## 22 C

# Wasa water everywhere, not a drop to drink



Shahnoor Wahid is a senior journalist.

#### **SHAHNOOR WAHID**

HAKA residents are scorched by a hot sun above their heads, their throats feeling like dry wood, and there is plenty of murky, smelly water all around, but alas! One cannot drink a drop of it! It reminds us of Coleridge's sailor who is dying of thirst, even though he is surrounded by a whole ocean.

Now, we know ocean water is salty and you cannot blame anyone for this, but why should we not be able to drink water supplied by the Dhaka Water Supply and Sewerage Authority (Wasa)? What's wrong with it? Wasa bosses loudly claim that there is nothing wrong in their water. They endure so much hassle to collect polluted water from far away rivers and supply the greenish thing to our homes with great care. Should we not be grateful that something resembling water comes out of the taps in our kitchens? We are always complaining, and this makes the water guy very angry. He has said he gets the same water at home and merrily drinks it, so the lesser humans like us must not keep complaining so often.

It appears that we, the beleaguered citizens, have no other option but to consume the foul-smelling water by the gallons until we become immune to the bacteria that live in it. And until that happens, maybe some of us—a thousand, perhaps—will have to go to hospital for the treatment of the worst kind of diarrhoea or cholera.

Interestingly enough, the claim by the Wasa boss of the safety of water supplied by his organisation takes a turn for the absurd when, in a recent "meet the press," he suggested everyone to boil the supplied



water before drinking it. Now, does it not sound like pushing the ball into the court of the suffering citizens? Meaning, if we fail to boil the water and fall ill, he would blame us for it; "I told you to boil this water, but you didn't. So, it's not our fault anymore." One cannot help but wonder: If he is so confident that his water is safe to drink, why should he suggest boiling it?

As for the foul smell and the presence of harmful microorganisms, he blames it on the polluted water of the Shitalakkhya River, which, according to him, needs to be pretreated before it is finally treated at Sayedabad water treatment plant. Now, who would pretreat the river water? The consumers or Wasa? We already know that after the Buriganga, the water of Shitalakkhya is the most polluted. So the pertinent question is: Why is the polluted water of Shitalakkhya not pre-treated by

ILLUSTRATION:
BIPLOB
CHAKROBORTY

Wasa?

The Wasa boss kept on defending his office in a recent dialogue with the press. Even though numerous laboratory tests confirmed the presence of various types of germs, including the ones that cause diarrhoea and cholera, Wasa denied this fact. It was perplexing to hear him say with such a show of confidence that no germs were found in Wasa water in 10 areas of the capital, from where most diarrhoea patients have visited various hospitals, including the International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b) in the past weeks.

The Wasa chief may have denied the presence of harmful germs or E. coli in the water collected from the pipelines and water tanks of city houses, but experts like senior microbiologists brush off his

claim, terming it "baseless." They say that when a waterborne disease like diarrhoea breaks out in an area, one has to assume that a single source of water is causing the disease, and this gets confirmed after doing necessary laboratory tests.

The problem with some of our bureaucrats, technocrats and politicians is that they cannot accept inadequacies or failures with grace. Knowing very well that they are trying to hide facts behind clever words, they would go on denying things that are so blatantly visible to the world. Such an attitude does not help in removing the faults in the project and allow better ideas to be adopted for its success. The Wasa issue has been going on for decades, but the organisation's top bosses never acknowledged the fact that they could not deliver safe drinking water to the citizens.

One really cannot take chances with drinking water. Health issues are involved here, so it is expected that Dhaka Wasa, being the sole authority to supply piped water in the city, should show more respect to the rightful demand of the citizens. This organisation never hesitates to raise the price of its murky water, but does not do much to improve the water quality. One wonders what really goes on in its own laboratory—whether they have ever been able to find the harmful microorganisms floating under the microscopes.

This newspaper carried a number of reports and opinions on Dhaka Wasa since the present managing director took charge of it. In 2019, he faced huge public uproar for saying that Wasa water was 100 percent drinkable. He made the claim publicly as a rebuttal to allegations raised by the Transparency International Bangladesh (TIB). He had declared that people would be able to drink water straight from their taps by 2021. But, even in 2022, we continue to get contaminated water from them

So, it seems like the Wasa conundrum will remain unresolved for many more years, until a more competent person is put at the helm.

## S Arabia targets a more Republican Washington

### JAMES M DORSEY

Dr James M Dorsey

is a senior fellow at the

adjunct senior fellow at

Nanyang Technological

Raiaratnam School of

International Studies.

National University of

Singapore's Middle

East Institute, and

ATHER than push for an immediate improvement of strained relations with the United States, Saudi Arabia appears to be looking forward to a time when US President Joe Biden's wings may be clipped. The kingdom seems to be betting on a better reception in Washington if Democrats lose control of Congress in this year's midterm elections, and/or if Donald J. Trump or a Republican candidate with similar inclinations wins the White House in the 2024 presidential election.

The Saudi approach signals that the kingdom has not given up on the US, although it has lost faith in Mr Biden because of his attitude towards Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman and his efforts to revive a nuclear accord with Iran. The approach further suggests that Saudi Arabia recognises that neither China nor Russia are able or willing to replace the United States as its security guarantor, despite Washington proving in recent years to be an increasingly unreliable partner.

Mr Bin Salman suggested what his thinking may be when he approved a USD 2 billion investment by Saudi Arabia's sovereign wealth fund—the Public Investment Fund (PIF)—in a controversial private equity fund against the advice of the PIF's screening panel. The private equity fund. Affinity Partners. was recently created by Jared Kushner, Mr Trump's son-in-law and erstwhile advisor. While raising objections to taking a stake in Affinity Partners, PIF professionals cited as reasons not to invest the inexperience of the Affinity Fund management"; an "unsatisfactory in all aspects" due diligence report; a proposed asset management fee that seemed

"excessive;" and "public relations risks."
Analysts have suggested that Mr Bin Salman, who chairs the PIF, rewarded Mr Kushner for his support on multiple occasions during the Trump presidency. However, the investment is likely to be not only an expression of appreciation for Mr Kushner's past assistance, but also an investment in a possible return of Mr Trump or a Republican of his ilk.

In contrast to Mr Trump, who broke with tradition when he made Saudi Arabia the first foreign country he visited after becoming president, and Mr Kushner, who stayed in close touch with Mr Bin Salman despite multiple controversies, Mr Biden has until recently refused to engage with the crown prince because of the 2018 killing of journalist Jamal Khashoggi in the Saudi consulate in Istanbul.

More recently, Mr Bin Salman has rebuffed efforts by Mr Biden to discuss oil production in a bid to reduce prices in the wake of the Russia-Ukraine war. Asked whether Mr Biden may have misunderstood him, Mr Bin Salman told an interviewer: "Simply, I do not care." Like his United Arab Emirates counterpart, Mohammed bin Zayed, he

feels that the US failed to respond robustly to attacks on critical Saudi and Emirati oil and other infrastructure by Iran and/or Iranian-backed Yemeni Houthi rebels. The two men are also critical of Mr Biden's efforts to negotiate a revival of the moribund 2015 international agreement that curbed Iran's nuclear programme without tackling its ballistic missiles programme and support for Shiite militias in various Arab countries.

To be sure, Mr Trump was far blunter in his refusal to respond to Iranian-backed attacks in 2019 that targeted some of Saudi Arabia's critical oil facilities. "That was an attack on Saudi Arabia, and that wasn't an attack on us," Mr Trump said at the time, reaffirming by implication that the US had no NATO-style commitment to the kingdom. However, at the same time, he signalled that his attitude toward assisting Saudi Arabia was transactional. "We would certainly help them," he said. "If we decide to do something, they'll be very much involved, and that includes payment. And they understand that fully."

In the absence of alternatives, that may be an approach that Mr Bin Salman feels more comfortable with, particularly given the current mood in Washington and the lack of clearly formulated updated security understandings.

Last week, 30 Democratic members of Congress suggested in a letter to

Mr Bin Salman has rebuffed efforts by Mr Biden to discuss oil production in a bid to reduce prices in the wake of the Russia-Ukraine war.

Secretary of State Antony Blinken that a "recalibration" of the US-Saudi relation was needed. In response, Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) Assistant Secretary-General Abdel Aziz Aluwaisheg said that "the recalibration that is needed is to revisit the basic components undergirding the long-term US partnership with Saudi Arabia and its GCC allies. The US has played a key role in Gulf security without formal agreements between the parties. A new strategic architecture is needed to update and upgrade existing ad hoc security cooperation."

Mr Aluwaisheg's remarks came as Saudi Arabia and the UAE, backed by Israeli lobbying in Washington, pressured the Biden administration to sign a defence pact with Gulf states similar to an accord between the UAE and France.

"I understand the US concerns, but I think Saudi Arabia is a hugely important actor in our part of the world and the Islamic world... And it's important, in my view – to the extent possible – to fix relations between the US and Saudi Arabia," said Michael Herzog, Israel's ambassador to the United States.

GD-826

Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh
Office of the Line Director
Upazila Health Care (UHC), DGHS
BMRC Bhaban (1<sup>st</sup> floor), Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212.
Phone:02-222280785, Fax-02-222282900
E-mail: uhc@ld.dghs.gov.bd

### INVITATION FOR TENDER

Sealed Tender is hereby invited from the manufacturer/wholesaler/supplier for supply of MSR for Upazila Health Complex. As per PPR-2008, terms and conditions are as follows:

1	Ministry/Division			Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MOHFW), Health Services Division.		
2				Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS), MOHFW.		
3				Line Director, Upazila Health Care (UHC), DGHS.		
	Procuring Entity					
4	Procuring Entity Code			224330400		
5	Procuring Entity District			Dhaka		
6	Invitation for			Supply of MSR for Upazila Health Complex.		
7	Invitation for offer Ref. No			UHC/Proc./GOB/MSR/UHC-25/2021-22/47		
8	Date			23.04.2022		
KEY	INFORM	ATION				
9	and the second second	ment Method		Open Tender Method (OTM)		
7.		ORMATION				
10	Budget and Source of Funds			GOB (Dev).		
11	Developments Partners			None		
12	Belefolds Storfflick			224330400		
13	Project/Program Code Project/Program Name			UHC		
14	Tender Package No			UHC/G-GD-14/2021-22		
15	Offer Package Name			MSR for Upazila Health Complex.		
16	Tender Publication Date				24.04.2022	
17	Tender Last Selling Date			18.05.2022 at 5.00 pm		
18	Tender Closing Date and Time		mo	19.05.2022 at 12 pm		
19	Tender Closing Date and Time			19.05.2022 at 2 pm		
20	Name and address of the Office			Total at a pin		
	b) Receiving of Tender Documents  c) Opening of Tender Documents		uments	Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212. b) Central Medical Store Depot (CMSD), Tejgaon, Dhaka. a) Office of the Line Director, UHC, DGHS, BMRC Bhaban (1st floor), Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212. b) Central Medical Store Depot (CMSD), Tejgaon, Dhaka. Office of the Line Director, UHC, DGHS, BMRC Bhaban, (1st floor), Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212.		
-			ments			
	The second secon	N FOR TENDERER				
21	Eligibility of Tenderer			As stated in TDS		
22	Brief Description of Goods			Supply of MSR (20 items) for 420 Upazila Health Complexes.		
23	Price of Tender Documents Taka		Taka	TK. 7,000 (Seven Thousand) only by Treasury Challan (with CTR) Code No. 1-2711-0000-2366 to be deposited Sonali Bank Ltd. or Bangladesh Bank. (Non-refundable).		
24	No	Identification & Name	Location	Tender Security Amounts in BDT	Completion time (in weeks)	
	Single lot	UHC/ G-GD-14/2021-22	UHC office, BMRC Bhaban, (1 <sup>st</sup> floor) Mohakhali, Dhaka,	Tk. 12,00,000 (Twelve lac) only by Pay Order/Bank Draft or Bank Guarantee in Prescribed format in favour of Line Director, UHC, DGHS.	12 (Twelve) weeks from date of signing the contract.	
PRO	CURING	ENTITY DETAIL				
25	Name of Official Inviting Offer			Dr. Md. Rizwanur Rahman		
26	Designation of Official Inviting Offer			Line Director, UHC, DGHS.		
27	Address of Official Inviting Offer			BMRC Bhaban (1st floor), Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212.		
28	Contact details of Official Inviting Offer			BMRC Bhaban, Mohakhali, Dhaka. Phone:02-222280785, Fax-02-222282900		

(Dr. Md. Rizwanur Rahman) Line Director Upazila Health Care (UHC), DGHS BMRC Bhaban (1<sup>st</sup> floor), Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212.