

ILLUSTRATION: BIPLOB CHAKROBORTY

## FY24 budget ignores fiscal policy as a tool for equitable growth



Dr Rizwanul Islam adviser to the Employment Sector, International Labour Office, Geneva.

**RIZWANUL ISLAM** 

Inequality has been growing in Conservative Bangladesh over the past couple of trend has to start from a political commitment, an understanding of the factors at work, and adoption of necessary measures to attain the

Instruments of fiscal policy – viz, policies for generating revenues and allocating public expenditures are potential tools for attaining the twin objectives of economic growth and income redistribution. For example, lower tax rates on more. Higher expenditure on goods rise in consumption expenditure. and services, through expanding markets, can create an incentive for investment and output growth. Thus, the goals of equity and growth can be attained simultaneously. On the other hand, the lowering of taxes on profits can be used as a means of promoting growth through the investment route. That route is expected to generate incomes in the hands of lower-income groups indirectly through employment growth which may or may not materialise.

Fiscal policy instruments help move towards a higher growth trajectory and an equitable and just society when an economy relies more on direct taxes, because they can be made progressive. For example, rates of income taxes can be varied by income groups – with progressively higher rates for upperincome groups. Wealth taxes can be progressive if imposed on wealth above a certain level.

Examples of progressive taxes and equitable income distribution are present in the Nordic countries (e.g. Norway, Sweden, Finland, Denmark). In these countries, tax rates for highincome groups are quite high, and income distribution is more equal than other capitalist countries. The rich people in these countries do not resent high tax rates simply because the revenues generated through taxes are utilised efficiently, and benefits are received by the people. Furthermore, the process of tax payment is smooth and hassle-free, and the use of taxpayers' money is

Indirect taxes are by nature regressive, and hence a greater reliance on them go against the principle of equity. For example, VAT and import duties are usually borne by buyers of the relevant goods and services, irrespective of their levels of income. Buyers of all income groups bear these taxes at the same rate. Of course, some nuances can be introduced by using multiple rates - with lower rates for items that are more likely to be bought by the lower-income people in larger quantities.

Tax policies in developed countries usually vary depending on the political party in power.

Republicans in the US, Conservatives decades or so. Any effort at halting in the UK) usually favour low this process and reversing the corporate taxes as a means of encouraging businesses to invest. The argument is that low taxes will encourage investment, and hence growth of output and employment. Democrats and social democrats, on the other hand, favour lower rates of income taxes for lower-income groups and higher expenditures, especially on infrastructure and social sectors like education and health. Lower taxes for low incomes would result in more take-home low-income groups can result in income for such people, which, in higher post-tax incomes in their turn, should encourage investment hands, thus enabling them to spend through the multiplier effect of a

> Going beyond taxes, public expenditure can be used to provide benefits to the poor and lower-income groups. Examples of such expenditures include: i) free healthcare for people of lowincome groups; ii) education grants for children of such groups; iii) employment programmes for the poor; and iv) social safety nets for the poor.

> The tax regimes in Bangladesh are more dependent on indirect taxes like VAT, import duty, etc, and hence are regressive in nature. The incidence of such taxes is usually on the consumers, irrespective of their income levels. In the budget for FY2022-23, the rates of corporate tax were reduced. Although they have been kept unchanged in the proposed budget for FY2023-24, the overall policy environment remains geared towards providing favours to big businesses. This kind of policy is not only not conducive to reducing inequality, but they help exacerbate it.

> Historically, issues of equity and social justice have not been given much importance in the process of budget formulation in Bangladesh. Although there is a brief mention of this issue in the budget speech for FY2023-24, the rhetorical nature of this mention is exemplified by the continued reliance on indirect taxes, reluctance to raise direct taxes (e.g. income tax) on the upper-income groups, and neglect of wealth and inheritance taxes as a means of taxing those who are capable of paying taxes. Instead of doing so, the more regressing path of relying on indirect taxes has continued. The latest example of such an approach is the mandatory contribution of Tk 2,000 irrespective of whether a person has taxable income or not.

> Currently, income tax accounts for only a third of the total tax revenue of the country. The rest comes from VAT, import duty, supplementary duty, etc. Revenue from income tax registered the lowest growth during July-January period of FY2022-23. Direct tax-GDP ratio declined over almost a decade. No attempt has been made to reduce the dependence on indirect taxes.

The only notable effort to raise the political will to do so.

revenues is to expand the tax net. This, of course, is desirable, because out of the 40 million people who are regarded as capable of paying taxes by the National Board of Revenue (NBR), only 8.8 million have TIN and 3.2 million submitted returns in FY2022-23. However, reliance on only this for raising revenues and taxing people even if they don't have taxable income show the government's attitude towards inequality.

While efforts are needed to expand the tax net, not considering additional measures like raising the tax rates for higher income slabs and ignoring the possibility of wealth tax are indicative of the lack of seriousness regarding the issue of equity and social justice.

Coming to public expenditure, beyond free primary education, there is very little by way of propoor policies. Likewise, in health, community clinics were ostensibly thought of as a means for providing health care to the poor, but the quality of services provided through them is a big question. Also important is the issue of healthcare for the urban poor.

Productive employment is an important means of generating income for the poor and lowincome people, and hence policies in this area can have important implications for equitable growth. But the proposed budget, like its predecessors, offers precious little in terms of incentives for job creation by private entrepreneurs. The lone paragraph on the issue in the speech mentions that the government is "implementing suitable programmes for sustainable employment generation," although there is nothing more on what those programmes are. In the paragraph on industry, export diversification and support to labour intensive industries are mentioned, but nothing specific is seen on how to achieve such goals, and especially on how non-RMG labour-intensive industries can be promoted.

Programmes for job creation through infrastructure are common in developing countries, and are used especially when the growth of output fails to generate jobs needed to absorb the growing labour force. Although such programmes continue to exist in Bangladesh, allocations for them have remained static for some years now. In the FY2023-24 budget, the wage rate for such programmes have been raised to Tk 400 per person per day, but that is still lower even than the going wage rate in agriculture.

Social safety nets consist of a plethora of minuscule programmes, many of which were designed basically to serve political purposes. For example, even after the proposed increase to Tk 600 per person per month, the old age allowance will be just about one day's wage in the rural areas. The coverage, despite a small increase, remains small compared to the total number that is potentially eligible.

On the whole, it seems that fiscal instruments as a tool for equitable growth continue to be ignored in the budgetary process. If the government is serious about the issue of equitable growth, it can undertake measures necessary in the areas of revenues and expenditures that are well-known. What is important is

## How JFK would pursue peace in Ukraine

academic, and public policy analyst who serves as the director of the Center for Sustainable Development at Columbia University. He is also the author of 'To Move the World: JFK's Quest for Peace.'

**JEFFREY D SACHS** 

President John F Kennedy was one easy to fall into the trap of insisting of the world's great peacemakers. He led a peaceful solution to the Cuban Missile Crisis and then successfully negotiated the Partial Nuclear Test Ban Treaty with the Soviet Union at the very height of the Cold War. At the time of his assassination, he was taking steps to end US involvement in

In his dazzling and unsurpassed Peace Speech, delivered exactly 60 years ago, on June 10, 1963, Kennedy laid out his formula for peace with the Soviet Union. Kennedy's Peace Speech highlights how Joe Biden's approach to Russia and the Ukraine war needs a dramatic reorientation. Until now, Biden has not followed the precepts that Kennedy recommended to find peace. By heeding Kennedy's advice, Biden too could become a

A mathematician would call JFK's

that only the adversary should change their attitudes and behaviour. Kennedy is very clear, "We must reexamine our own attitude – as individuals and as a Nation – for our attitude is as essential as theirs.'

Kennedy attacked the prevailing pessimism at the height of the Cold War that peace with the Soviet Union was impossible, "that war is inevitable that mankind is doomed – that we are gripped by forces we cannot control. We need not accept that view. Our problems are man-made -

therefore, they can be solved by man." Crucially, said Kennedy, we must not "see only a distorted and desperate view of the other side." We must not "see conflict as inevitable, accommodation as impossible, and communication as nothing more than an exchange of threats." Indeed, said Kennedy, we should "hail the Russian

arms race. Agreements to this end are in the interests of the Soviet Union as well as ours - and even the most hostile nations can be relied upon to accept and keep those treaty obligations, and only those treaty obligations, which are in their own interest."

Kennedy emphasised importance of direct communications between the two adversaries. Peace, he said, "will require increased understanding between the Soviets and ourselves. And increased understanding will require increased contact and communication. One step in this direction is the proposed arrangement for a direct line between Moscow and Washington, to avoid on each side the dangerous delays, misunderstandings, and mis-readings of the other's actions which might occur at a time of crisis."

In the context of the Russia Ukraine war, Biden has behaved almost the opposite of JFK. He has personally and repeatedly denigrated Russian President Vladimir Putin. His administration has defined the US war aim as the weakening of Russia. Biden has avoided all communications with Putin. They have apparently not spoken once since February 2022, and Biden rebuffed a bilateral meeting with Putin at last year's G20 Summit in Bali. Indonesia.

Biden has refused to even



President John F Kennedy delivers the commencement addr ess at American University on June 10, 1963, which later came to be known as the Peace Speech. SOURCE: JFK PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY/WIKIMEDIA COMMONS

speech a "constructive proof" of how to make peace, since the speech itself contributed directly to the Partial Nuclear Test Ban Treaty signed by the US and Soviet Union in July 1963. Upon receipt of the speech, Soviet leader Nikita Khrushchev told Kennedy's envoy to Russia, Averell Harriman, that the speech was the greatest by an American president since Franklin D Roosevelt, and that he wanted to pursue peace with Kennedy.

In the speech, Kennedy described peace "as the necessary rational end [goal] of rational men." Yet, he acknowledged that peacemaking is not easy, "I realize that the pursuit of peace is not as dramatic as the pursuit of war – and frequently the words of the pursuer fall on deaf ears. But we have no more urgent task."

The deepest key to peace, in Kennedy's view, is the fact that both sides want peace. It is easy to fall into the trap, warned Kennedy, of blaming

people for their many achievements – in science and space, in economic and industrial growth, in culture and in acts of courage."

Kennedy warned against putting a nuclear adversary into a corner that could lead the adversary to desperate actions. "Above all, while defending our own vital interests, nuclear powers must avert those confrontations which bring an adversary to a choice of either a humiliating retreat or a nuclear war. To adopt that kind of course in the nuclear age would be evidence only of the bankruptcy of our policy – or of a collective death wish for the world."

Kennedy knew that since peace was in the mutual interest of the US and the Soviet Union, a peace treaty could be reached. To those who said that the Soviet Union would not abide by a peace treaty, Kennedy responded that "both the United States and its allies, have a mutually deep interest in a just a conflict only on the other side. It is and genuine peace and in halting the

acknowledge, much less address. Russia's deep security concerns. repeatedly Russia's ardent opposition to Nato enlargement to Ukraine, a country with a 2,000-kilometre border with Russia. The US would never tolerate a Mexican-Russian or Mexican-Chinese military alliance in view of the 2,000mile Mexico-US border. It is time for Biden to negotiate with Russia on Nato enlargement, as part of broader negotiations to end the Ukraine war.

When Kennedy came into office in January 1961, he clearly stated his position on negotiations, "Let us never negotiate out of fear. But let us never fear to negotiate. Let both sides explore what problems unite us instead of belabouring those problems which divide us." In his Peace Speech, JFK reminded us that what unites the US and Russia is that "we all inhabit and the Soviet Union and its allies, this small planet. We all breathe the same air. We all cherish our children's future. And we are all mortal."

## **CROSSWORD BY THOMAS JOSEPH**

34 "My Fair Lady"

38 Oxford parts

40 Knot of hair

37 Beer type

39 Clickable

pictures

**ACROSS** 1 "Why don't we!" 5 Walk unevently 9 Confusion 10 Pop stars 12 Flared dress 13 Cruller's kin 14 Sand substance 16 Anger 17 Beholds 18 Zany 21 Snaky shape

setting

41 Pitched shelter **DOWN** 1 Easter flowers 2 Banishes 22 Disavowal 3 North African 23 Singer Neville capital 24 Arthur's 4 Blueprint number adviser 26 Used a chair 5 Jar part 29 Of a major 6 Wedding words 7 One of TV's artery 30 Diamond "Friends" corner 8 Like mice and 31 Scary shout men 9 Billiards shot 32 Serengeti

home 19 Shortly, in poems 20 Racket 22 Surrealist Salvador 23 Museum focus 24 Dinero 25 Beethoven symphony 26 Ho Chi Minh City, once 27 Climb 28 Sign of sorrow 29 Cain's brother 30 Prepare for a iolt 33 Move like a moth

36 Inquire

15 Uncle Sam's

35 Buddhist school

WRITE FOR US. SEND US YOUR OPINION PIECES TO

11 Choreography

	1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	
9	_	+	+	+		10	+	+	+	11
12		+	_	+		13			+	+
14		+		+	15	•		16	+	+
17		+			18	19	20		+	+
21				22	•					
			23	•						
	24	25	•	+	+	+		26	27	28
29	•	+	+	+	+		30	•	+	+
31	+	+			32	33	•	+	+	+
34		+	35	36	•	37	+	+	+	+
38	+	+	+	+		39	+	+	+	+
	40	+	+	+-		41	+	+	+	

## YESTERDAY'S **ANSWERS**

