

FOREIGN MINISTER’S RESPONSE TO US AMBASSADOR

A story for all the wrong reasons



Kamal Ahmed is an independent journalist and writes from London, UK. His twitter handle is @ahmedkal

KAMAL AHMED

ON May 31, our diplomat-in-chief, Foreign Minister AK Abdul Momen, sent a message to diplomatic correspondents in Dhaka suggesting what questions they should ask the US ambassador. By the time his message was transmitted to journalists, the US ambassador’s press event, DCAB Talk, was over, and the minister’s note ended up as a story itself. It couldn’t be ascertained whether the message was a government advisory or a tutorial note as there was no official explanation about it following the publication of the story.

It was a story for all the wrong reasons. Contents of his note suggest that he was unhappy – perhaps angry, too – at the US ambassador’s public comments on the state of democracy, human rights, press freedom and sanctions on Rab. He wrote, “Please, you (the newsmen) ask the US envoy why they cannot stop such extrajudicial killings in their own country. Secondly, about 100,000 US citizens go missing every year. Even children are deprived of reuniting with their Hispanic parents. Ask the US about their problems, not about Bangladesh.” In his message, Mr Momen added, “It is not the responsibility of the US to rule our country and its development. If the US wants freedom of press, why have they censored the Russian television channel RT? If they want accountability, why are the US security forces or police not punished or held accountable for killing more than 1,000 citizens, mostly Black and Hispanic, every year?”

Questions suggested by Mr Momen also included, “... if their electoral process is fair, why don’t young Americans

believe in their election system and why is there a low turnout among young Americans? Why is there a 25 percent voter turnout in each election? Is it a participatory electoral process?”

These questions, based on half-truths or questionable facts, coming from none other than a person who has spent more than one-third of his



▲ VISUAL: STAR

turnout in the US presidential election was 49 percent posted in 1996. In the last presidential election, the turnout was 62 percent.

Questions suggested by Mr Momen were nothing new, rather a repetition of unverified claims made by some politicians in their public speeches. But political speeches are quite different

Press Freedom Index, he said the US had ranked 42nd out of 180 countries, which, according to him, was far from the top. He added, “Frankly, the United States needs to do better.” There were admissions, too, about the difficulties the US is facing regarding elections, especially the allegations of fraud made by former President Donald Trump and his followers in the Republican Party.

The biggest problem with these statements, aimed at deflecting the focus of alleged violations of human rights and democratic principles by pointing out failures of others, is that it is a futile exercise and, to some extent, counterproductive. When Ambassador Haas or any other Western diplomat emphasises on a credible election according to international standards, wouldn’t it be wiser to respond with expressing commitment to follow such standards? Trying to find justification of a discredited election through pointing out flaws in other countries’ elections exposes desperation to deflect the issue.

The same is true when attempts were made to counter issues of human rights abuses, press freedom and accountability by raising questions about intentions and making wild allegations of conspiracies to destabilise the government. It also contradicts assertions by other functionaries of the government that it has been reviewing disputed legislations like the Digital Security Act (DSA) to ensure that it does not become a tool to harass journalists.

The news about the foreign minister’s suggested questions to reporters was especially troublesome as he has already earned some notoriety for his diplomatic language. His characterisation of Indo-Bangla relationship or comments about seeking help from India to bring an end to the US sanctions on Rab and importing oil from Russia can be cited here as examples. One may wonder whether these words are advancing our cause and brightening our image abroad, or harming it instead.

Who is afraid of EVMs and why?



Pallab Bhattacharya is a special correspondent for The Daily Star. He writes from New Delhi, India

PALLAB BHATTACHARYA

BANGLADESH’S Chief Election Commissioner (CEC) Kazi Habibur Awal, in a recent interview with *The Daily Star*, said there was no scope for showing muscle power during voting if the electronic voting machines (EVMs) are used during polls. His remarks have more than anything reignited the debate over the reliability of EVMs in reflecting people’s mandate. However, the main opposition party, the BNP, remains as sceptical about EVMs as ever.

It is pertinent to turn to India, Bangladesh’s closest neighbour and the world’s largest democracy, to find out how the Indian Election Commission manages EVMs during state assembly and parliamentary elections, and sustains the sanctity of the adult franchise.

It was in 1982-83 that the Indian Election Commission first used EVMs on an experimental basis in 50 polling stations of Parur assembly constituency in the southern state of Kerala. The Supreme Court had, however, suspended their use in 1984, on the grounds that their usage could not be allowed till the Representation of the People Act, 1951 was amended. It took another four years to amend the law, enabling the use of EVMs with effect from March 15, 1989. Since the year 2000, EVMs have been used in all elections across India – four Lok Sabha and 122 state legislative assembly polls.

But it hasn’t been a smooth journey all the way for EVMs in India, as opposition from various quarters persists till today, with some parties continuing to harbour doubts about the possibility of tampering with the machines and how they are transported from booths to strongrooms of the Election Commission, and then to counting centres. In 2018, the apex court rejected a petition seeking to restore ballot papers. Despite that, political parties that have lost the electoral battles have gone public from time to time, airing their suspicion of EVMs and preference for paper ballots.

Politicians have accused parties of tampering with the machines, hacking them or stealing them to influence poll results. But none of them have been proven. Those complaining about EVMs are caught in self-contradiction. For instance, in 2017, after the Aam Aadmi Party’s defeat in Punjab assembly elections, the party had demanded a

probe into the alleged malfunctioning of EVMs, and claimed EVMs can be hacked in 90 seconds. AAP legislator Saurabh Bhardwaj even sought to demonstrate in a public session how EVMs can be tampered with. The Election Commission invited all parties to prove their claims, but no political party took up the gauntlet. Five years down the line, the AAP won the Punjab assembly polls earlier this year and assumed power in the state for the first time, and it did not complain about EVMs.

In early 2017, Mayawati’s Bahujan Samaj Party was decimated in the Uttar Pradesh assembly polls, prompting her to allege that the BJP had tampered with the EVMs. Mayawati was supported by her rivals, the Samajwadi Party and Congress, but the Election Commission rejected the charges.

A series of setbacks in both national and state-level polls prompted India’s main opposition party, the Congress, to discuss the use of EVMs and its possible tampering at its brainstorming session in Udaipur city in May this year. Senior party leader Prithviraj Chavan wanted the party to pass a resolution saying India would return to paper ballots if the Congress returned to power. There were many leaders at the meeting who opposed the use of EVMs.

The Congress needs to be reminded of two important things: i) That the party won several elections, including the two consecutive general elections in 2004 and 2009, with votes cast using EVMs; and ii) Chavan’s suggestion that Congress make a promise to switch to paper ballots if the party is voted back to power can happen only through the existing system of general elections using EVMs.

Even at the height of the BJP’s supremacy in electoral battles in most parts of India in 2018, Congress won assembly elections in the heartland states of Rajasthan, Chhattisgarh and Madhya Pradesh, defeating the saffron party in votes cast through EVMs. So, is it fair for certain parties to blame the EVMs?

Some parties have often complained about instances of EVMs being found in private vehicles of politicians, but they have been nothing more than stray cases, and certainly not at a scale to vitiate the polling in its entirety. The Indian Election Commission has put in place elaborate measures regarding the safety of EVMs during transportation and against loss or theft. Measures include tracking software, installing GPS devices in vehicles carrying EVMs, and mandatory video recording of the entire process.

The parties also wanted the Election Committee to introduce Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trail (VVPAT) attached to EVMs for further transparency and verifiability in the poll process. The poll body has done that too.

CROSSWORD BY THOMAS JOSEPH

ACROSS

1 Comedian Sykes

6 Petty quarrels

11 Left, on a liner

12 Pastel shade

13 Italian seaport

14 Spare

15 Proceed slowly

17 Make a choice

18 “Shane” star

22 “Apollo 13” org.

23 Discussion sites

27 Second U.S. president

29 “I understand!”

30 Prepare, as a manuscript

32 Window section

33 Blockbuster

35 Descriptive wd.

38 Opposed

39 Knight’s pursuit

41 Without break

45 Beneath

46 Mink’s cousin

47 Japanese dog

48 Exams for jrs.

DOWN

1 Funny fellow

2 Gorilla, for one

3 Sine qua -

4 Brag, in a way

5 To any extent

6 Iditarod animal

7 Movies, in slang

8 Choir voice

9 Diamond cover

10 Jazz singing style

16 Clumsy one

18 Med. sch. subject

19 Titled woman

20 Soon, in memos

21 Suggest subtly

24 Nevada neighbor

25 Short skirt

26 Dele undoer

28 Indonesian island

31 Skillet

34 Small porch

35 Blue hue

36 Dip, as a donut

37 Empire’s foes

40 Collection

42 Greek vowel

43 Snare

44 Hosp. workers

WRITE FOR US. SEND US YOUR OPINION PIECES TO dsopinion@gmail.com.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48

1-10

FRIDAY’S ANSWERS

PAPER BENCH
ACUTE ALOHA
STRAP RIGID
STELLA ONO
EWE AND SOON
SOS YIELDS
WIMPY
SPINAL GOD
CLING TO APE
CRON EYELET
AWARE IRENE
METAL NINER
PRANK GEARS

Government of the People’s Republic Bangladesh

Local Government Engineering Department

Office of the Upazila Engineer

Akkelpur, Joypurhat

www.lged.gov.bd

Memo No: 46.02.3813.001.12.17- 256

Date: 05.06.2022

e-GP Notice No: 05/ 2021-2022

e-Tender is invited in the National e-GP system portal (<https://www.eprocure.gov.bd>) for the procurement of following Goods/ Works:

Sl No.	Tender ID	Name of Works/Goods	Tender Document last selling	Tender closing & opening
01	705316	Construction of additional class room Chak Bizli GPS under PEDP4, Upazila- Akkelpur, Dist- Joypurhat.	21-June-2022 16:45	21-June-2022 17:30
02	705315	Construction of additional class room Marma GPS under PEDP4, Upazila- Akkelpur, Dist- Joypurhat.	21-June-2022 16:45	21-June-2022 17:30

This is an online tender, where only e-Tender will be accepted in the National e-GP Portal and no offline/ hard copies will be accepted. To submit e-Tender, registration in the National e-GP system portal (<https://www.eprocure.gov.bd>) is required. The fees for downloading the e-Tender documents from the National e-GP system portal have to be deposited online through any registered bank branch. Further information and guidelines are available in the National e-GP system portal from e-GP help desk (helpdesk@eprocure.gov.bd).

5/6/2022

Md.Rokib Hasan

Upazila Engineer

LGED, Akkelpur, Joypurhat

E-mail: ue.akkelpur@lged.gov.bd

GD-1164