

WORLD REFUGEE DAY

‘Realistically, repatriation is not possible at this time’

International Rescue Committee’s (IRC) country director in Bangladesh, Hasina Rahman, discusses the ongoing crises at Rohingya refugee camps, fund cuts and Bangladesh’s role in preserving their dignity and facilitating repatriation in a conversation with Tamanna Khan of The Daily Star.

What is IRC’s scope of work in Bangladesh?

Before we get into what the International Rescue Committee (IRC) is doing in Bangladesh, here is a quick overview of who we are.

The IRC was founded in 1933 at the call of Albert Einstein to help people fleeing conflict and persecution. Today, we work in over 40 countries, responding to some of the world’s toughest crises. Whether conflict, disaster, or displacement, our mission is to help people survive, recover, and rebuild—with a strong focus on women and girls, who are often the hardest hit.

In Bangladesh, our story goes back to the 1971 Liberation War, when we supported Bangladeshi refugees in India with health and education programmes. We relaunched our country programme in 2017 in response to the Rohingya refugee crisis. Since then, we have been active across all Rohingya camps and in host communities in Cox’s Bazar and southern districts like Satkhira, Khulna, Barishal, Barguna, and Patuakhali.

Our work is locally led and designed to meet the full range of needs in crisis-affected communities. We provide health care, including reproductive health, education for children and youth, protection services for women and children, and support for people to develop skills that help them earn a living again. We also help communities prepare for future disasters, which are becoming more frequent due to climate change.

Everything we do is grounded in humanitarian principles and delivered in partnership with Bangladeshi NGOs and community-based groups. Our priority is to reach those most at risk: women, girls, children, persons with disabilities, and the elderly.

Since 2017, we have reached over 1.8 million people in Bangladesh with essential services that restore dignity, safety, and hope.

What’s the situation right now in the Rohingya camps? Do they have what they need?

Right now, over a million Rohingya refugees are living in what has become the world’s largest and most crowded refugee settlement in Cox’s Bazar. Conditions are getting tougher by the day, especially for women and children, who make up more than half the population.

The biggest challenge? Funding. The 2025 Joint Response Plan has appealed for over \$930 million, but so far, below 20 percent of that has been received. That means the response is

under enormous pressure, and humanitarian groups can focus only on the most life-saving services. Things like protection, education, and skills development are being pushed aside, not because they are not essential, but because there simply is not enough funding.

With the monsoon being active in full swing, the situation is even more difficult. Flooding and landslides are already affecting camps and surrounding host communities. Many shelters are not strong enough to withstand the weather, and basic infrastructure is fragile. Learning centres are shutting down due to a lack of funds, leaving nearly half a million children without access to education. Older youth have no formal education, no training, and no jobs, leaving them vulnerable to risky or harmful alternatives.

We are also seeing more dangerous trends. Desperate for safety, many refugees are turning to unsafe boat journeys, and tragically, some are losing their lives at sea. Women and girls face growing risks of gender-based violence, even while doing everyday things like collecting water or using toilets. Camp security is deteriorating, and there are alarming reports of forced recruitment by armed groups.

Mental health is another major concern. Many people are dealing with trauma, anxiety, and distress, but with limited funding, access to psychosocial support has become extremely difficult.

And on top of all this, over 1.5 lakh Rohingya refugees have newly arrived in recent months, fleeing fresh violence in Myanmar. Most remain unregistered and cannot access basic services or protection. Host communities, too, are under serious pressure, sharing limited resources while dealing with economic hardship and the growing impact of climate change.

In short, the situation is critical. Without immediate and sustained international support, we risk a total collapse of the humanitarian response, putting the lives and dignity of both Rohingya refugees and their Bangladeshi hosts at greater risk.

How are funds cut by donors impacting IRC’s overall work? Would this fund cut push more Rohingya towards illegal activities and joining insurgent groups?

The funding cuts have been incredibly tough. Like many organisations, the IRC has had to make some difficult choices about what we can and cannot continue. We are still delivering life-saving services, like health care, protection, and emergency support. However, critical programmes for longer-



PHOTO: MD SHAHADAT HOSSEN/IRC BANGLADESH
Hasina Rahman with Rohingya children at a learning centre in a Cox’s Bazar camp.

term wellbeing, like those on mental health, education, and skill-building, have taken a hit. And when young people, especially adolescents, do not have access to learning or ways to earn a living, risks grow. We see more early marriages, child labour, and dangerous boat journeys driven by desperation, not choice.

There is no direct evidence linking funding cuts to people joining insurgent groups, but it is fair to say that the longer refugees live in limbo, without education, jobs, or even freedom of movement, the more hopeless and frustrated they will feel. That kind of frustration can lead to instability, not just in the camps, but in surrounding communities and regions too.

That said, it is important to recognise the strength and resilience of the Rohingya community. Most continue to live peacefully despite difficult conditions. But to reduce risks and support their dignity, we need to invest in comprehensive services, especially those that support youth and women. And for that, we need reliable, long-term funding.

What steps can the Bangladesh government take to ensure that the Rohingya at least have the basic minimum welfare?

First, it is important to acknowledge just how much the government and people of Bangladesh have already done. Hosting over a million refugees for nearly eight

years is no small task, and the generosity of communities in Cox’s Bazar is truly commendable.

That being said, as the crisis becomes more prolonged, we have to think about how to move beyond just survival and look toward dignity and stability. That starts with ensuring that the refugees have continued access to basic services such as health care, shelter, education, and skill development, and that they can live safely and with some predictability.

One key area is participation. The Rohingya refugees must have a voice in the decisions that shape their daily lives and futures. Whether it is services, safety, or potential repatriation, their perspectives on these matters. Supporting mechanisms that allow them to speak up—especially women, youth, and other marginalised groups—is critical. The Government of Bangladesh can play a leading role here by enabling safe and structured ways for Rohingya voices to be included in policy and programme decisions. Not only is it the right thing to do, but it also helps build trust and strengthens future solutions.

Women and children, in particular, need more protection and access to justice, especially when they face violence. Creating safer environments, including better lighting, secure facilities, and clear pathways for reporting abuse, makes a big difference.

There is also a real need to expand opportunities—formal education for

children, skills training for the youth, and income-generating options for adults. And safe, regulated freedom of movement would go a long way in helping people access these services and contribute more meaningfully to their communities.

Finally, none of this can happen in isolation. Continued engagement with international and regional partners—ASEAN, the UN, and donor countries—is key to keeping momentum and resources going. Long-term solutions will take time, but right now, we can focus on ensuring dignity, inclusion, and hope for the Rohingya people.

During the UN secretary-general’s visit this year, he mentioned the safe repatriation of Rohingya refugees. What is its possibility in your view? What can Bangladesh do to expedite safe Rohingya repatriation?

The UN secretary-general’s call for safe and voluntary repatriation was an important reminder that return is the ultimate goal, but it has to be the right kind of return. Right now, conditions in Myanmar are simply not safe. Violence in Rakhine State continues, and there are major political, security, and humanitarian issues. So realistically, repatriation is not possible at this time.

That is where Bangladesh’s role becomes vital. Continued diplomatic and international engagement with regional partners and platforms like ASEAN, the UN, donor countries, and even Myanmar needs to be pushed for the kind of changes that would make a return possible.

Meanwhile, Bangladesh can use its voice on global platforms to advocate for ongoing humanitarian support and push for complementary pathways, like third-country resettlement for the most at-risk refugees. These efforts are part of a broader, shared responsibility to ensure that Rohingya refugees do not remain stuck in limbo forever.

The upcoming UN high-level conference on the Rohingya in New York on September 30, 2025, is a critical opportunity to keep global attention on the crisis. We hope the conference will lead to renewed political commitment, increased funding for the humanitarian response, and a stronger push for complementary pathways, including safe, voluntary, and dignified return when conditions allow, and meaningful support for host communities.

With the right kind of collaboration and international pressure, we can work towards a future where a safe and dignified return is truly possible.

From livestream genocide in Gaza to the war on Iran



Jamal Kanj
is the author of *Children of Catastrophe: Journey from a Palestinian Refugee Camp to America*, and other books. He writes frequently on Arab world issues for various national and international commentaries.

JAMAL KANJ

The Orwellian G7 statement described Israel’s military attacks on Iran as “self-defence.” By twisting language to fit political ends, the communiqué normalises aggression and offers diplomatic cover for Israel’s serial violations of international law. Rather than condemning Israel’s dangerous escalation, the G7 resorts to vague calls for “de-escalation,” effectively endorsing impunity under the guise of neutrality.

Conspicuously absent from the statement was any mention of Israel’s use of starvation as a weapon against 2.3 million Palestinians in Gaza, Israeli violation of the ceasefire agreement in Lebanon or its years-long bombing of Syria. In effect, the G7 has now aligned itself fully with Netanyahu’s open-ended wars.

The Iranian nuclear programme was recently confirmed by the head of the US intelligence community, in testimony to Congress, stating that Iran is not building a nuclear weapon. Yet the G7’s statement reflects not objective assessment, but political posturing—another expression of Western supremacy towards non-Western nations. Nowhere is this bias more dangerous than in Washington and Europe’s tacit endorsement of Israeli attacks on Iran’s civilian nuclear facilities—sites that are safeguarded under international treaties. Such actions constitute a blatant violation of Article 56 of the Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions, which prohibits targeting nuclear power facilities.

Striking an operating enrichment

plant or spent fuel pool poses a grave danger. Such an act could release massive amounts of radiation, leading to civilian deaths and contaminating aquifers, farmland, and entire ecosystems for generations. The effect would be tantamount to a nuclear attack, regardless of the delivery method. Yet, Western capitals that rightly warn of similar dangers at Ukraine’s Zaporizhzhia plant paradoxically endorse Israeli raids under the euphemism of “self-defence.”

The spectre of a catastrophic leak is almost certainly why Israel has so far held back from bombing Iran’s deeply buried Fordow enrichment complex, where uranium is refined to 60 percent. The environmental, diplomatic, and regional fallout could be incalculable. While Netanyahu wants to see the facility destroyed, he prefers on delegating that risk to the US, betting that the Trump administration will be more willing to shoulder the consequences.

Targeting nuclear infrastructure—civilian or military—sets a dangerous precedent. It ignores lessons from Chernobyl and Fukushima, shatters the taboo against striking nuclear plants, and exposes the hypocrisy of Western states that decry proliferation while tolerating allies flirting with nuclear disaster.

That moral blindness is neither new nor accidental. It is rooted in the same imperial pedigree that nourished slave trade, annihilated Indigenous nations, engineered colonial famines, Holocaust, and

twice unleashed atomic bombs on civilian targets. It is the same so-called Western “civilisation” that supplies the weapons, satellite intelligence, and diplomatic cover enabling Israel to flirt with nuclear catastrophe in Iran and starve children in Gaza. This complicity was laid bare by German Chancellor Friedrich Merz, who openly admitted that Israel is doing

defence enough to make American involvement low-risk for US forces and interests in the region

Into this meticulously staged farce steps Netanyahu himself—a master manipulator who understands Trump’s psychological vulnerabilities better than Trump’s own advisers. All it takes is a single phone call, heavy with flattery and inflated visions

gullible US president with the fantasy that regime change in Iraq would ignite a wave of democracy across the Middle East. Over two decades later, the region—and to a significant extent, the US—is still paying the price for being dragged into a catastrophic foreign war built on lies, hubris, and blind loyalty to Israeli strategic interests.



PHOTO: REUTERS

Smoke rises following an Israeli attack in Tehran, Iran, June 18, 2025.

today “the dirty work for us.”

Prodding Washington to join a new, made-for-Israel American war, Netanyahu’s operatives in the US—driven by an “Israel first” agenda—are working overtime to convince Trump to complete the most difficult phase of Israel’s new Middle East demonic venture. Their argument? That Israel has already crippled Iran’s

of historic greatness. Appealing to Trump’s fragile ego—telling him he’ll be remembered as the “saviour of Israel”—could be enough to fling open the gates to a catastrophic military escalation.

Much like in 2003, when the “Israel First” Jewish neocons, including Netanyahu’s own lies before Congress in 2002, manipulated another

Predicting Trump’s decisions has always been notoriously difficult—not due to any strategic genius, but because of his combustible mix of grievance, ego, and impulsiveness. For example, his trade wars began with sweeping tariffs and unravelled into chaotic carve-outs; his hardline immigration policies crumbled into talks about exempting farm and

hospitality industries. The same erratic pattern defines his foreign policy: bombastic threats, sudden reversals, and renewed aggression whenever flattery intersects with cable news talking points. His unhinged posts and reckless declarations on Iran are no exception—they’re just the latest flare-ups in a long trail of incoherence.

This combustible mix—Israel’s ethically reckless strategy paired with a US president prone to impulsive decision-making—creates a disturbing path to escalation. It risks fulfilling Netanyahu’s ambition to “reshape the Middle East,” a slogan that already produced the 2003 Iraq war. Twenty years after Iraq still bears the scars of that made-for-Israel war; American involvement in a new war on Iran would begin yet another chapter of chaos in Netanyahu’s “new Middle East.”

Western leaders have failed to learn from their catastrophic lessons of history. Time and again, they repeat the same blunders born of arrogance of power—only this time, the stakes are even higher. By offering unconditional support to Israel, they are not merely turning a blind eye; they are actively underwriting Netanyahu’s genocidal policies, and Israeli Jewish supremacy.

Western leaders’ complicity is not passive. They have become enablers—co-authors in the unfolding genocide in Gaza and active sponsors of a potential nuclear catastrophe in Iran. Despite decades of evidence showing how imperial hubris breeds chaos and suffering—from Africa to Vietnam, from Iraq to Libya and beyond—these leaders continue to embrace the illusion that might makes right, enable today’s livestream genocide in Gaza, and pave the way to bring about a nuclear Holocaust in Iran.

This article was first published by Counterpunch.org on June 19, 2025.