

The Office Tour

MRITTIKA ANAN RAHMAN

Hi guys this is Rezwan and I am going to give you a tour of our 700 square feet office of our recently launched digital communications agency. Come on in.

So right now you are standing at the entry. The idea of an entry intially came from one of my co-founders. They said "Rezwan, you know what is an absolute must in our office? An entry where people can walk into the office." And that idea just completely shaped our whole design.

This square room where we walked

into is our workspace. We also eat and sometimes sleep in here. Over there high up on the wall you can see an opening. That is our only source of ventilation. One of our interns tried to escape when he was given too much work so now we've partially sealed it. Makes breathing a little hard in the office but hey there's always a trade off.

We've decided that we're minimalists when it comes to design so our office is barely furnished. If you look around, beyond the chairs and tables we've got our wifi router over there. And that in the corner is a plant. You may think we've re-

ally splurged there but the truth is when it rains the ceiling leaks and that plant is very strategically placed.

If you look up we have a nice ceiling. And if you look down a solid floor. That's pretty much it. What's really crazy about this office is that we have, drumroll, a second room!

If you walk through here we will arrive at our second room. Oh look, our new intern's sleeping again.

What I love about this room is that it's a different room from before. So when you're working at elbow length space with everyone all day, everyday

sometimes you have a crazy idea to just go into a different room and this room allows just that. If we really need to go to the bathroom there's a nice burger joint down the road. We have an understanding with them.

And that's the tour! The truth is we mostly go over to our clients' offices to meet with them saying its for their convenience but really we just don't think they'd stick around if they saw our office.

Mrittika Anan Rahman is a daydreamer trying hard not to run into things while walking. Find her at mrittika.anan@gmail.com

THE PROBLEM WITH TITLES

RABITA SALEH

Titles are always catchy, and seemingly informative. We have all previously been guilty of reading the title of an article and at least believing it, if not sharing it. However, doing so always poses the risk of negative consequences, the least of which is embarrassment upon your part when someone in the comments corrects you.

Titles are a reflection of the source's intentions

If you think reading a title is the same as consuming news, you are wildly mistaken. More often than not, titles do not give you enough information required to make value judgements. They are simply meant to be a hook to get you to read an article, unless someone is being intentionally malicious. If such malicious intent exists, then making you believe a title without reading the article, and acting upon it, may have been the source's intention in the first place. Sometimes these malicious intentions are mild, and mostly harmless such as the usual "memefication" of J.K. Rowling and her opinions. At other times though, the intentions may range from swinging elections or spreading hate, to actually riling you up for violence.

Titles are intentionally sensationalised
One of the only things articles have to
compete with video feed of an incident is
the title. Of course writers abuse it in these



times of digitalisation to their benefit. This is why titles sometimes share absolutely misleading information only to contradict themselves in the article, because they know you aren't going to read it anyway. That way they can evade accountability for blatantly lying. Getting you to click is half the job done.

Contextualising a title in the article often leads to readers realising that the occurrence was not as serious or unusual as the title would have you believe. In short, it makes it more unremarkable, leaving you

feeling stupid for having thought there was any merit to it in the first place. Moreover, by the time you have read an article in full, chances are, you would have cooled off enough to think it was never worth a share. Titles are made to stir you up

When you don't read an article in full, you end up sharing it while still in the heated up mindset which reading the title left you in. Once people are stirred up, it becomes very easy to direct them into activities which they would never condone under

other circumstances.

Titles are made to play on your biases
Your usage data is utilised extensively by
any social media to always recommend
you content that you seem to prefer. This
leads to users often getting only half the
story regarding any incident. However,
you are likely to find two versions of every
story if you look hard enough. The version
that readily appears on your newsfeed will
always be the one that caters to your bias.
The version which caters to the opposing
bias also exists, but it is unlikely to ever
automatically appear in front of your eyes
unless you've specifically searched for it.

You would think this bias-mongering only preys on the naive till you encounter a title that fits right into a strong prejudice of your own, and trust me we all have some, and then you share it without thinking because "of course with how things are this must have happened."

At the end of the day, with this age of media where every single person has access to a "platform" for their incorrect opinions, it becomes our job to examine whatever we are presented with and find the truth, which usually lies somewhere in the middle. The first step to this process is always reading articles in full.

Share articles. Not titles.

Rabita Saleh is a perfectionist/workaholic. Email feedback to this generally boring person at rabitasaleh13@gmail.com