Education in Bangladesh: An illustration | The US can out-charm China

Big advancements have been made over the last two decades in Bangladesh's education sector. Admission of pupils at the primary stage has increased enormously and there has been a rise in the numbers of schools, colleges, and universities. But despite this progress, questions remain over the quality of education. There is also concern over the high drop-out rate of pupils at primary and secondary levels due to high costs. The BBC's Pulak Gupta visited public and private schools of four north and north-western districts of Bangladesh, and prepared this report.



IG advancements have been made over the last two decades in Bangladesh's education sector. Admission of pupils at the primary stage has increased enormously and there has been a rise in the numbers of schools, colleges, and universities. But despite this progress, questions remain over the quality of education. There is also concern over the high drop-out rate of pupils at primary and secondary levels due to high costs. The BBC's Pulak Gupta visited public and private schools of four north and north-western districts of

report. The biggest progress made in Bangladesh's education sector over the last two decades is the huge increase of school-going pupils at the primary level. Even in remote villages, it is hard to find a child who has never been to a school. From policy makers to researchers, this is considered to he no small achievement

Bangladesh, and prepared this

"It is a very visible change," says Rasheda K Choudhury, the Director of CAMPE, a nongovernmental organisation (NGO) which works in the education sector. "Admission rates among children in primary schools has increased highly, almost by 97 percent."

All over Bangladesh there is now a demand for education among all parts of society. The ratio of boy and girl pupils is roughly equalan achievement which some argue has not been achieved anywhere else in South Asia, except Sri Lanka and the Maldives.

Education Secretary ANM Yusuf says that this success is due to the consistency of the government

"Formulating laws to make differences in quality between primary education compulsory, introducing food-for-education initiatives for girl students and infrastructural development have meant more new schools, and higher teacher recruitment rates,"

But while there is little doubt that students are going to the school, questions remain over much they are actually learning and how useful their schooling will be in

Researchers say it is gradually

them and urban schools are They warn that the consequences of such disparities could be far reaching.

To witness this threat I went to a number of public and private primary schools in the Rangpur and Sungamganj districts in the northeastern area.

Most of the government primary schools do not have teaching materials in the classroom except a blackboard. In each school, the ratio of teachers to students is can do general calculations.

But their teachers paint a different picture, arguing that most students are not up to scratch because of the limitations of the educational system.

A reliable gauge of the quality of pupils can be provided when they cross the primary level and enter the secondary stage in class six. An assessment exam is taken at the beginning of the year in the class six. Most students who have finished class five do not do well in



Education minister Dr. M Osman Farruq says that one way of improving standards is to recruit trained teachers. He says the authorities will next year implement a project -- with the help of the Asian Development Bank -- which aims to produce more teacher trainers. Many expert educationists vehemently oppose this policy, but Dr. Farruk insists that the quality of secondary level students will be improved by this initiative.

becoming evident that resolving this problem is key to the future success of the Bangladeshi education system. The findings of a recent survey seem to confirm their

The Education Watch research revealed that in 1998 only 29 percent of students at primary achieved the principal competencies such as writing, reading, and general mathematical skills.

Another survey carried out in 2001 revealed that only 1.6 percent of pupils reached the required standard in 27 competencies.

Researchers argue that their findings show that the increase of pupils at primary level has resulted a corresponding downfall in

The survey shows that these deficiencies are more evident in rural primary schools, and that huge, with many finding that the only way to tackle the imbalance is by running two shifts.

Sutopa Bhattachariya is a teacher of Rothpara primary school of Sunamganj.

"As the number of students is too high," she says, "we cannot give attention to all of the students. "We also cannot teach properly as we have to take classes continuously. The class hours have been shortened. They are not longer than 30-40 minutes.

"Lack of awareness among parents and guardians also exists, as most do not teach their children at home." she savs.

Talking with students I found many, quite understandably, as not in a position to assess the quality of the education they are receiving. If asked, they say they can read anything in Bengali or English, and

Gul Ahmed, the headmaster of Vimkhali Government High School in Sunamganj, says that the deficiencies of students in subjects such English and Maths is frustrating. Three-quarters of pupils enrolling in the class six cannot differentiate between point five and

point zero five.

"Because there is no exam to enter the secondary stage from class five, students who don't rvrn know the alphabet get admitted, said Gul Ahmed. Yet schools in rural areas have no choice but to admit these inadequate pupils in the interests of maintaining pupil

Another problem at the primary level is the high drop-out rate of students from or before class five. Rasheda K Choudhury says this is mainly due to the poverty, as par-

ents and quardians cannot afford the expense of educating their offspring. Financial insecurity means that many students enter working life without being properly qualified, and hence the cycle of poverty remains unbroken.

Another reason for high dropout rates after class five is because education at secondary level is not free, and in most cases it is not possible for poorer parents and quardians to bear the expense of books and pens.

The question of educational quality also raises its head in the higher education sector, especially when it comes to a few private universities

Education minister Dr. M Osman Farruq says that one way of improving standards is to recruit trained teachers. He says the authorities will next year implement a project -- with the help of the Asian Development Bank -- which aims to produce more teacher

Many expert educationists vehemently oppose this policy, but Dr. Farruk insists that the quality of secondary level students will be improved by this initiative.

Although no-one doubts that Bangladesh's education system faces formidable challenges in the future, it has nevertheless made progressparticularly when it comes to pupil numbers.

So the end of term report reads "well done but could do better."

Bangladesh Sanglap 4: Education: Can The System Deliver Quality? will be broadcast today at 8 pm after the BBC's Bengali Programme Probah in Shortwave meter band of 31 and 41 (at 9395 and 7520 KHz) and in Dhaka at FM 100 MHz. It will be televised in Channel I television on Sunday at 8 pm. The Daily Star is the BBC's print media partner for this programme.

its urgency. "It's so clear that we



writes from Washington

VERY insider knows that the key to power in Washington is being at the meeting. It's an ancient political rule: if you're not at the meeting, no one will protect your interests and your agenda. Well, there's going to be a big meeting in Asia next week, and for the first time at such a high-level governmental conclave, the United States will not be present.

The East Asia Summit, being hosted in Kuala Lumpur on December 12, should serve as a wake-up call for Washington, While we focus our attention daily on Iraq and the Gaza Strip, the 21st century is going to be shaped in Asia. Already India and China make up 40 percent of the world's working-age population. In 20 years, it is likely that three of the world's four biggest economies will be in Asia. And all threeJapan, China, and India -- will be at the meeting in Kuala Lumpur next week

The full story behind the East Asian summit is also a reminder that the United States is not predestined to be marginalized in Asia. Two years ago when I traveled through Asia, the air was thick with anti-Americanism. Tensions were skyhigh over Iraq, and particularly the Bush administration's arrogance and unilateralism. In addition, the rise of China was dazzling all, making governments think about life in a Sino-centered world. Beijing thought up the East Asia Summit as a regular regional meeting and one where, with the United States absent, China would be the star.

Since then, things have changed. Iraq continues to be a source of great anger, but it has lost

China has used soft power only in the sense that it has exercised its power softly. It does this consciously to show that it is not a bully, unlike guess who. And it works. America remains unpopular among the peoples of Asia, even in countries like Japan, where the government is friendly with Washington.

were right; most Americans now see this, so why rub it in?" says Karim Raslan, a Malaysian writer and political consultant. American aid and rescue efforts after the tsunami helped mend its image, particularly in Indonesia. But the broadest reason for the shift is that Asian countries are beginning to see China's rise as the complex phenomenon that it is. In Japan, India and much of Southeast Asia there is still great hope that China's growth will be an economic boon for them. But there is also a realization that an Asia dominated by China would not be in their interests. "We want a solar system with many suns," says Raslan. That's why, despite China's protests, the East Asian summit will now include India, Australia, and New Zealand, And last week a Chinese official left the door open for participation by the United States

of America. Asia already has many suns. After all, in real GDP, Japan's economy is much larger than China's. India, despite its political inertia, continues to grow at close to 8 percent. Asian unity is a nice idea but not likely to be much more than that. The more Asians think about it, the less they want any one country to be their leader. Nor has any Asian country shown the ability to do this. Japan's troubles were highlighted recently in its spectacularly unsuccessful bid for a permanent seat at the UN Security Council. Despite spending billions a year in foreign aid and being the second largest donor to the UN, Japan got almost no support in Asia for its effort. Chinese diplomacy is considerably more skilled (hence Tokyo's failure at the UN). In its much-touted charm offensive of recent years, China has

spent very little money and actually has not been particularly charming. Instead, it has dazzled everyone with visions of its future economic mightand the opportunities this would bring. But neither Japan nor China has

any real vision of what Asia should look like, certainly not a vision other countries will buy into. Simon Tay, a Singaporean scholar, explains "People speak of China's 'soft power.' But this is a misunderstanding of the term, coined by Joseph Nye. Soft power means the appeal of one's culture, ideas, and principles. China has no soft power. No one in Asia wants the Chinese dream or pines to live in a Chinese world. Even the Chinese don't really know what that would mean.'

China has used soft power only in the sense that it has exercised its power softly. It does this consciously to show that it is not a bully, unlike guess who. And it works. America remains unpopular among the peoples of Asia, even in countries like Japan, where the government is friendly with Washington. In most Asian elections in the last two years, being pro-American was a political liability. "Many would welcome an American role. But not one that tries to be the dominant power itself or simply balances China," says Tay "We want an honest broker. But we don't see that, so we are searching for some kind of self-regulation at summits like this upcoming one."

There is a growing market for a long-term American role in Asia. But is there someone in Washington who knows how to make the sale?

Fareed Zakaria is Editor of Newsweek

© 2005, Newsweek Inc. All rights reserved Reprinted by arrangement.

India shining?



IKRAM SEHGAL

HOW-cased as an annual event, the India Economic Summit of the World Economic Forum offers a tremendous networking opportunity for both foreign and Indian businesses, providing a rare encapsulated insight into new products and developments for participants. India's high growth rate is sustained and force-multiplied by foreign direct investment (FDI), a combination of western entrepreneurs, non-resident Indians (NRIs), and dynamic local industrialists and businessmen taking good advantage of the vastness of India and its teeming population.

While the talent and expertise exhibited by both the public and private sector are impressive, the major components of the booming economy include information technology (IT), and outsourcing. The opening of the aviation sector has initiated another surge, new private airlines adding more and more aircraft as passengers turn for long hauls from trains and buses to the air. With publicprivate sector investment in roads and highways, multiple number of high-rises are being constructed in many cities and towns, forcemultiplying the economic boom.

More and more vehicles are on the roads (in New Delhi almost 400,000 vehicles, one for every 30 or so inhabitants of the city), mushrooming ads on billboards, the surging stock markets, the media, both print, and electronic, going gung-ho, etc, a supremely confident (to the point of being arrogant) rich class and a seemingly very confident middle class. Karachi boasts of four hotels in the 4-5 star class, in New Delhi alone there are over 25, maybe a 100 (or even more) in Mumbai filled to the brim with foreign visitors, more businessmen than tourists. They may charge you an arm and a leg for the privilege of breathing their air, you get quality service and it is this way all the year around.

Unlike "automobiles sales" and

"housing starts" as sound economic indicators, mobiles in the hands of nearly everyone is not an accurate measure of economic prosperity. With two million or so mobile sets activated in India every month, over 24 million this year alone, a total of about 80 million mobile sets in the country repreits 8 percent of the population. By the same token over 16 million dle class income can hardly afford mobile telephones make 10 per-

off the beaten track for most foreigners. A two-bedroom flat in a passable locality costs not less than Indian Rs 3.5 million. Even the upper middle class earning Indian afford this without supplementing their income. Most housing units coming onto the market are taken by NRIs. The average upper midanything beyond Rs 2 million (with

AS I SEE IT

Indian Economic Summit is certainly good for Indians. One can understand why economically dynamic India is reluctant to sacrifice the name change to South Asian Economic Summit but an economically integrated South Asia will require a fundamental attitude changeover, the others should not feel like extras in a movie set. The Indians are the centre of the South Asian world, but they will have to be more large-hearted towards their neighbours to get genuine cooperation going in the region.

cent of the population in Pakistan. By the same token what about Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, and Nepal? Mobiles give a false dawn of economic dynamism. All this hoopla cannot hide the tell-tale signs of poverty that drove BJP from power despite the perception of affluence. More than double the population at the time of independence in 1947 live under the poverty line and the numbers are growing. About 300 million are considered middle class but the real figure of upper middle class is probably about 150 million, about 15 percent of the population). The very rich (i.e. of all classes, industrialists, businessmen, landowners, etc) comprise only about 10 million (about 1 percent of the population of 1 billion) add to this about 5-6 million rich Non-Resident Indians (NRIs). About 150 million make up the lower middle class who live on the poverty fail-safe line (hand to mouth) while 700 million or so live well below the poverty line, eking out a daily existence sometimes an impossibility. On a percentage basis, Pakistanis who live below the poverty line are far less than

Riding a bus and taking time to walk around the streets by yourself gives one the real feel of the country. The affluent areas are in drastic comparison to the squalor evident

a down payment of Rs 0.5 million) even if he or she were to put away a third of his/her monthly salary in the face of booming real-estate

The Indians create a very realistic illusion while we in Pakistan love to run down ourselves to the lowest rung of the economic ladder. The way to keep land prices down is to have a non-utilisation fee on price of land, this will contain speculation. The Pakistan middle class is probably better off than the Indian upper-middle class, even though the Indian rich are far richer (and getting rich) than the Pakistani rich and are far more in number. An Indian friend of mine did an IPO of his company of Rs 700 million recently, it is now worth Rs 16,000 million. The downside of "trickledown" economics is common to India and Pakistan, indeed all the developing countries of the world, the lot of the masses cannot be tackled without first creating manufacturing and services dynamism through private entrepreneurship. thus making the rich richer and putting additional burden on the lower middle class and poor. The name of the game is not to beggar the vast majority during the process. On a pro-rata basis, the number of insurrections and incidents of armed violence in India is more then double the rate in the

rest of the South Asian countries combined. But compare the international image of Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Nepal, or Bangladesh as regards "law and order" to that of The "secular" state notwith-

standing, the 16 percent Muslim

population and the 8-9 percent Christian community are virtually not represented in the rich class. The Buddhists do not fare any better, the minorities are nowhere, if not due to discrimination it is certainly because of lack of opportunities available to them. The signs of economic dynamism in ndia instills a bad case of economic inferiority among non-Indian South Asians. Individual Indians are extremely nice and hospitable, as a group they tend to be condescending towards other South Asians. The Indian attitude does create a doubt in the minds of those from smaller countries, they fear becoming second class citizens in an Indian-dominated South Asia. South Asians therefore tend to be ambivalent towards Indians. tending to be resentful of them as a country. The prosperity and development in India of today is very real, when thrust down your throat ad nauseam from every pulpit, it does get suffocating.

India is certainly on the go. Indians have reason to consider the country "shining" but the shine is like the gloss on a new cricket ball. After a few overs have been bowled the rough edges do creep through. And one's inferiority complex straightens itself out when passing through the antiquated and cumbersome procedures at India's international airports. The personnel are surly and unfriendly, or is this only reserved for Pakistanis? Sri Lankans and Bangladeshis say that they get "the treatment" more than us.

In such an environment, an Indian Economic Summit is certainly good for Indians. One can understand why economically dynamic India is reluctant to sacrifice the name change to South Asian Economic Summit but an economically integrated South Asia will require a fundamental attitude changeover, the others should not feel like extras in a movie set. The Indians are the centre of the South Asian world, but they will have to be more largehearted towards their neighbours to get genuine cooperation going in

Ikram Sehgal, a former Major of Pakistan Army, is a political analyst and columnist

Bomb blasts and security loopholes

EKRAM KABIR

S exploding bombs in 63 out of 64 districts anything short of waging war against the state? Any least-oriented person on statecraft would agree to this observation. The extent, scale and gravity of August 17 serial blasts warrant all-out effort, on part of a state, for eradicating the roots of

The bombers also left leaflets at the explosion sites, saying that these blasts, all at a time, were just to prove their strength. Did they try to implicate that they were capable of a takeover? If that was the case. what can be a more demeaning for those steering the state machinery? However, some stray security measures were taken with some arrests, the reaction of the ruling elite to these blasts was more flippant than anxious. Imagine what would have been the reaction of, say, India or Pakistan, not to talk about a few developed countries? What would have they done if bomb exploded in all their provinces or districts? It should be anybody's guess: they would have used all their might to uproot the bombers within the soonest possi-

On the contrary, Bangladesh seems to take unusually long time, trying to pass on the buck to the scourge of international terrorism. Considerable time has passed after August 17; the militants allegedly responsible for the incidents continued with their mission and as part of it, killed two judges. Besides, members of suicide squad of bomb-happy outfit have again struck amid a threatening spree. Suicide attacks Bangladesh's first, on November 29, at two court premises followed by another a couple of days later have left quite a number of per-These seemed to have moved

the administration for taking some measures. But, measures so far, according to press reports, among others, that have been taken by the government are: a. increasing the number of security personnel; b. starting to make sure that its secret service agencies deliver; c. engaging members of Rapid Action Battalion and police to arrest militants. While these measures are

welcome by members of he public, there are still some security loopholes that may overshadow all these efforts. Confused public: Ever since the

Bangladesh is a geographically small nation-state. Formulating a national security strategy for it should not be too difficult. The present situation certainly demands a long-term security plan against terror and violence. Security, these days, means peace in citizens' minds; and the present crisis calls for an all-out effort to contain terror for the sake of peace.



Suicide bomber attack at Gazipur court premises on Nov 29 killed at least six persons.

Bangladesh, political parties have been accusing each other for these incidents. The opposition parties have been blaming the government party and its allies to these blasts and the rise of militancy. A few Islamic parties have identified Jamaat-e-Islami Bangladesh to be behind the blasts. One or two members of the government party have also pointed their fingers at Jamaat. The opposition parties have continued their tall-talks without playing any role to encounter the scourge. On the top of everything, the government has been saying that "a section" was trying to create chaos in the country, without explaining who "a section" really is. Now, as blames and counter-blames may sound irritating, they are also making the public highly insecure. Why? Because these are likely to plunge the people into utter confusion Here lies the strategic flaws on the part of a nation. If political parties themselves play a catalytic role in making the public confused, there's no meaning talking about security for them. A confused mass

is not an easy bunch to lead. "Visible" patrolling: Bomb inciblasts began to plague

dents of August 17 scale usually require "highly visible" security patrolling to prevent them. While the government says it has taken all measures to prevent bombers from manufacturing, carrying and exploding bombs, very little has been done to ensure that. Anyone touring Dhaka city would see the lack of "visible" security patrol. It is only the TV news bulletins that are showing visuals of security checks. In reality, security personnel deployed in Dhaka hardly do any vigorous check they are supposed to. Without any "visible" security, we may not be able to deter the bombers. Who and what: Are our intelligence agencies proving to be

ineffective? If they could deliver, incidents such as August 21 and August 17 wouldn't have occurred in the first place. Our police and the elite force, RAB, were seen to arrest a few alleged militants and uncover some tiny bomb-making ghettoes, recovering some bombmaking substances. But do they have any idea where the amount of explosives used on August 17 came from? Absolutely no clue on it! Do they find it hard to believe

that these militants are "capable" of running big terrorist attacks? It seems they are yet to realise intensity of their (militants') firepower. And with suicide attackers now in the scene, wouldn't it be close to impossible for these security forces to tackle the situation?

Again, "what" actually are we ooking for? Are we looking for firearms or explosives? The present situation certainly warrants looking for explosives. But the way our security forces are handling explosives is a matter of grave concern. They are seen handling bombs without any protection. On the other hand, militants seem to be more experienced with explosives that our security officials are.

Armed forces: The gravity of the

August 17 countrywide serial blasts followed by recent incidents suggests that an all-out action needs to be in place. Any other country would have waged a war against the militants immediately after the attacks. And the best way to fight them might be using our armed forces. The implication of August 17 was that it was an attack on our sovereignty. And when the country's sovereignty is at stake, the armed forces have to be brought in. Well, the government may have reasons for not to call them in, but they can at least plug the holes through which illegal weapons and/or explosives enter into the country. We do have some members of our armed forces in RAB, but they don't seem enough. Their number needs to be increased. If the armed forces can be used in other parts of the world for keeping peace, they can also be used for the same purpose in their own country.

Conclusion: Bangladesh is a geographically small nation-state. Formulating a national security strategy for it should not be too difficult. The present situation certainly demands a long-term security plan against terror and violence. Our national policyformulators must have seen that the concept of security has witnessed a sea-change at the global level. Side by side with macro issues of security, the micro issues, such as theoretical and technological know-how of security personnel, are also getting high priority. Security, these days, means peace in citizens' minds: and the present crisis calls for an all-out effort to contain terror for the sake of peace

Ekram Kabir, a Dhaka-based journalist, works for