

## 'Limited' indoor politics on the anvil

### EC's engagement with politicians is crucial

LAW and Information Adviser Mainul Hosen has spoken of a possible allowing of indoor politics on a limited scale. While such an expression of view, clearly made on behalf of the government, does generate a certain degree of public interest, it also raises the question of what precisely is meant by indoor politics on a limited scale. The reality at present is that politics on the whole has been kept in abeyance since January. It can be restored up to a point when the ban on indoor politics is lifted. In terms of semantics, therefore, limited indoor politics sounds rather ambivalent, which is why an elaboration from the government will be helpful.

Be that as it may, we have stated on several earlier occasions that a withdrawal of the ban on indoor politics is a prerequisite to getting such serious matters as internal political party reforms and electoral reform issues moving. Against that background, we view the government's latest position on the issue as quite encouraging and we do expect that the move the law adviser has spoken about will actually turn into a chance for the reforms process to be carried meaningfully forward. Equally important is the need for the Election Commission and the parties to engage in discussions on taking the reforms and electoral process ahead. The reluctance of the parties to talk to the EC before indoor politics is restored has made it clear that unless the government moves to liberalise the atmosphere, signs of a growing impasse could begin to show. An easing of restrictions on politics will thus neutralise such an unwelcome possibility since it will then make it easier for the political parties to involve themselves in the shaping of an overall national political program for the future. A key aspect of the lifting of the ban on indoor politics will likely be a facilitating of council meetings by the parties to help them carry their reforms process through.

Of significance is the assertion by another adviser to the government that if anyone among the reformists within the parties is suspected of any wrongdoing, he or she will be held to account. Such a position on the part of the government ties up with public expectation, for it dispels the notion that individuals suddenly given to supporting reforms in their parties, assuming there are charges of wrongdoing against them, might themselves be trying to elude justice. The law must be applied equally to all, if politics is to regain the respect it once had in this country.

## Spilling the beans

### Probe into Rajuk should lead to its overhaul

THE extent of anomalies, irregularities and corruption in Rajuk, the government agency for developing housing facilities in the city, as revealed by the taskforce appointed to look into its affairs, is alarming, to say the least.

The agency in charge of authorising building projects and distributing prime land in the form of residential plots to citizens virtually became a private preserve of the corrupt officials and employees, especially CBA leaders. It's difficult to point out a single area where Rajuk has performed satisfactorily! To begin with, it has been allowing a number of real estate developers, having no approval from Rajuk itself, to carry on housing business with it. Obviously, such illegal deals were the result of the developers heavily bribing Rajuk officials and employees. It seems rules and regulations had no place in its *modus operandi* and there was nobody to oversee its functioning. Or, those who were meant to oversee were themselves beneficiaries of dubious deals.

Rajuk officials and employees, from the very bottom to the top, allegedly managed to grab at least one piece of land in the city. This is another example of how they bypassed or manipulated the rules to take possession of residential plots meant for citizens.

There was the strident presence of CBA leaders who virtually controlled the recruitment process in Rajuk, which needed a lot of technically competent hands to operate professionally. But the CBA leaders made sure that only their candidates got the jobs.

Finally, they misappropriated several crores of taka deposited by plot recipients, through fraudulent means. They even didn't bother to return the unsuccessful plot seekers' money in many cases. The list of crimes committed by them is endless.

And all this happened in a government agency run with taxpayers' money!

The government has its job cut out: bring all the culprits to justice, meet the grievances of people at the receiving end of Rajuk's wrongdoing and thoroughly overhaul the administrative structure of Rajuk to avert its relapse into another cycle of corruption and expropriation of public property.

The Daily Star

FOUNDER EDITOR  
LATE S. M. ALI

DHAKA TUESDAY JULY 3, 2007

## Should political parties be banned for wholesale corruption?

SHAHNOOR WAHID

THEIR next question was concerning the reform process and the politicians who are running around with the reform agenda. They smell rat in this exercise saying that the reform process is going on in isolation and not by taking one another in confidence. This very fact indicates there is no trust and unity among the politicians themselves. They are doing what they do best: Conniving and scheming behind one another but lying with a straight face before camera. Then how can these politicians do any good for the nation?

SENSE & INSENSIBILITY

concern before joining politics.

Hence they wanted to know, how come today these politicians own houses in posh residential areas, more than one business venture and more than one luxury car? What was their source of paid up capital? Also, these people do not have any record of doing philanthropic or social welfare work with their own money before joining politics.

The cross section of people believe that the country progresses because common honest people, farmers, masons, plumbers, blacksmiths, businessmen, teachers, physicians, engineers and government officials worked hard through the decades with whatever resources they had, and not because some politicians gave worthless speeches in parliament or in public meetings. There they only spat venom against the opposition members, so how can they claim to have contributed in the development of the country?

Rather, politicians in power and their close relations take part in looting of wealth earned by the hard working common people. They import luxury cars and household items and go on foreign tours or to foreign hospitals to treat common cold spending the foreign currency earned by our exporters and our labour-force in overseas countries. Therefore, everywhere it is the ugly tale of grabbing.

It is at such points in the discussion with the citizens that some of them wanted to compare the merits and demerits of fifteen years of "democratic" governance with the autocratic governance of

THE long goodbye

Blair now accepts that Iraq is a "disaster". In his farewell remarks, he expressed his sympathy for the British troops who had sacrificed so much in his cause. He wished both his friends and his foes well as he said goodbye, but could not hide his long-suppressed hatred for the "feral" media (in a category beyond either friendship or enmity) which had been instrumental in aborting his term to a mere ten years. But at no point during his long goodbye did Blair apologise for Iraq.

BYLINE

Hamis and Gaza are out of his bounds. As presently defined, Blair has even less responsibility than once entrusted to the former World Bank President, Jim Wolfensohn, by the Quartet (America, European Union, Russia and the United Nations). Wolfensohn was told to get on with the economics of Palestine but to keep out of politics.

Blair, to state it simply, is no longer one of the "big boys." He may or may not get a salary in his new mission, although he will certainly get a plane. I do hope, however, they don't send the bill for the costs of the plane to Mahmoud Abbas. Nothing is impossible in the worldview of accountants.

Wolfensohn, whose sincerity and stature were beyond question, failed because the economics of Palestine, is inextricably linked to its internal and external politics. Assuming Blair can manage more elbowroom than a World Bank official, can he do any better at a moment of severe crisis?

What can Blair do as part-time envoy over the next one year that he could not do during ten years as full-time Prime

THE two-day Dhaka meeting

Against this background, the outcome of the two-day meeting at the foreign secretary level is welcome. The proof of the pudding is, however, in the eating and, likewise, the statement of cooperation with each other must be implemented on the ground as soon as possible. India's foreign secretary's reported statement that: "We would like to solve these issues as quickly as possible" is commendable. The delay in implementation leads to frustration, and suspicion of motives.

India may seize the opportunity that Bangladesh is being run by a non-party care-taker government (CTG) until election is held in 2008. The CTG is widely supported by the people. This appears to be an appropriate time for India to resolve many

THEir next question was concerning the reform process and the politicians who are running around with the reform agenda. They smell rat in this exercise saying that the reform process is going on in isolation and not by taking one another in confidence. This very fact indicates there is no trust and unity among the politicians themselves. They are doing what they do best: Conniving and scheming behind one another but lying with a straight face before camera. Then how can these politicians do any good for the nation?

The discussion also touched upon the need to send corrupt and useless politicians to jail and create space for young, honest, modern and hard working men and women to join politics to build a better Bangladesh. The nation needs new leadership. The old ones have had their chances to prove their mettle. And most of them failed miserably. The young leaders will have a long-term vision and take up plans with a futuristic outlook.

They further pointed out the imperatives of undertaking cleansing drives in the government offices and cadre services to ensure accountability at every step of the administration.

Shahnnoor Wahid is Senior Assistant Editor of The Daily Star.

The long goodbye

Blair now accepts that Iraq is a "disaster". In his farewell remarks, he expressed his sympathy for the British troops who had sacrificed so much in his cause. He wished both his friends and his foes well as he said goodbye, but could not hide his long-suppressed hatred for the "feral" media (in a category beyond either friendship or enmity) which had been instrumental in aborting his term to a mere ten years. But at no point during his long goodbye did Blair apologise for Iraq.

BYLINE

Hamis and Gaza are out of his bounds. As presently defined, Blair has even less responsibility than once entrusted to the former World Bank President, Jim Wolfensohn, by the Quartet (America, European Union, Russia and the United Nations). Wolfensohn was told to get on with the economics of Palestine but to keep out of politics.

Blair, to state it simply, is no longer one of the "big boys." He may or may not get a salary in his new mission, although he will certainly get a plane. I do hope, however, they don't send the bill for the costs of the plane to Mahmoud Abbas. Nothing is impossible in the worldview of accountants.

Wolfensohn, whose sincerity and stature were beyond question, failed because the economics of Palestine, is inextricably linked to its internal and external politics. Assuming Blair can manage more elbowroom than a World Bank official, can he do any better at a moment of severe crisis?

What can Blair do as part-time envoy over the next one year that he could not do during ten years as full-time Prime

THE two-day Dhaka meeting

Against this background, the outcome of the two-day meeting at the foreign secretary level is welcome. The proof of the pudding is, however, in the eating and, likewise, the statement of cooperation with each other must be implemented on the ground as soon as possible. India's foreign secretary's reported statement that: "We would like to solve these issues as quickly as possible" is commendable. The delay in implementation leads to frustration, and suspicion of motives.

India may seize the opportunity that Bangladesh is being run by a non-party care-taker government (CTG) until election is held in 2008. The CTG is widely supported by the people. This appears to be an appropriate time for India to resolve many

THEir next question was concerning the reform process and the politicians who are running around with the reform agenda. They smell rat in this exercise saying that the reform process is going on in isolation and not by taking one another in confidence. This very fact indicates there is no trust and unity among the politicians themselves. They are doing what they do best: Conniving and scheming behind one another but lying with a straight face before camera. Then how can these politicians do any good for the nation?

The discussion also touched upon the need to send corrupt and useless politicians to jail and create space for young, honest, modern and hard working men and women to join politics to build a better Bangladesh. The nation needs new leadership. The old ones have had their chances to prove their mettle. And most of them failed miserably. The young leaders will have a long-term vision and take up plans with a futuristic outlook.

They further pointed out the imperatives of undertaking cleansing drives in the government offices and cadre services to ensure accountability at every step of the administration.

Shahnnoor Wahid is Senior Assistant Editor of The Daily Star.

# Indo-Bangladesh talks in Dhaka

HARUN UR RASHID

THE two-day meet (June 25 and 26), at foreign secretary level, between Bangladesh and India appears to have gone well according to the media. It seems that agreement was reached in three broad areas:

- Exchange of security information.
- Implementation of 1974 land boundary agreement.
- Greater access of Bangladeshi goods to India.

Both sides seem to have conducted themselves in a business-like manner, and have concentrated on practicalities rather than rhetorical statements. The chief adviser indicated to India's foreign secretary that such talks should be held on a regular basis.

On the sidelines, common water resources management was also discussed, and the subject was left to

BOTTOM LINE

Both need each other in the globalised world. Good relations are built on principles of mutual trust, good neighbourliness and consideration. It is hoped that the talks just concluded will be the beginning of a new chapter of an abiding, cooperative and trustful bilateral relationship.

water experts at the upcoming meeting of the Joint Committee of Experts. This is important for Bangladesh, because if India "sneezes" Bangladesh catches "cold" later.

The chief adviser rightly pointed out to India's foreign secretary that economic development and prosperity of the region were in the interest of the two countries, and that the next-door neighbours should work together for the mutual benefit of the peoples of the two countries.

Bilateral relations between neighbours are always complex. They depend on many factors, including the ideologies of the governments in power. Right-wing governments tend to be engrossed in their own national interests, and flexibility in policy decisions is rare.

### Why do Bangladesh-India relations go through a cycle of cooperation and non-cooperation?

The very fact that the two foreign

secretaries met after two years demonstrates that bilateral relations remain uneasy, although they are correct in their dealings; otherwise officials of neighbouring nations should meet regularly and routinely, as they do in Europe or in Asean nations.

The state of Indo-Bangladesh relations is to be appreciated in the following context:

First, the asymmetrical physical of sizes of India (3,166,829 square kilometers) and Bangladesh (147,570 square kilometers) create a relationship that can be best understood by the analogy of sleeping with an elephant. However well-tempered and decent the beast is, every twitch and grunt of the elephant makes the sleeping neighbour uneasy. This implies that bigger neighbours have to be very sensitive to the concerns of smaller neighbours.

Second, Bangladesh does not have the capacity or ability to impose

a solution to a bilateral issue with India. India has to take the initiative because it is capable of doing so. The 1996 Ganges Water Treaty is an instance.

India has been ignoring solution of outstanding prickly issues, such as sea boundary, ownership of Talpatty Island, understanding on the proposed Tipaimukh dam on Barak river in the east, and exchange of enclaves as agreed under the 1974 Land Boundary Agreement. Trade deficit for Bangladesh, in the billions of dollars, does not help bilateral relations and has become a political issue.

Third, India is a regional power and has different global and regional interests from those of Bangladesh. China's relations with India remain uneasy, although considerably improved in economic areas. Bangladesh does not wish to be embroiled in Indo-China relations, and has to maintain a delicate bal-

ance between them, given its geographical location.

Fourth, India's policies should not be perceived as being intimidating to Bangladesh. This will spoil mutual trust for each other. For example, India has quietly embarked on construction of a fence that will eventually reach across 3,300 kilometres, hundreds of rivers and long stretches of forests and fields to seal itself off from Bangladesh, although India continues to assert "friendly and cooperative" ties with Bangladesh. Already 2,500 kilometres have been built quietly over the past seven years.

Many Bangladeshis are hurt, humiliated, and upset by India's action of fencing around Bangladesh. Does fencing make good neighbours? Who is creating political problems in bilateral relations? India's action on the ground is louder than reiteration of rhetorical statements of maintaining "friendly ties" with Bangladesh.

Fifth, India's strategic interests often affect small neighbouring countries. Often a small neighbour's close relations with big or regional powers tend to annoy India, as they affect India's overall strategic interests.

For example, Bangladesh could not conclude the Sofa agreement with the US in 1998 because of reported objection of the Vajpayee government. No large country should

restrict or restrain the flexibility of a neighbour's foreign policy on the ground of it being against its strategic interests.

### Factors for bilateral relationship

The recognition that each country has its own view on a given issue is imperative, and not to understand this perspective is to misjudge the very nature of solid bilateral relationship. Close friend does not mean that both countries must always have the same view on a given issue.

India must appreciate the sensitivity of the people of small countries like Bangladesh. It means that a small neighbour tends to guard its independence vigorously, and any perception of dominance by a bigger neighbour, rightly or wrongly, does not help improve bilateral relations.

Economic relations are not independent of political relations. In most cases, good political relations fostered by identical values, common problems, and similar experiences, tend to promote economic relations. Evidence in all regions of the world confirms that economic relationship or interdependence is the closest between neighbouring countries.

India has not been able to assist Bangladesh in its infrastructure developments as Japan and China have. There is no visible project or infrastructure in the country that

people may see as a symbol of bilateral relations. India has done it in other countries, but not in Bangladesh. It is not understood why India satidle for 36 years?

Almost all researchers working in the area of Indo-Bangladesh relations have mentioned the desirability of a closer integration of Bangladesh economy's with India's northeastern Indian states. Such an economic integration will arguably have several beneficial impacts on Bangladesh and India.

### The two-day Dhaka meeting

Against this background, the outcome of the two-day meeting at the foreign secretary level is welcome. The proof of the pudding is, however, in the eating and, likewise, the statement of cooperation with each other must be implemented on the ground as soon as possible. India's foreign secretary's reported statement that: "We would like to solve these issues as quickly as possible" is commendable. The delay in implementation leads to frustration, and suspicion of motives.

India may seize the opportunity that Bangladesh is being run by a non-party care-taker government (CTG) until election is held in 2008. The CTG is widely supported by the people. This appears to be an appropriate time for India to resolve many

of the prickly issues.

This available opportunity must not be missed, according to many political observers. Such opportunities existed during the Mujib-Indira days in the early 70s, but political analysts believe that Indian bureaucrats failed to take advantage of their warm personal relationship to resolve many bilateral issues.

Once the issues are resolved to mutual satisfaction, the Bangladesh people will tend to support any initiative the government takes with India in respect of closer relations, including inter-connectivity of transportation on land and river. Chittagong port may eventually be developed as the regional port for Nepal, Bhutan and northeastern Indian states.

Both need each other in the globalised world. Good relations are built on principles of mutual trust, good neighbourliness and consideration. It is hoped that the talks just concluded will be the beginning of a new chapter of an abiding, cooperative and trustful bilateral relationship.

One fact that India may take into account is that Bangladesh can derail its regional ambitions if it ignores Bangladesh's concerns. There rests, according to political observers, the importance of Bangladesh to India.

Barrister Harun ur Rashid is Former Bangladesh Ambassador to the UN, Geneva.