Why Julian Assange's extradition must be opposed at all costs



N Thursday, WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange was arrested by the UK police inside the Ecuadorian embassy in London, where he was granted political asylum in 2012. This termination of asylum

by Ecuador in violation of international law came a week after WikiLeaks warned the public it had received information from two high-level Ecuadorian government sources about a US-backed plan for the Ecuadorian government to expel Assange from its

Assange's lawyer confirmed he has been arrested under a US extradition warrant for conspiracy to publish classified information with whistleblower Chelsea Manning revealing government war crimes in 2010. Specifically, this relates to WikiLeaks' publication of the Collateral Murder video, documents concerning the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq and the US Diplomatic Cables.

In making a statement outside Westminster Magistrate's Court in London, the editor-in-chief of WikiLeaks Kristinn Hrafnsson told reporters that Assange's arrest marks a "dark day for journalism". This prosecution of Assange is recognised by experts on free speech rights as an attack on freedom of the media everywhere.

James Goodale, First Amendment lawyer and former general counsel of the New York Times, said this about the US government's efforts to charge a journalist, who is not

American and did not publish in the US, possibly with espionage: "If the prosecution of Julian Assange succeeds, investigative reporting based on classified information will be given a near death blow." David Kaye, UN Special Rapporteur on freedom of opinion and expression, stated that "prosecuting Assange would be dangerously problematic from the perspective of press freedom."

Responding to this latest development on the WikiLeaks founder, American Civil Liberties Union commented, "any prosecution by the United States of Mr Assange for WikiLeaks' publishing operations would be unprecedented and unconstitutional, and would open the door to criminal investigations of other news organisations." Freedom of Press Foundation also issued a statement, alerting that the charge against Assange is a "serious threat to press freedom" and noted that it "should be vigorously protested by all those who care about the First Amendment."

Just a day before his arrest, WikiLeaks held a press conference with the attorney representing Assange. They exposed the Ecuadorian government's spying operation against Assange, whose asylum and citizenship rights the country has an obligation to protect.

Ecuador's surveillance inside the London embassy, conducted in cooperation with the US, had constituted a total invasion of privacy, and included the recording of Assange's meetings with his lawyers and doctor. Assange's lawyer Jennifer Robinson described this as a severe breach of lawyer-client privilege, which had undermined the ability of his legal team to properly defend their client.

The seriousness of Ecuador's treatment of its own citizen and asylee was recognised by the UN. The UN Special Rapporteur on the right to privacy, Joe Cannataci, and Independent UN Special Rapporteur on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, Nils Melzer, who warned last Friday that Assange's

of expression, basic human rights and due process. Our failure to resist the US government's assault on this western journalist could empower authoritarian states to do the same.

If the US can prosecute a non-US journalist for revealing its secrets, why can't Russia prosecute an American journalist in



WikiLeaks' Collateral Murder video plays in the background as Julian Assange addresses the Oxford Union. SOURCE: YOUTUBE

arrest may be a "serious human rights violation," had planned to meet Assange on April 25 to investigate these rights violations in the embassy.

Assange's arrest and possible extradition to the US is much bigger than an individual issue. This goes right to the heart of freedom

Washington revealing secrets about Moscow? Why can't Saudi Arabia prosecute a journalist for revealing secrets about the murder of Saudi journalist Jamal Khashoggi?

WikiLeaks has published material given to it by whistleblowers. Many media organisations have published that material.

What about the New York Times and the Washington Post? Are they going to face charges too? In fact, the Trump administration has already threatened prosecution of journalists publishing classified material.

Should a publisher who released truthful information about government corruption and illegal wars be condemned? When did telling the truth become a crime? The act of publishing information that is verified to be authentic in the public interest must not be punished. Exposing government war crimes, human rights violations and murder of civilians including journalists is not a crime. This is the very function of a free press, as a vanguard of our democracy.

The prosecution of Assange sets a very dangerous precedent. This is a prosecution of our democracy that stifles freedom of expression of anyone in the world. This is not a political issue, defined as left vs right or one ideology against another. This is the issue that concerns our fundamental human rights. It is about a question of whether or not we would have a civil society governed by the rule of law.

Now is the time to take action. We must urge the UK government to oppose the extradition of this persecuted journalist to the US. And mobilise to demand the Trump administration drop criminal charges against Assange and WikiLeaks. We must fight to defend Assange, not for his sake, but for ours and for our democracy.

Nozomi Hayase, Ph.D., is the author of WikiLeaks, the Global Fourth Estate: History is Happening (Libertarian Books, 2018). Her essays have been featured in many publications. Find her on Twitter: @nozomimagine

'It was the community which made history'

In June 2018, at the age of 29, Doly Begum became the first member of the Bangladeshi expatriate community in Canada to be elected as a member of the Ontario Provincial Parliament (Scarborough Southwest). The New Democratic Party MPP has become an ardent voice of the Bangladeshi immigrant community in Ontario. Mir Aftabuddin Ahmed had the opportunity to sit down with her for a discussion about her views on politics and public life.

You broke the glass ceiling and made history by being the first Bangladeshi-Canadian MPP to be elected in Canada. How has your journey been so far?

I think it was the community which made history. People were celebrating something that had not happened before. Therefore, I cannot and will not take the victory for myself. The entire Bangladeshi community across Toronto came together for a cause that they genuinely believed in, and that in itself is extremely powerful. I had the opportunity to be involved in platforms such as Keep Hydro Public, the Scarborough Health Coalition and various other campaigns as a student of the University of Toronto. Furthermore, as a Bangladeshi-Canadian who witnessed the pros and

cons of the healthcare system in Canada, I became invested in the public policy programmes affecting the day-to-day lives of people.

In your conversations with Bangladeshi expatriates, what were the key problems they talked to you about?

The number one issue we are facing here is the fact that people feel left out—there's a feeling among them of being unheard. One of the fundamental factors behind people feeling unheard is affordability. The area which I represent is very diverse in terms of ethnicity, income levels and age groups; there are people living below the poverty line to people who are indisputably affluent. The immigrant community is here for a good life and, in certain cases, with the aim of supporting their families in Bangladesh and other parts of the world. When you do not have enough to support your families here, there is very little that you can send back and

that is an area of concern which needs to be addressed. You know, I have had constituents, many from the 55,000 to 60,000-strong community of Bangladeshi immigrants, coming to my office in tears, saying that they have not had a vacation in 12 years. To me these worries depict a broader picture of the kinds of problems immigrant families face in societies they serve with utmost resilience.

An increasing number of international students, including Bangladeshis, are coming to Ontario each year for higher education. The cost of studying in the province for international students is increasing with every passing year. What are your thoughts on this?

It is a big issue, especially now, because of the regressive changes taking place in Ontario's education system. The current conservative government here is framing their decision of reducing funding for postsecondary education in a way in which it will be really difficult for Canadian students to afford studying here. International students will have to make up for the money that institutions lose out on, as lesser funding is available for domestic students. Increasing international student fees to offset these changes is something that major institutions in Ontario have already spoken about. In our election manifesto in 2018, my party promised to institute caps on international student fees. Both the party and I believe that education is a right. When it comes to international students, there is little to no doubt that they are being discriminated against. We are increasingly considering these students as cash cows. Our party aims to make education more affordable for both



Doly Begum

domestic and international students—accessible education is the only way forward to build a better world for all of us.

People-to-people contact is integral in understanding Canada-Bangladesh relations. And students are at the core of this relationship. Is Canada supportive of the integration of such students into the community?

I am a little critical of our immigration policy. When we attract students to Canada, we attract the very best of minds, who, mind you, get accepted into Canadian institutions on the basis of their own merit. Canadian legislators need to do more to help these students bridge that gap in finding employment post their education. This has been a visible problem for those coming in with student or work visas; we are selecting a breed of top-class individuals, and yet we fail to recognise their credentials. We tell them that they would have to go through another

rigorous process of academia or training, even though may already possess the necessary aptitude to be equally qualified for jobs as Canadians. We are telling them that they need to work for a minimum period of time to be eligible to get permanent residency in Canada, but at the same time, we are also creating policies which require them to fulfill certain criteria in order to get such jobs. It breaks my heart to see qualified immigrants, such as doctors, lawyers and engineers, coming to Canada, and driving cabs or performing jobs well below their skill levels. Imagine what they are going through in terms of their mental health. We are creating a system which is ready to fail those people. Then we say that it is an immigrant problem, as they did not contribute to the community. No, it is not them, it is us We have not done enough to address those problems. These people do not come here for handouts, they need a

path for them to flourish, and they

will walk that path on their own if given the opportunity.

Bangladesh has taken in over one million Rohingya refugees fleeing persecution in Myanmar. What role is Canada playing in addressing this crisis?

About two years ago, at a rally where we were demanding justice for the Rohingya population, I remember clearly that I met a Rohingya refugee, who currently resides in British Columbia. He said to me that he did not have anyone left in this world. He told me bluntly, "Doly, I wish they had just killed us quickly. If they had just shot us, it would have been easier." What is one supposed to think when someone says something like that? And I remember that day very clearly. We had the Canadian minister of foreign affairs come to the rally. We wanted to use the term "genocide" in our flyers, and you cannot imagine the amount of pushback we had gotten from this very Trudeau government. From my

done enough at all. Bangladesh has done a lot for the Rohingya people, even though they do not have the necessary capacity to sustain the large inflow of refugees, but they are still trying. I know it is not perfect, but the fact that they opened their doors to these refugees is amazing and an example for the global community. The amount of time it took the Canadian government to say that what was happening in Myanmar was an act of systematic and targeted genocide was disgraceful. And we should have been more proactive and bold in calling out the Myanmar authorities. We should have called out Aung San Suu Kyi from the very beginning, and this is coming from someone who once had the highest amount of respect for Ms Suu Kyi.

perspective as an MPP, we have not

The video of Doly Begum's Parliamentary Statement on Bangladesh's Independence Day can be found here: https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=8231274



bti surpassed every expectation we had when buying our home. They genuinely listened to the kind of home we were looking for, helped us find it and delivered it on time. We are truly pleased with bti!

Dr. Saiful Islam and Family Chorus, Aftabnagar, Dhaka





CROSSWORD BY THOMAS JOSEPH

32 Easter find

33 That woman

34 Swiss peak

37 Owner's paper

39 Exemplary

40 Alacrity

DOWN

41 Tee choice

42 Track figures

1 Perform spectacularly

dsopinion@gmail.com.

35 River to the Caspian

ACROSS 1 Droop 5 Stable youngsters

10 Outdo 12 Metal fastener 13 Fury

14 Texas landmark 15 Long, long time 16 Toward the stern 18 Not to mention

19 Tomorrow, in Tijuana 21 Dune makeup

22 Bridge work, e.g. 24 Seoul setting 25 Line-judging practice

30 Sound system

29 Hamlet, for one

2 Progress

Banks 4 Chiding sound 5 College house 6 Drill target

3 Romance writer

7 Online icon 8 Like some furniture polishes

9 Arose 11 Apparition 17 So-so

20 Low joint 21 Declare 23 Sonnet parts

25 Eastern temple 26 One with a line 27 Not suitable for kids 28 Hollered

29 New Jersey player 31 Paper essays

33 Store come-on 36 Comic bit 38 Wall St. debut Write for us. Send us your opinion pieces to

GAV UKULE PAM M D MOM TENET YENS S EA E R ST OMAR AUG SCAPEE N I P OLE TUDE ENOR SAT IN EREC DES

OGOS

ERI

CA

EL

YESTERDAY'S ANSWER

ASHES

STOVE

TOLET