## **OPINION** DHAKA THURSDAY JUNE 27, 2024 ASHAR 13, 1431 BS The Daily Star FY2025 budget: Strategic insights on trade policy directions



is chairman of the Policy Research Institute of Bangladesh (PRI)

ZAIDI SATTAR

substitution industries (ISIs), which then serves to discourage export activity because they become less profitable relative to domestic sales. There was high expectation that, following so much discussion on of brand Bangladesh through the emphasis the subject over the past year, the budget, which usually lays down the magnitude and direction of tariff adjustments (indicating not clear from the budget is what strand trade policy direction), would be aggressively

countervailing duties cannot be ruled out. An opportunity was thus missed to move aggressively on the tariff rationalisation path. A notable innovation was the promotion stimulus to "domestic industries." What is

regime intended to prop up domestic import graduation, the prospect of anti-dumping or there is clear evidence that export-oriented industrialisation creates much greater employment than import substituting, domestic market driven industrialisation. In our country, RMG is a case in point.

> The budget may not be the place to on "Made in Bangladesh" strategy for giving articulate the entire strategy for trade policy directions as the country moves into the LDC graduation phase of development. This of this strategy the policymakers espouse. post-budget note is meant to underscore

While fiscal and monetary policy implications system around 2020-2022. The exchange of the FY2024-25 budget are easy to untangle, one needs to drill down deeper to decipher the true stance and direction of trade policy, as immersed in the budget.

As a trade economist, a focus on the government's articulation of trade policy under the rubric of annual budgets has been my raison d'etre for a post-budget column for the past several years. This time is no exception. But it took on greater importance this time in light of the fact that the economy is faced with a number of critical challenges that deserve immediate attention. Regrettably, trade policy has often not been on the radar.

It is fair to say that the domestic side of the equation has been adroitly addressed through a combination of fiscal restraint and a constricted fiscal deficit to complement the inflation-reduction stance of the monetary policy already under way. On the external side, restoring stability in the balance of payments was partly addressed in the weeks preceding the budget presentation by moving to a flexible exchange rate regime-the crawling peg-along with a modest depreciation of the taka on top of the massive depreciation macroeconomic stability, both internal and already enacted over the previous 18 months. external, in order to move forward on a path All eyes have been glued to the one salient macroeconomic indicator of external stability: official foreign exchange reserves.

reserves was the move by the central bank to

rate became significantly overvalued making exports uncompetitive. One indication of this overvaluation was the appreciation of the Real Effective Exchange Rate (REER), a measure of export competitiveness, by over 50 percent compared to 2011.

In FY2022, the dam broke, as it were, and Bangladesh Bank could not avoid letting the exchange rate depreciate a massive 30 percent during 2023, and another six percent in a pre-budget adjustment in May 2024. Such a significant one-time depreciationrather than gradual depreciation over several years-is disruptive and causes a shock to various parts of the economy. The shockinflation, depleting forex reserves, import curbs, balance of payments volatility-had to be mollified with bold measures to restore macroeconomic stability. Unfortunately, for the economy, those measures came late in the day, only in the last three to six months, with the finishing touches to be expected from the FY2025 budget. So, it would be fair to commend the budget policymakers, headed by the finance minister, for deftly putting the finishing touches on restoration of of stable and rising growth that was the stock of Bangladesh's economy for three decades.

Yet, in my view, some more proactivity A pivotal step towards stabilising forex would have been desirable on the trade policy front given that forex reserves had barely

Some more proactivity in the budget would have been desirable on the trade policy front given that forex reserves had barely stabilised at about three and a half months of import cover. Aggressive measures are needed to boost reserves by providing impetus for export growth and remittance inflows. This is where trade policy direction becomes vital for providing the right stimulus to exports.

launch a regime of exchange rate flexibility stabilised at about three and a half months A freely floating exchange rate system is allowed to function, the exchange rate will depreciate when there is an excess demand (via imports) for foreign exchange and will appreciate when there is an excess supply (via exports) of foreign exchange. Thus, this system contains a built-in adjustment process (not instantaneous but over a period) for restoring balance in trade or current account deficits or even the entire balance of payments. Usually, most economies subscribing to the floating exchange rate system adhere to what is called a "managed float." The devil lies in the degree of management of the float. In our case, the managed float became so entrenched over time that the system failed to respond to changes in demand and

(a component of trade policy) shortly before of import cover whereas the dire need is to the budget announcement. Technically, restore a comfortable zone for forex reserves Bangladesh has been on a floating exchange at around five or more months of import rate regime since 2004, when this sort of cover. Aggressive measures are needed to exchange rate system was officially launched. boost reserves by providing impetus for export growth and remittance inflows. This is the epitome of exchange rate flexibility. If where trade policy direction becomes vital for providing the right stimulus to exports. Already, the first step towards stimulating exports has been taken, with the substantial depreciation of the exchange rate that has pretty much eliminated the past appreciation of REER. But one fundamental policy that favours domestic sales over exports-antiexport bias of tariffs-remained unaddressed, though a rare opportunity was presented by the depreciation-induced tariff spike across the board (36 percent depreciation raised all tariffs by 36 percent). It presented the scope for drastic rationalisation of tariffs without the revenue loss implications that continue to haunt the revenue authority. The persistence of anti-export bias

moving to purge anti-export bias of tariff There are two strands to this trade policy the urgency of the task ahead, lest we reach protection in a substantial degree. Indeed, there is a hint that this might be in the offing as the finance minister's budget speech highlights the formulation of National Tariff Policy 2023, which, if implemented in whole or in part, could open the doors for export diversification, enhance product competitiveness, and rationalise tariffs for reducing anti-export bias and ensuring balanced development of import substituting industries. As no implementation programme for NTP 2023 was noticeable in the budget speech, perhaps the programme will be undertaken subsequently, as media reports suggest. If anything, tariff rationalisation was modest as Regulatory Duty (RD) and Supplementary Duty (SD) were withdrawn from 110 tariff lines. The surprising element was the reduction of SD on 172 RMG tariff lines, from 45 percent to 30 percent. Why not completely eliminate SD on RMG imports? Do we really need protective tariff for RMG when we are the second largest apparel exporters in the world? The budget also lays down some feeble tariff rationalisation measures in light of the impending LDC graduation when what was needed was aggressive action. For instance, as 90 percent of SD are applied for protection purposes (higher rates on imports, lower or nil SD on domestic production), this could run afoul of WTO compliance. Though we can hope for some "due restraint" supply, approaching a fixed exchange rate emerges from the high tariff protection on the part of WTO members following second orientation of trade policy? Moreover,

strategy: (a) MADE IN BANGLADESH, SELL IN BANGLADESH; and (b) MADE IN BANGLADESH, SELL IN THE WORLD MARKET.

My reading of the support measures seems to suggest that the preferred policy is geared to the former dispensation. Although export-oriented sectors are also on the radar, the budget seems to focus on promoting several import substituting industries with protection support-raising output tariffs and lowering input tariffs-in a classic demonstration of raising effective protection and, thereby, discouraging exports of the same products via anti-export incentive bias. This is where history of trade and development diverges. The first strand of trade policy, by focusing on the domestic market, has never given growth rates of seven to eight percent on a sustained basis anywhere in the world. There is no historical or cross-country evidence of this happening. No matter how large the domestic market is, it is no match to the size and scale of the world market. It is the second strand of trade policy that has the potential to generate seven, eight, nine percent or even higher growth rates by harnessing the demand of the massive global market. China, Vietnam and several other East Asian countries are classic examples of turning around poor economies into highgrowth, high-income economies within a few decades. Should Bangladesh not opt for the

a cliffhanger on November 24, 2026-the date for graduation out of the LDC status. A crisis always presents opportunities to be seized. In 1990, when the economy faced a macroeconomic and balance-of-payment crisis, the country's policymakers chose to go for radical trade policy reforms, investment liberalisation, and market-oriented reforms. These reforms, though ostensibly incomplete, still gave the impetus for two decades of rapid growth and poverty reduction. Given that the economy is once again faced with heightened degree of macroeconomic and balance-ofpayment challenges, and LDC graduation is imminent, one would be looking for another round of structural reforms at least in the long overdue trade and tax policy arenas in order to restore macroeconomic and balance of-payment stability and resume its long-run rapid growth path. With regard to a medium-term development strategy, the budget may not be the end game. There are signs of change in trade policy directions, but our hope was for more clarity and aggression in moving forward with change. Maybe that part of the agenda for action has been left for postbudget activities. It is important to recognise that trade has been the handmaiden of Bangladesh's development. It will remain so for the next decade and beyond. So, adopting the most dynamic trade policy for future progress is a national imperative now.

VISUAL: SHAIKH SULTANA JAHAN BADHON

## Assange is free, but justice has not been done



Caitlin Johnstone is an Australian journalist.

## **CAITLIN JOHNSTONE**

Julian Assange is free. As of this writing, he is en route to Australia from the Northern Mariana Islands, a remote US territory in the western Pacific, after finalising a plea deal with the US government which will see him sentenced to time served in Belmarsh Prison. Importantly, according to experts I've seen commenting on this astonishing new development, it doesn't appear that his plea deal will set any new legal precedents that will be harmful to journalists going forward. Joe Lauria reports the following for Consortium News:

"Bruce Afran, a US constitutional lawyer, told Consortium News that a plea deal does not create a legal precedent. Therefore Assange's deal would not jeopardise journalists in the future of being prosecuted for accepting and publishing classified information from a source because of Assange's agreeing to such a charge."

I've obviously got a lot of big feels about all this, having followed this important case

so closely for so long and having put so much work into writing about it. There's so very, very much work to be done in our collective struggle to liberate the world from the talons of the imperial murder machine, but I am overjoyed for Assange and his family, and it feels good to mark a solid win in this fight.

None of this undoes the unforgivable evils the empire inflicted in its persecution of Julian Assange, however, or reverses the worldwide damage that has been done by making a public example of him to show what happens to a journalist who tells inconvenient truths about the world's most powerful government.

So while Assange may be free, we cannot rightly say that justice has been done.

Justice would look like Assange being granted a full and unconditional pardon and receiving millions of dollars in compensation from the US government for the torment they put him through by his imprisonment



WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange arrives at a United States District Court in Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands, US, on June 26, 2024. PHOTO: REUTERS

in Belmarsh beginning in 2019, his de facto imprisonment in the Ecuadorian embassy beginning in 2012, and his jailing and house arrest beginning in 2010.

Justice would look like the US making concrete legal and policy changes

guaranteeing that Washington could never again use its globe-spanning power and influence to destroy the life of a foreign journalist for reporting inconvenient facts about it, and issuing a formal apology to Julian Assange and his family.

Justice would look like the arrest and prosecution of the people whose war crimes Assange exposed, and the arrest and prosecution of everyone who helped ruin his life for exposing those crimes. This would include a whole host of government operatives and officials across numerous countries, and multiple US presidents.

Justice would look like a hero's welcome and a hero's honours from Australia upon his arrival, and a serious revision of Canberra's obsequious relationship with Washington.

Justice would look like formal apologies to Assange and his family from the editorial boards of all the mainstream press outlets that manufactured consent for his vicious persecution-including and especially The Guardian-and the complete destruction of the reputations of every unscrupulous "presstitute" who helped smear him over the years.

If these things happened, then we could perhaps argue that justice has been served to some extent. As it stands, all we have is the cessation of one single act of depravity by an empire. We all still live under a globe-spanning power structure which has shown the entire world that it will destroy your life if you expose its criminality, and then stand back and proudly call this justice.

So, I personally think I'm just going to take this one small victory in stride. There is still so much to do, and vanishingly little time to do it. The fight goes on.