Mahboobul Alam: An early historian of the 1971 war

Following the Liberation War of Bangladesh, the savant Mahboobul Alam (1898-1981) took up the task of writing a comprehensive history of 1971. A septuagenarian, he nonetheless accomplished the task in just a few years. Alam was a great literary Bengali

and stories, which portrayed Muslim earned him esteem among the Bengali Hindu intelligentsia as well.

penned his experiences about his life

in the forties in the then undivided war literature. After returning from Bengal through his powerful novels the war front, he took up a government job as a sub-registrar and started a life from a new and nuanced lens. This new career chronicling the history of Chittagong at different periods. He also served a considerable period Besides, he joined World War I and of time as an editor of Zamana in the Pakistani era. He completed his book, Muktijuddher Itibritto, in three volumes and consolidated them in a single volume in 1976. This book was his last contribution before his death Alam writes the entire three-

volume book as an autoethnography. Like flashbacks, he narrated many historical moments, especially the background of the Pakistan movement, to substantiate his arguments. When he finished this book, he was 79 years old. He was driven by a strong conviction that he had a responsibility as a chronicler and a dutiful citizen of this nation to keep the records of the single most important saga of this India. nation, which is the war of 1971.

Before elaborating on some aspects of this book, it bears mentioning to being a Bengali and a Pakistani his tremendous efforts in collecting at the same time. He played a role in information and sources from primary actors of the war. He conducted many interviews and collected oral histories for this book.

An overwhelming economic disparity between the two wings of Pakistan was pointed out systematically by many perceptive Bengali politicians and economists at that time. Alam also noted such developments, besides highlighting the state-sponsored communal tension and dreadful conditions harboured by the Pakistan state which he witnessed.

In his book, he mentioned that a onedimensional economic developmental Ayub Khan. As a consequence, villagers were almost forced to leave their villages since the rural economy was not some cities were being constructed the educated and labour forces. In addition, Alam mentioned the most the names of the martyrs. heinous crime of Ayub Khan was that he kept a continuous poisonous historian, Alam witnessed many communal and loathing relationship anomalies that took place after and *Priyam Pritim Paul is pursuing his PhD at*

Mahboobul Alam (1898-1981)

attempted to improve this situation. He pointed out that even after the partition of this subcontinent in 1947. seven crore Muslims were staying in

Alam argued that in the Pakistan era, he did not find any complexities strengthening friendship between Indian and Pakistani authors and intellectuals from the early days of Pakistan. He assumed that partition of India into the two states took place due to exacerbation of communal problems in various forms. He held that the civil-military axis centred on the West Pakistan wing prevented Pakistan from resolving the communal problem, instead choosing to play the majoritarian card, which doomed Pakistan's future.

Alam argued that Bangladesh made a shaky start after the Liberation War, failing to properly constitute policy had been pursued under the the list of martyrs of 1971. It would long-time military rule by President have been relatively easy if it had started the process just after the war ended when freedom fighters were coming back from the war front and offering sufficient opportunities, while they could have easily identified their deceased comrades in arms. The entire offering more opportunities both for population could have stepped up efforts in their locality in listing down

As an acute observer and social with India, and his government never even during the war. His interpretation South Asian University, New Delhi.

of the war's aftermath often differed from mainstream narratives. For instance, he argued that those who fought in the frontlines did not get a strong role in the post-liberation state.

National liberation movements are often followed by thwarted desires, disappointments, and frustrations. It has been a common experience in various post-colonial states that after the ousting of colonial rule, the situation does not change as radically as would have been ideal. Buddhadeb Dasgupta, in his famous film Tahader Katha, based on a story by Kamal Kumar Majumder, narrated how a revolutionary got frustrated and ultimately turned insane having observed the post-independent development which did not reflect the hopes and aspirations that he cherished in the colonial time. Alam observed similar processes at work after the victory of 1971. In addition, if we look at the composition of freedom fighters of the war, we would see 70 percent came from the peasant background who did not much say in governance after the war.

In this book, he briefly discussed Awami League's strategy in the noncooperation movement through March 1971 that finally led to the war. He pointed out that Awami League created maximum pressure upon the Pakistani Junta through their movement, but their preparation for the war was minimal. Thus, the war faced a lot of setbacks from the very beginning due to lack of preparation which should have been taken. Nonetheless, the vehement efforts of East Bengal Regiments and East Pakistan Rifles aborted the ex parte victory of the Pakistani army.

Furthermore, Alam mentioned Sheikh Mujibur Rahman always remained faithful to his principles. He concluded that the historic March 7 speech of Bangabandhu would be resonating for more than 200 years in the history of Bengal.

In sum, the 1971 war remained incomplete in Mahboobul Alam's reckoning. But then again, it is hard to say that any war is accomplished, or that a revolution is permanent.





