who attended the janaza were members of the public in general. It was quite unbelievable how magically she had touched the hearts of so many ordinary people.

Since the road in front of our office was closed to traffic, I had the privilege of being part of the stream of people heading towards Manik Mia Avenue. As I walked along, I felt a sense of sorrow that sprang from a far deeper feeling than one usually witnesses on such occasions. People maintained a dignified silence as they walked on, showing with every step a deep sense of loss they did not quite know how would be filled. Obviously, there can be no reliable estimate of how many people attended the janaza, but the eye estimates by my colleagues and me converged on millions, as we also put in our headline the next day. Despite the sheer scale of the gathering and the pressures it must have created, the overall discipline was striking. They

**In our view, the most meaningful way to pay tribute to the memory of Khaleda Zia is to take her last messages seriously and embark on the path of rebuilding Bangladesh with love, compassion and understanding. The first and foremost step is to unite behind democratic values and norms, the most crucial of which is holding a free, fair and inclusive election. Any attempt to postpone or disrupt it should be exposed for what it really is: an attempt to harm Bangladesh.**

came, they mourned, and they left, all with a dignity and composure that represented the most important values Khaleda Zia symbolised. There was a calmness in her persona, which she seemed to have conveyed effectively to her supporters.



People came spontaneously to attend Khaleda Zia’s janaza, driven by an inner urge to show their respect to a person who they felt represented them.

PHOTO: RASHED SUMON

sight—which is what being out of power means—is usually being out of mind. But in Khaleda Zia’s case, the oppression meted out to her only increased her popularity, and public respect grew by leaps and bounds. That sentiment remained hidden until people could finally express it at her janaza.

On August 7, 2024, within two days of Hasina’s fall, Khaleda Zia addressed her first public meeting since her arrest in 2018 via Zoom. Her main message—apart from thanking the students for the movement and her party workers for their support—was that we must build a “knowledge-based, democratic Bangladesh” “free from exploitation,” with “merit and competence” by “strengthening the hands of our youth.” However, the most important message was her call for a politics of “no destruction, no revenge, no retaliation, but one of love, peace, and a knowledge-based society.” In her second address, on February 27, 2025, again on Zoom from London, where she had gone for advanced medical treatment, she recalled her husband Shaheed President Ziaur Rahman’s statement that “the party is bigger than the individual, and the country is bigger than the party.” She also reiterated her earlier message of shunning the politics of revenge and retaliation and replacing it with mutual love and camaraderie to

caretaker/interim governments in 1991, 1996, 2001, and 2006, the institutions of elections—and voters’ freedom to choose their representatives freely—were progressively weakened. Their logic, as we once noted in an article, appeared to be that “an election is only free and fair if I win it...” With every election, mutual hostility became more and more visceral, and politics reduced itself to “revenge and retaliation.”

Since this piece is not an evaluation of her total political legacy, we will write about her successes and failures separately. Today, our purpose is to focus on the significance of her last messages before she embarked on her eternal journey.

What makes her recent statements particularly valuable is that such messages are rare and, as stated earlier, urgently required at this moment. We need to build Bangladesh anew, and we need to start immediately, the urgency of which will be proved by holding a free and fair election. A similar message has also come from Tarique Rahman. He has every reason to be bitter and hateful towards Sheikh Hasina and her government. The exile, the many cases, and the personal humiliation he suffered—including a court order that prevented the media from publishing any statement made by him—could easily have made him vengeful. Yet, so far, in his numerous virtual speeches

The interim government should have gone for an election much earlier. To make up for that error of judgement, it should now tolerate no attempt to disrupt our journey towards the election, which, thankfully, it seems to be doing. The quicker we can transition to a government elected by the people, the faster our development process can restart. The law and order situation is presently at its worst, which has created a sense of uncertainty and fear among the public. This is the first and most fundamental function of any government. Stability in governance requires the early restoration of an elected government. The practice of mobs creating terror by hurting individuals and institutions must be stopped. Whatever public grievances exist should wait until the coming of an elected government.

It could be that the election will have many flaws. In Third World countries, they usually do. The non-inclusion of Awami League in it will obviously generate debate. However, it should be remembered that the AL expressed no regret whatsoever over the deaths of 1,400 ordinary people (UN findings), including many students and children, within the final weeks of its rule. This has further alienated it from the people.

We have had an almost year-long process to agree on reforms. There were numerous discussions on the July National Charter and its final approval. We now have a decision to go for a referendum. Unfortunately, this will complicate election-day proceedings. Most concerning is the fact that the referendum on the July charter remains largely undecipherable for ordinary voters because of the language used.

What concerns us even more is the lack of clarity in party manifestos. We have heard some generalities about post-election plans, but specifics remain elusive. Bangladesh’s economy rests on three pillars: agriculture, expatriate labour earnings, and the RMG sector. Our farmers mostly do not receive their due, with profits pocketed by middlemen. A section of our expatriate workforce remains trapped in the grip of inhuman exploitation. We talk a great deal about RMG, yet real solutions to its fundamental problems remain ignored. These three sectors must receive due importance in political parties’ plans.

We have heard almost nothing from political parties about addressing the challenges related to the climate crisis, despite Bangladesh being on the frontline. It remains a mystery why the Professor Yunus-led government did not place sufficient focus on this existential issue. Another vital area requiring emphasis is employment generation, especially for our youth. Here again, the relative inaction of the present government is inexplicable. There should have been a special effort on these issues to prepare recommendations for immediate implementation by the elected government.

Let us be clear: without adequate preparation to face the climate crisis, and without ensuring sustained economic growth, Bangladesh’s future will remain extremely vulnerable.



ILLUSTRATION: BIPLOB CHAKROBORTY

When considered against the background that she had been out of power since 2006, in jail and under house arrest for seven of her later years, and consistently and cruelly vilified by the Hasina regime for most of the 15 years of Awami League rule, the honour displayed to her memory at the janaza is nothing short of a miracle. It seems the more Hasina vilified her, the more people’s love for Khaleda Zia grew. In our political culture, being out of

build a new Bangladesh.

The reason we refer to the above two speeches is Khaleda Zia’s insistence that we should all—including her own party—shun the politics of revenge and retaliation. It is perhaps the most important message the country needs at this moment: to move away from a mob culture that seems to be engulfing us across various sectors. It is a testimony to her wisdom, tolerance, maturity, and democratic values. When

made from London, and in the speech he delivered at the mammoth welcome gathering upon his return on December 25, his messages have focused more on the future than the past. This indicates political maturity, signalling an essential shift away from the kind of politics that has harmed us in so many ways.

In our view, the most meaningful way to pay tribute to the memory of Khaleda Zia is to take her last