

## The rise of personalistic autocracy: What should we do?



**ALI RIAZ** is a Distinguished Professor of Political Science at Illinois State University in the US, a Non-Resident Senior Fellow of the Atlantic Council, and the President of the American Institute of Bangladesh Studies (AIBS). His recent publication is titled 'Pathways of Autocratization: The tumultuous

journey of Bangladeshi politics.'

**DEMOCRACY?** 

thousand cuts. They claim that decimation of democracy are democratization.

These counterfeit democracies their system is a different kind of overlooked by citizens. Besides, **WHAT IS A PERSONALISTIC** are described as hybrid regimes. democracy and use culture and/ these counterfeit democracies Those who are in power in or religion as the justification can fool the international The second phenomenon hybrid regimes manipulate the for discounting the defining community. These rulers have constitution to their benefit elements of democracy, such as external backers who shield and rig the election to the freedom of speech and assembly, them from any kind of adverse the global scene and warrants extent that it is no longer an representation through fair consequences. Many of these our attention. According to instrument of democracy but process, and accountability. hybrid regimes continued becomes a tool to gain a veneer The number of such regimes to be in the grey zone for of legitimacy. These rulers has increased over the years decades, but some have muted unleashed a process that would because the incremental to become closed autocracies; ensure democracy's death by a nature of the decline and very few experienced successful. In one sense personalism in

**AUTOCRACY?** 

personalistic autocracy - is an evolving characteristic of available data, in 1988 these regimes constituted 23 percent of all autocracies, by 2010 the percentage reached 40 percent. politics is nothing new, but what

makes it distinctly different in recent decades is that this has become a defining feature of a regime, or in other words, it has become a category of existing regimes. Autocratic regimes which emerged after WWII were led by parties or military juntas. They were, in large measure, collective leadership. Until the late 1980s, this was the major trend. As the Third Wave of democracy began in the mid-1970s, this particular feature of the undemocratic regime dissipated. After the end of the Cold War, personalistic rule in non-democracy began to emerge.

Political scientists Andrea Kendell Taylor, Carisa Nitsche, Erica Frantz, and Joseph Wright, who have been studying this phenomenon for the past decade, identified personalism as the 'domination of the political realm by a single individual'. They noted that, in some instances, personalist leaders dominate a respective country's political system 'to such a degree they become virtually indistinguishable from the regimes they rule.' Erica Frantz elsewhere described this kind of regime as a 'personalist dictatorship'. In her description, 'personalistic dictatorships [are] those regimes where power is concentrated in the hands of an individual rather than a political party, royal family, or military junta.' It is common knowledge that autocratic leaders are characterized by their centralized unilateral decision making style, and they demand unquestioning obedience to their

directives and personal loyalty. SEE PAGE 34











