



Two upper-level management personnel celebrating their latest profit-making initiative.

PHOTO: KRAKENIMAGES

Managers rejoice as study shows Artificial Intelligence can't replace Genuine Idiocy™

According to a presentation Dr Delman made on his research, the decisions the AI was making were humane, and often prioritised the wellbeing of company employees over the bottom line.

JEAN PAUL SATIRE

A study has come out from the University of Where the Sun Don't Shine, proclaiming that Artificial Intelligence will never be able to replace Genuine Idiocy™.

Dr Cam Delman, a leading researcher in this field, has been grappling with this problem for a long time now. "Industry leaders have been asking this question for years, and I have received tremendous support from CEOs and MDs of leading organisations who were scared that their jobs may be on the chopping block with the rise of AI and automation."

But his research has put leaders across industries at ease, as the decision making and planning abilities of individuals at the helm of large organisations has proven impossible to replicate using AI.

"We have fed the best, cutting edge AI models in the world an enormous training dataset of decisions made by CXOs from some of the most profitable companies in the world. But when we gave these models some real world scenarios and asked them to make decisions that would be beneficial to the companies, the models started giving meaningless results," he added.

According to a presentation Dr Delman

made on his research, the decisions the AI was making were humane, and often prioritised the wellbeing of company employees over the bottom line.

For example, when it was asked what a garment manufacturer should do if living costs were going up for factory workers while there was pressure from buyers to keep manufacturing costs low, the AI kept suggesting that the company should sustain a lower profit margin in the short term, and invest in R&D to boost productivity in the long term.

"But we know from studying and interviewing leaders in the industry that the only logical decision a leader can make in this situation is to force workers to work with lower wages," said Dr Delman, explaining how AI is not fit to lead large organisations.

In another example, the AI model was asked if a company with a promising product should invest all of its remaining capital in marketing, or if it should go for a balanced approach and invest in developing the product and market the end result.

The AI, apparently, exhibiting its complete ineffectiveness in making CXO-level decisions, recommended that the product should be

prioritised, and that marketing should be data driven, and investments should only be made in marketing approaches that have a chance of working.

Travis Bickle, Head of Marketing at Taxify Inc., laughed when this correspondent asked him why the AI was wrong to suggest this, "All marketing is good marketing, and good marketing leads to profits, so the only right approach to running a business is to focus on marketing. In fact, if the product is difficult to market, a company should change the product. Look at us for example, at Taxify, we were supposed to be a company who helps people file their taxes. As that was difficult for me to market, I started a supplementary business where we also provide taxi services to people, which is a bit like Uber but you have to call the cars using your voice and hand signals. Now, our original business is dead and buried, but the taxi business is barely alive, which is a great success!"

Asked if he was scared of AI taking his job, Bickle said, "No chance. What I have is much more impressive than AI, it's GI!"

"Genuine Idiocy?" this correspondent asked.

"What?! No, I meant Grand Intelligence."

"Right, of course."

Bureaucracy marathon receives great response

Many unable to join as their paperwork wasn't approved on time

STRAIGHTFORWARD CORRESPONDENT

Chapasthan's capital witnessed the Bureaucracy Marathon this Friday, an event designed to showcase the bureaucratic madness ingrained in daily life.

The annual spectacle attracted participants from all walks of life, eager to confront the bureaucratic hurdles they face regularly.

The starting line was set at a derelict office building adorned with signs proclaiming, "Welcome to the Bureaucratic Maze." Armed with pens, papers, and a desperate hope for efficiency, contestants braced themselves for the chaotic journey.

The race kicked off with an official blowing a whistle, plunging participants into a world of paperwork, queues, and red tape. Competitors were challenged to navigate through an array of convoluted forms with questions like, "What is the speed of a bureaucratic document?" Bonus points were awarded for creative responses.

Triumphant form-fillers moved to the "Queue Quagmire," facing lines that defied physics. Some queues snaked through hallways and into bathrooms, out of windows, and across planks into neighbouring buildings.

The requisitioning of the planks, this correspondent has learned, took three months.

The unpredictable "Red Tape Tangle" saw blindfolded contestants cutting through actual red tape hanging from the ceiling. Officials in bedazzled suits patrolled, armed with giant stamps ready (not really) to "approve" or "reject" progress.

Near the finish line, participants tackled the "Office Obstacle Course", mimicking the unpredictability of the nation's office hours. They dodged doors labelled "Closed for Lunch" and crawled under desks with "Out for a Tea Break" signs, but reaching the finish line proved elusive.

The event also attracted a significant number of sponsors and event management teams, along with individuals dressed as personal assistants pretending to help participants for a token gift.

There was no official winner of the marathon, which, incidentally, extended beyond its initially planned duration, and was continuing when Satireday went to print.



PHOTO: WESLEY TINGEY

DISCLAIMER

This is a satirical page and should be considered as such. Any resemblance between the published contents here and actual events or individuals, etc. is purely coincidental. The articles and photos published on this page or the views expressed here are the author's own and do not reflect the editorial policy or view of The Daily Star.

‘Digbaji’ celebrity Jaded Khan tumbles head over heels into mathematics

NUTBOLTU

Michael Jackson created the Moonwalk. Tom Cruise likes to run like crazy in every movie. Shakira has hips that don't lie. Clint Eastwood was famous for his line, "Are you feeling lucky, punk?" Chris Brown likes to hit women. Every celebrity has such a "thing". A thing that makes them known for eternity.

Jaded Khan, actor, celebrity, singer, self-proclaimed ladies' man, Rolex ambassador, and earring specialist has literally catapulted himself into fame last week for creating such a "thing". His claim to fame is that of doing cartwheels wherever he goes. He does cartwheels on stage, out of helicopters, into

With each cartwheel or digbaji, he would masterfully drop to the ground on his palms, twist his torso and flip his legs in the air. Then he would end up five inches behind where he started, destroying physics in the process.

public restrooms, and anywhere else people normally just walk. Because, why not?

Why walk when you can potentially break your neck? Fans love danger, the potential for instant death. Jaded Khan has recently updated his Weakipedia

profile adding "digbaji specialist" among his many skills.

Last week he set the internet on fire by plugging his internet router into a wall socket that already had a television, hair dryer, cooker, hair straightener, microwave, and something called a video cassette player plugger in.

His apartment caught on fire along with the internet but he used his movie stunt training to do cartwheels and tumble out the balcony. Experts estimate the cartwheels actually delayed him by four minutes.

With each cartwheel or digbaji, he would masterfully drop to the ground on his palms, twist his torso and flip his legs in the air. Then he would end up five inches behind where he started,

destroying physics in the process.

Mathematicians across the world are confused as to how the numbers add up to subtract. The education ministry has since discarded the classic Bangladeshi math problem of a monkey climbing an oiled vertical pole for being too basic and outdated.

School children and monkeys everywhere are now relieved that that is a thing of the past, much like video cassette players.

Jaded Khan's digbaji is now the new progressive problem. Unfortunately, the new question for school children asks if a celebrity does 15 cartwheels but moves 5 inches back each time, how far has his career progressed?

No solution has yet been offered.



PHOTO: FABIAN FRIEDRICH