

May this Victory Day be a turning point

After 52 years, do we practise our founding principles?

It has now been 52 years since we dismantled the shackles of oppression and began penning our own history—as an independent nation, as Bangladesh. Every year on Victory Day, we are reminded of just how much our freedom fighters, intellectuals, women, and children sacrificed to build this country up from the ashes. This year is no different; today we reiterate how indebted we are to the martyrs of the Liberation War, while recalling the pivotal role of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman and the four national leaders in making our dream of a sovereign nation come to life.

Victory Day provides us with an opportunity to reflect on what matters. It's a day to appreciate how far we have come: Bangladesh cannot be ignored anymore, as its economic and political leverage has garnered the attention of regional powers and the international community as a whole. It's also a day to examine what went wrong along this journey; and what's evident is that many of our institutions are now faltering, gravely impacting the lives of citizens.

The country is still on track to grow economically, with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) forecasting six percent growth for fiscal year 2023-24. This is thanks in part to our ready-made garment workers and remitters, who have kept the economic wheel turning despite global shocks like the Russia-Ukraine war. This gives us hope of a better future.

But as much as we want to, we cannot celebrate the present in its entirety, as only a handful of individuals are enjoying the fruits of the country's growth. Inequality has become a plague upon the nation, with the average person struggling to make ends meet.

In November, Bangladesh reeled from 9.49 percent inflation. A kilo of rice now costs Tk 50, while onions have gone for as high as Tk 250 per kilo recently. This struggle for survival led to RMG workers protesting for a higher minimum wage. But that legitimate demand, coming from one of the biggest driving forces of our economy, was quashed with little to no consideration. Our constitutional guarantees of freedom of expression and association, among other fundamental rights, are regularly being trampled upon, leaving us wondering whether our political class has forgotten what our martyrs sacrificed their lives for, in their self-motivated pursuit of power.

Meanwhile, we should be proud of Bangladesh being at the forefront of climate action, speaking on behalf of all climate-vulnerable nations on the international stage. The country has taken robust measures to be climate resilient, becoming a role model in the process. But this very country is one of the most polluted in the world, due to its own misactions. Brick kilns and construction work continue to wreak havoc on the environment, leading to people suffering from all kinds of health complications. After 52 years of independence, our citizens deserve to breathe in fresh air, at the very least.

There are many other achievements to appreciate, alongside a host of problems to be concerned about. But let this Victory Day, in addition to being a reminder, also act as a turning point for us to recalibrate and steer our country towards the right direction. We must take lessons from our past mistakes, and march to prosperity, because that is what we owe to those who have given us their all, who have paved this path to freedom.

We need action, not just commitments

COP28 promises much, but fails to deliver the means

Long before it kicked off, COP28 had been at the centre of a heated debate, with critics arguing that the summit president Sultan Al Jaber's other role as chief of the UAE's national oil company was a clear conflict of interest, while his proponents argued his oil industry background would enable him to better bring the fossil fuel industry in line with climate commitments. Unsurprisingly, this debate is still ongoing with regards to the climate conference's outcomes.

The focus has been on a landmark deal that, for the first time, calls on all nations to transition away from fossil fuels. Hailed for finally addressing the source of the climate emergency, it reinforces the commitment to limit global heating to 1.5°C above preindustrial levels, and commits to tripling global renewable energy capacity. However, the deal has also been roundly criticised for failing to include any explicit reference to the phasing out of fossil fuels, and for leaving a number of loopholes that would allow many of the worst polluting countries to continue business as usual.

Climate justice advocates and leaders of climate-vulnerable nations have also expressed their disappointment at how ambiguous the deal is in terms of climate financing, despite the acknowledgement that trillions of dollars will be needed to combat the climate crisis. And although the much-needed loss and damage fund has finally been put into operation, the money pledged so far is only a drop in the ocean of what is required. There is a notable lack of recognition of historic responsibility for the climate breakdown as well. Does this mean that developed countries, which have gotten rich from burning fossil fuels, are now no longer willing to fulfil their obligation to support developing countries in tackling and adapting to a climate crisis that they have overwhelmingly contributed to creating?

This is a worrying development for countries like Bangladesh, since without clear commitments on finance, it will become difficult for us to effectively implement national climate action plans for adaptation and mitigation. Throughout the years, even as the climate crisis has been escalating, we have continued to witness a deplorable lack of urgency and political will from the developed world in terms of funding global climate action. While we appreciate that the latest COP28 deal finally recognises the culpability of fossil fuels, we must point out that simply issuing such statements is no longer enough; they must be followed up with real, quantifiable measures.

EDITORIAL

Do we still have time?

The unfinished struggle for a democratic and egalitarian state

Serajul Islam Chowdhury
is professor emeritus at Dhaka University.

SERAJUL ISLAM CHOWDHURY



The year 1971 was a time of tremendous difficulties. All of us were in grave danger. Every day, every night, and every moment was overshadowed by terror. We were worried about our personal security, and that of our close ones, but we were also busy with other, broader concerns. We would communicate news, try to find out what's going on elsewhere, keep an ear to the radio, and wonder about how we could help the freedom fighters. Of course, those who were actively fighting the war were in dire straits. Terror tailed us everywhere, but we had dreams, too. There was an enormous, collective dream for what the future ahead of us should be like. We had hope that we would chase away the invaders and we would be free. And we worked towards that goal. Everyone was ready to contribute however they could.

We still think and plan, and shudder from nightmares, but the collective dream is long gone. We don't worry about freeing everyone anymore; we only think of ourselves. What will happen to me? What can I get out of something? It's not as though we didn't think in personal terms during 1971. Of course we did. But in those dark times, we knew that our personal freedom was tied up with freedom for all. We knew that if the country couldn't be freed from invaders, none of us would be spared. Thus, the struggle to survive became universal. Back then, not everyone was in the same location. Some were in the country, others were abroad. But wherever we were, the worry was the same: when will we be free?

So what has been our experience since this victory was achieved? Well, it turned out that our community was fractured. Our dreams turned personal; we didn't have time to take into account the collective interest. Yet, there was so much still left for us to achieve together! We needed to eradicate poverty and invest in industry. We needed to be more conscientious, and excel in education. But the collective effort to bring about these improvements never materialised. All that is being done is being done on an individual level.

It is worth figuring out why we can't do things collectively anymore. But once we start thinking, it feels impossible to make sense of the situation. We often blame our political leaders for our failures. It's certainly true that the established political leadership was unable to bring us freedom in its true sense, but it was also through the political leadership that this country achieved independence. They were the ones who had built the movement for our freedom, not bureaucrats, businessmen, or professionals. The only ones who can claim credit for the freedom movement are those who were involved in politics. Only they couldn't take us too far; they reached a limit, and there ended their journey.

Yes, the state has evolved. The state we had during the British colonial era was quite large. But it was reduced in size when Pakistan was established. Bangladesh is even smaller and newer. But how new is it really? Herein lies a crucial problem. We established a new state, but the bureaucratic structure and characteristics of the old British and Punjabi states have remained with us. Independent Bangladesh is

We still think and plan, and shudder from nightmares, but the collective dream is long gone. We don't worry about freeing everyone anymore; we only think of ourselves. What will happen to me? What can I get out of something? It's not as though we didn't think in personal terms during 1971. Of course we did. But in those dark times, we knew that our personal freedom was tied up with freedom for all. We knew that if the country couldn't be freed from invaders, none of us would be spared. Thus, the struggle to survive became universal.

similarly bureaucratic; it has not changed. The laws, the judicial system, the administration, and various law enforcement forces of those times have been left behind to this day.

In a bureaucratic state, the power lies with the bureaucrats. In British India, bureaucrats were everywhere and in everything. During Pakistani rule, too, it was bureaucrats who ruled the state, while their military counterparts conducted the genocide in East Bengal. Even in Bangladesh, we have repeatedly encountered military rule, caretaker governments, and emergencies. Even when it seemed like it was political leaders who were ruling the country, the keys to power remained with the bureaucrats.

Bureaucratic governments can never be democratic; they never have been, and there is no way for them to be so. Democracy requires accountability. With bureaucracy, there is little scope for that. In a democracy, power is distributed among many. But bureaucratic systems lead to the centralisation of power. Public representatives rule in a democracy, and government officers rule in a bureaucracy. Bureaucrats think nothing of the interest of the country, and only consider their own interests.



ARTWORK: SADATUDDIN AHMED

The failure of our political leaders is that they have been unable to dismantle the bureaucratic state and replace it with a democratic one. They gained the power to rule the state and called it a day. And though they soon realised that the true control over power had gone to the hands of bureaucrats, the political leaders thought they had become one with the bureaucracy. Even today, our politicians don't seem to realise that they needed to establish public ownership of a state that had been earned through a public struggle.

The political leadership we speak of isn't any different from a ruling class. A strong ruling class has risen in Bangladesh, which doesn't want the people to prosper, and only wants prosperity for itself. It is this same ruling class that has, at different points in time and under various guises, infiltrated and taken over the state. And, with the help of bureaucrats, this class rules the country. They fight amongst themselves. But these fights, that often turn ugly, are never over ideological differences. After all, the ruling class and the bureaucrats share the same ideology. These fights occur between plunders from both groups. The main stream of politics for them is the fight over spoils.

As for their shared ideology, it is known universally as capitalism. Theoretically, capitalism leads to innovation. But the breed of capitalism that has taken root in our country is not interested in innovation, only in plundering. On top of this, all we are left with are the ills of capitalism, such as the obsession with self-interest and luxury. And this was not the case in 1971.

In 1971, everyone's interests were aligned, and seeking luxury was not even an option. People were only motivated towards freeing the country, and for this they were ready to make sacrifices. The spirit of the Liberation War that we speak of is a democratic one, with its foundation being the establishment of equality in terms of rights and opportunities. It was on the battlefields of 1971 that this equality was realised. The war was not limited to any specific geographic location; it was happening all across the country. And, in a way, the war also took place abroad, given the involvement of expatriates in the freedom movement.

After the war, the ideology of capitalism made a return. The British state was run with that ideology, the Pakistanis were proponents of the same, and now the rulers of Bangladesh have taken refuge in that

same brand of capitalism. As a result, the ideology of the state, let alone the ideology of our society, has remained unchanged.

What do we need to reverse the tide? The first item on the agenda would be employment. The people of this country are not lazy; they want to work. When relief workers visit disaster-stricken areas, the locals appreciate the aid but strongly express their need for employment. This need reverberates all throughout the country. Our people are desperate for work, maniacally chasing opportunities both at home and

Translated from Bangla by Azmin Azran.