

The Daily Star

FOUNDER EDITOR
LATE S. M. ALI

DHAKA FRIDAY JUNE 25, 2021, ASHAR 11, 1428 BS

When the DAG catches the bribe-takers

Land-related offices need thorough spring-cleaning!

It was by a fluke that the victim of extortion for a routine public service happened to be a Deputy Attorney General (DAG), for which reason the crime was made public. According to our report, two employees of Kushtia Sub-Registrar's Office demanded Tk 30,000 to prepare the deeds of his family land. Even after knowing his identity, the duo persisted and offered a Tk 5,000 concession... so brazen were they about asking for the bribe. Their audacity comes from an entrenched system of rent-seeking and irregularities that has characterised most offices related to land issues. A report by the Transparency International Bangladesh (TIB) has found massive corruption at the district registrar and sub-registrar offices, with people paying bribes from Tk 500 to Tk 5 lakh at every step of the process of getting land-related services.

Digitisation of the entire land administration and management has been suggested by many as a way to curb the corruption. The idea is that all land transactions and land records will be maintained in the digital platform. However, while digitisation can ease some of the problems, experts have warned that it is more important to remove existing anomalies that have been created and sustained for many years. An op-ed in this paper by researchers of BRAC Institute of Governance and Development (BIGD) has pointed out the discrepancies in our land survey records which make digitisation quite challenging. With constantly changing land use and demarcation, regular updating is necessary. And with four different land surveys—none of which were completed—complications are bound to arise with demarcations of land being contested all the time (as different surveys can give different information of ownership).

According to the law, the Revisional Survey record takes precedence but disputes are informally resolved through local arbitrators or land officers. Therefore, there are many loopholes that leave leeway for corruption. So before taking any digitisation initiative, records have to be verified, illegal possession and fake documents have to be done away with, and discrepancies among various documents have to be resolved and made consistent. Otherwise, many errors and fraudulent documents will remain in the system and people will have very little recourse to get them corrected.

In this particular case, it was after the DAG narrated the incident on a Facebook post that the officials of the sub-registrar's office and deed writers called him up to apologise.

Is an apology sufficient to absolve these individuals from this crime? An office assistant has been suspended but it is quite certain that he is way down the ladder in this "unofficial fee structure". This particular incident, where a public official became a victim of the corrupt system leading him to make it public, should be a trigger for the government to get the land office in order. In the long run, all the records and documents have to be verified for authenticity and disputes resolved fairly after proper investigation and then the government can think about digitising, of course, with security measures in place to prevent tampering or hacking into the system. In the short run, however, the government must clamp down on corrupt employees and ensure that people who seek their service are not harassed or made to suffer.

Dengue surge looming amidst Covid-19 surge

Proper initiatives from all stakeholders needed to stamp it out

IT'S disturbing enough that Covid-19 infections continue to rage across the country, but now another life-threatening disease has presented itself following the arrival of monsoon: dengue. Between June 1 and 23, 147 dengue infections were detected in the country, which account for 59.5 percent of all dengue cases recorded in the current year. Aedes mosquitoes, which are carriers of the dengue and chikungunya viruses, find the wet monsoon months to be ideal for breeding, and they breed anywhere there is clean or stagnant water.

The alarming increase in the number of dengue cases in June is especially a cause for concern due to hospitals and healthcare resources already being strained under the country's Covid-19 extended second wave. It goes without saying that if the danger of a dengue surge is allowed to fester, health systems across the country will find it extremely difficult to accommodate and treat all incoming patients. And, as experts have opined, the situation will be particularly critical if a patient is infected with both dengue and Covid-19—a real possibility, given the circumstances.

We believe it is crucial to not only prepare hospitals and healthcare personnel to handle this double threat, but also to make people aware about how easily Aedes larvae can breed all around them and how they can protect themselves from dengue by making sure there are no places or receptacles holding water for too long. We commend the DNCC for "issuing letters to all government, semi-government, autonomous, private organisations and educational institutions to take necessary steps so that Aedes larvae do not get any suitable place to breed" since April—and also for asking, via text messages, 1,700 house owners (where Aedes larvae were detected last year) to destroy the breeding sources of mosquitoes or face stern action. We would urge the two city corporations of Dhaka to step up their anti-mosquito drives, road shows, and "routine spraying of larvicide and adulticide".

At the same time, we urge the health authorities to raise awareness of this growing menace and equip all hospitals in the country accordingly, instead of focusing all their efforts and attention on the capital only. After all, the numbers of dengue patients recorded this year originate from all over the country, and no disease discriminates between districts or divisions.

'A polarised nation is a paralysed one, and we're dangerously headed in that direction'



Prof Dr Syed Manzoorul Islam.

PHOTO COURTESY: SMI

A lot has changed since the start of the pandemic. For many of us, it has come to instantiate the kind of epoch-making events that upend not just life but also perspectives, leaving a profound mark on the civilisation. As a writer and thinker, what has it altered or reinforced about your view of our society?

When the world was reeling under the onslaught of Covid-19 pandemic around this time last year, I was quite heartened to see the beginning of a global conversation about healing humanity and nature. There was strong optimism that nations would reflect on their failure to address inequality, violence and rights abuses, and would put all their efforts in righting the wrongs. From medical scientists to politicians, all pledged their support to ensure the efforts deliver results. We were told that collaborations are the future. For a time, I believed that we too would have some soul-searching and the post-pandemic Bangladesh would see a more caring and compassionate society, although altruism had never been one of our strong points. Nevertheless, I was hoping for a change in our mindsets and in the way we had connected with the less fortunate.

But when I saw inequities and violence against women and children rising and stories emerging of relief goods being sold and Covid-19 test certificates being forged, among many other instances of injustice and corruption aggravating the fallout from the pandemic, I realised that nothing substantial was going to change. Not in the way I, and perhaps many others, had hoped. So I resigned to the sad wisdom of the idiom I first learnt in school: a leopard never changes its spots.

On the brighter side though, the optimist in me found solace in the selfless service and sacrifice of the frontline Covid fighters, in the kindness of people providing food to the hungry and helping Covid patients find hospital beds or get decent burials.

Sadly, the pandemic hasn't changed my worldview for the better.

What's your favourite pandemic quote?

An old one—from *The Plague* by Albert Camus—that sums up humanity's predicament in times of pestilence: "We tell ourselves that pestilence is a mere bog of the mind, a bad dream that will pass away. But it doesn't always pass away and, from one bad dream to another, it is men who pass away."

But isn't tragedy also a time that brings people closer? I ask this because I look around and all I see is the opposite, with deepening polarisation marked by sharply contrasting positions in the ideological architectures of our society. What does this polarisation bode for us and how do we get over it?

To be frank, ours has always been a polarised society, with the rich and the poor, landowners and peasants, factory owners and workers, the urban privileged class and the rural subalterns occupying the two ends of our social and economic spectrum. With capitalism becoming rampant, inequalities between these groups have widened.

In post-independence Bangladesh, there was a realisation that economic and social polarisation should end, but the political and social forces, the main drivers of change, preferred to take the old, beaten track. In the absence

of system overhauls (in governance, judiciary, education, policy planning), the instruments and institutions that would ensure the end of polarisation failed to emerge. And with time, society and politics splintered into opposing and clashing interest groups. The polarisation that resulted was led by the two main political parties which, over time, became rigid, uncompromising and confrontational.

Today, political polarisation has morphed into tribalism which is undermining our democracy, judiciary and governance, and affecting the media environment, academia, workplaces, and even social relations. What is worse is that the traditional providers of checks and balances on the conduct of the government and political parties—such as civil society and the media—have become increasingly partisan. The social media, which has emerged as an alternative platform of public dialogue, has become something like an echo chamber, amplifying the divisiveness, hatred and intolerance that mark our political and social landscapes. Amidst the resulting

see themselves in the role of politicians, becoming minor players in the game of power.

Today, vice-chancellors of public universities are appointed more on the basis of their political affiliation than their academic performance, and it is not unexpected that a few of them have taken advantage of their position to misuse their power. In spite of irrefutable charges of corruption and irregularities, no vice-chancellor has been taken to court. Such immunity emboldens others. So I sadly agree with you that this may be a new normal we have to live with.

Fifteen years ago, no one would have imagined there would be a new frontier in social inequality called "digital divide". Today, it is as ubiquitous and quite as impactful as lack of food, housing, etc. As a teacher who witnessed first-hand how it's been affecting students, what's your take on this?

I see it as an injustice, a denial of the right to education—since online teaching depends on equal access to digital technology and related electronic

crumbled. We cannot protect young people from Internet predators and cyberbullying as we are unable to protect our banking system from hackers. And it does not need the genius of a Steve Jobs to realise how the mix of drugs and temptations of the TikTok kind can be so dangerous, and how heavy a price we have to pay for the youth's daily exposure to violence and communalism and their disconnect from culture.

Banning any media or putting all the burden on gatekeepers would never work, however. I would rather go back to solutions that our education and culture offer. Bring back purpose and pleasure in education, make culture a means of meaningful self-expression and peer bonding, and revive the forgotten role of the family in walking a child through to adolescence and beyond. Difficult, yes, but not impossible. All it needs is a political will, supported by all stakeholders and the society at large. This is not a choice we have, but an obligation.

What's your thought on how Bangladesh has managed the Covid situation so far?

When the Covid pandemic began to spread, the government reacted with some degree of urgency, shutting down the country and limiting people's movement. These and other measures worked for a while, and I should say, the government did well to contain the first wave of the pandemic. But once the country descended into Covid chaos, things began to unravel. The government succumbed to the pressure of maintaining a fine balance between life and livelihood, and even allowed super-spreader events to take place.

It is now clear that there was no long-term plan to manage the Covid crisis, no well-laid pandemic policy response (which has exposed our vulnerability in the face of new, more infectious variants of the virus), and no roadmap for efficient procurement of equipment and vaccination (for the latter, we put all our eggs in the basket of one supplier). We still don't have enough ICU beds and intubation facilities, and have a shortage of specialist doctors in district hospitals. The problems have been compounded by corruption in the health sector which the health ministry consistently denies.

We could have done better.

Despite our numerous achievements post-independence, especially on the economic front, why do you think we have failed to build a fair and inclusive society even after 50 years?

Our achievements indeed are numerous and the government deserves praise for consolidating growth. But we have failed to build a fair and inclusive society because we have not created equitable opportunities for all the stakeholders. We have allowed gender-based inequities to grow, and failed to allocate resources to the extreme poor. We have allowed communal forces to dominate our politics and pose a threat to the minorities. We have not respected the rights of ethnic minorities who, consequently, feel alienated and ignored. We have not been kind to people with disabilities and children who are employed in our labour force. Above all, we have not invested enough in areas that promote inclusive growth—education, culture, healthcare and social welfare.

Today, political polarisation has morphed into tribalism which is undermining our democracy, judiciary and governance, and affecting the media environment, academia, workplaces, and even social relations. What is worse is that the traditional providers of checks and balances on the conduct of the government and political parties—such as civil society and the media—have become increasingly partisan.

chaos and panic, we tend to forget that a polarised nation is a paralysed one, and we're dangerously headed in that direction.

There is no easy solution for this, but as a teacher I believe education and culture can open the door to a less polarised and more tolerant, just, humane and equitable world. But without a sea change in the way we teach our children and view the role of culture, that door will remain shut.

In a recent interview, you talked about how politicisation of universities has had a dreadful effect on the students, teachers and even vice-chancellors. Let's hear your thoughts on the last group—the VCs—many of whom have made headlines for allegations of corruption and irregularities recently. Is it just a few rotten apples, or are we going to have to accept it as a new normal?

The society expects exemplary behaviour and guiding roles from thought leaders, intellectuals and educators, including the vice-chancellors. Historically, they have met these expectations and played their part in enlightening and leading the society. But in post-independence Bangladesh, especially in the last few decades, there has been a slide in both the performance of these actors and in society's expectations about them. With the politicisation of academia and the rise of partisanship, many academics began to

devices—and lastly, as a failure of the society and the state in imagining and addressing this vital issue. Digital divide, which has been described as the new face of inequality, threatens to become unbridgeable because of the widening geographical (urban-rural) and economic divides. The authorities will have to address this issue on a priority basis, as the use of computers will increase exponentially in the years to come, and going online in every field of activity—from education to domestic travel—will become the norm.

How would you respond to worries about the apparent "degeneration" of today's youth—a term increasingly used in reference to modern lifestyle problems like drug/TikTok addiction, etc.?

When our culture first took a visual turn, I was worried that the youth, without any media literacy, would be lured into a world of make-believe where, in addition to viewing pleasure, they would encounter violence, sexuality and drugs. The arrival of the Internet and social media and the ready availability of drugs have, unfortunately, proved me right. As our economy developed, money flowed, corruption surged, and education became just a key to enter the job market, the safeguards of ethics, good conduct and culture that had largely protected earlier generations from corrupting influences