# Restoring Justice to the Banking System

anking is built on trust. Trust must always be underwritten by ethics which obligate a person to honour their word. If there was no substructure of ethics to a relationship of trust, we would spend all our time in the law courts because the foundation of trust would be built exclusively on the rule and enforcement of law. In Bangladesh this critical ethical dimension to the relationship of trust which sustains a banking system is being eroded to a point where recourse to law remains the dominant sanction behind the relationship of trust needed to preserve the integrity of the banking system. Where the laws of the land as much as the mechanism of enforcement remain inadequate to the task of substituting ethics, it is not surprising that the very viability of the banking system has come into question. My paper argues that the task of our banking system should extend beyond the mere enforcement of a fiduciary relationship between depositors and borrowers and should attempt to ensure that the resources entrusted to their care are used in a just manner.

Justice should be seen to include an economic, social and political dimension. Economic justice implies that economic compensation should be calibrated to efficiency and skills. Social justice implies that all citizens should be provided with equal opportunities through command over productive assets and skills to participate on competitive terms in the market. Political justice means that all citizens should be able to participate freely in exercising their political choice and should be able to compete on equal terms for public office. Within the construct of political and social justice we need to recognise that all citizens should receive equal treatment under the

### The social context of bank nationalisation

Given the specific nature of a

market driven banking system it is traditionally seen as a rich man's game, where those with wealth and status remain eligible to attract bank loans. It was this conception of a banking system as a bastion of privilege where wealth perpetuated wealth which encouraged the move towards bank nationalisation in many countries. The prospect of urban based banks excluding the rural areas, and even large segments even of the urban population by targetting their loans for relatively risk free operations which generated public pressures for a more democratic banking system which serviced a wider constituency. It was this same logic which lay behind bank nationalisation in Bangladesh in 1972. However, in the specific case of Bangladesh the banking system prior to independence in 1971 was not only highly concentrated in the urban areas it was also owned largely by non-Bangalis who used their control of the banking system to serve non-Bangali enterprises thereby enabling them to establish hegemony over the local economy.

At the time of liberation the non-Bangalis owners fled away to Pakistan leaving heavy uncollateralised overdrafts drawn in the name of their non-Bangali clients who had also departed Bangladesh. The primary goals of bank nationalisation in 1972 thus included the obligation to save an abandoned banking system from collapse, to spread the coverage of the banking system to the rural areas and to provide access to bank financing to a wider constituency of borrowers drawn from a less

privileged background. The underlying logic of bank nationalisation in 1972 was designed to serve a much broader constituency of borrowers, small farmers, rural and small entrepreneurs, new medium sized entrepreneurs as well as the nationalised industries. The subsequent crists of the NCBs originated in the failure of the system to live upto the objectives set out at the time of nationalisation in the 1972. The banking system in practise became a source of injustice through having to underwrite the losses of state owned enterprises, condoning defaults of wealthy private borrowers, inequity in its lending practises to the private borrowers and neglect of small borrowers This injustice was compounded by the growing prevalence of political patronage in seeking to influence banking operations which encouraged corruption and protected inefficiency in nationalised commercial banks (NCBs).

It should, however, be said to , the access to bank loans at large

the credit of the nationalised banking system in post-liberation Bangladesh that they did realise one of their primary objectives, the dispersal of the banking system. The NCBs spread out their branches into the rural areas, often in areas with a weak economic base. In 1972 at the time of nationalisation there were only 1116 bank branches across Bangladesh of which 38% were located in rural areas. By 1980 bank branches had increased to 3748 of which 63% were now located in rural areas. Thus of the 2362 new branches opened between 1972 and 1980, 81% were located in rural areas. A further 1848 branches were opened between 1980 and 1991 of which 73% were located in rural areas. This geographical spread of the banking system was arrested by the process of bank privatisation and the World Bank driven process of financial sector reforms. Today (1998) only 61% of the bank branches are located in the rural areas. These are largely NCBs. Private banks have opened few branches in the rural

#### Efficiency and Justice in the banking system

The spread of the banking system spearheaded by the NCBs had the positive effect of not only widening the network of the banking system but thereby exposing a large number of clients of modest means to the formal banking system. Today (31/3/99) there are 26.3 million bank accounts held in all banks of Bangladesh; of these 18.5 million or 70% are held in accounts below Tk. 5000. Indeed today there are 25 million accounts with deposits below Tk. 50,000 which add upto 95% of all accounts and account for 21.5% of all deposits. If we were to incorporate the clients of the microcredit financial institutions (MFI) who today add upto 7.8 million borrowers, then the spread of banking services covers over 75%

of the population. It is this very spread of the banking system to encompass a large proportion of the households of Bangladesh which brings the issue of justice within our discourse on ethics. Today the banking system is no longer the exclusive preserve of a narrow urbanised elite but involves a very large proportion of households, if we also include clients of the MFI system. This large banking clientele, in numerical terms

is mostly made up of people with

modest means who have now

come to look to the banking sys-

tem to provide a secure home for

source of credit. This large and

growing constituency now has to

be recognised and accommo-

Unfortunately, this need to

democratise the banking system

has not been recognised by Ban-

gladesh's banks both public and

private. Whilst the NCBs and

specialised banks do indeed have

a much broader coverage than

the private banks, their lending

operation remain highly concen-

trated inspite of their very large

base of depositors. 94% of NCB

account holders, with accounts

below Tk. 50,000 receive 10.6% of

NCB advances whilst 6659 or

0.1% of account holders, holding

accounts of over Tk. 1 crore,

receive 51% of NCB advances. It

account holders below Tk.

50,000, whilst 11.9 million

deposit money in the NCBs only

2.6 million of these depositors

have access to bank advances. It

should also be noted that the

NCBs serve not just the public

sector but a larger constituency

sector borrowers as of March

1999 accounted for 90% of all

78% of all working capital loans

and 85% of all term loans. It is to

be noted that whilst private

banks have emerged to serve the

private sector, the specialised

banks and NCBs still provide

78% of all term loans and 58% of

working capital loans drawn by

the private sector from the bank-

ing system. The private banks, as

may be expected, also have a

highly concentrated pattern of

bank lending. As a result, with

the spread of privatised banking

to be noted that amongst

dated by the banking system.

their deposits and also as

has become increasingly.

by Rehman Sobhan Is there any scope for restoring justice to our banking system? A democratic society has to reconcile its goal of removing poverty through democratising access to resources and restoring efficiency to the financial system. A system which does not regenerate itself though repayment of loans by its borrowers cannot survive. We, therefore, need to address the problem of justice in the banking system within a more holistic framework of financial sector reform which can effectively integrate the micro-credit and macro-credit system.

It is arguable that such a concentrated pattern of advances reflects the logic of the market place. The large account holders are deemed to be creditworthy and are likely to be more efficient in their use of such credit. Unfortunately, the contemporary historical record in Bangladesh does not sustain the credibility of this piece of inherited folklore in the banking system. As of 31/12/99, Tk. 24,000 crore or 41% of all outstanding loans made by the banking system of Bangladesh were classified or in popular terminology, were in default. The proportion of classified loans were relatively higher in the NCBs where the ratio stood at 46%. In contrast private bank loan classification covered 27% of their advances whilst only 4% of the loans of foreign banks were so classified. However, within the private banks 7 of the largest banks had over 33% of their outstanding loans classified.

It is ironical that large defaults within the private banks largely originated in the insiderborrowing by the bank owners from their own banks. Part of the logic of bank privatisation lay in the expectation that private bankers, as owners, would be more prudent in the supervision of loan operations compared to managers of the NCBs. In practice, we have observed that a section of private bank owners have committed an injustice on their depositors by using their funds to finance the owner's businesses in violation of both banking laws and best practice. This injustice to the depositors was then com pounded by inefficiency whereby the owner-borrowers defaulted on loans taken from their own banks, thereby putting the viability of the bank at risk and violating the trust of their depositors.

In recent years some attempts were made to improve discipline in the banking system. In the early years when the system was taking shape a much higher

proportion of loans were classi-

fled. Thus, upto the end of 1990

in relation to outstanding loans,

76% of the NCB loans, 65% of

private banks loans and 89% of

specialised bank loans were

classified. Following the intro-

duction of financial sector

reforms in 1990 the situation

improved but not significantly. Of

all loans sanctioned between 1-1-

91 and 31-12-95, classified loans

of the NCBs amounted to 62% of

outstanding loans, whilst 61% of

outstanding loans of private

banks were classified. The

improved classification ratio of

loans between 1996 and 31-12-

99 of 16% shows some improve-

ment in banking discipline. But

such new loans need to stand the

test of time since these new loans

are not likely to mature so soon

The formal banking system should more readily

reach out for the low income groups served by

MFIs as well as to small farmers served largely

by specialised financial institutions and target

the underserved small scale business sector,

including small ventures capitalists.

and will need careful monitoring to see that they also do not end up in default like their predecessors.

It should be here recognised that not all large borrowers are in default of their financial obligations to the banks. Thus, even within large borrowers there is an element of injustice whereby some borrowers duly service their loans whilst some do not. This arrangement penalises efficient large entrepreneurs, engaged in competition both in the market place and for scarce resources who pay a much higher implicit rate of interest on their loan portfolio than a borrower who defaults on his loan.

## Micro-credit and the spread of institutional

banking In the prevailing circumstances of such a high percentage of default amongst affluent borrowers, attention needs to be given to the fact that a new class of micro-borrowers have emerged on the banking scene. These borrowers fall within the category of the rural poor, defined as those owing less than 0.5 acres of land. These borrowers may not be the poorest of the poor but they do belong to those who live below the poverty line. As of 30 June, 2000 it is estimated that 11.9 million households in Bangladesh covering 47.5% of the total population, were deemed to have incomes below the poverty line. 65% of these 11.9 million households are estimated to be recipients of micro credit. The special feature of micro-lending in Bangladesh is the fact that it largely serves poor rural women and that the system is characterised by very low levels of default. Grameen Bank as of March 2000 had outstanding loans of Tk. 12,022 crores to 2.4 million borrowers but only 10.5% of the outstanding loans were overdue. Today Grameen Bank is the world's largest provider of micro-credit with an adequate capital base in little need of recapitalisation.

Apart from Grameen Bank and other MFIs, government agencies such as BRDB and the Youth Resource Development and Employment Project, have between then disbursed Tk. 1508 crores, BRDB has recovered 85% of its disbursed loans which have been extended to 1.65 million borrowers of whom 83% are women. Thus even public sector institutions have demonstrated the efficiency of their low income borrowers.

There is a temptation to romanticise the role of the MFIs who are viewed by some votaries. including aid donors, as the solvent to the problem of poverty and the emancipation of women in Bangladesh. We do not need to indulge in such flights of fancy since poverty in Bangladesh still remains close to 50% inspite of the spectacular growth of micro credit. The real achievement of the micro finance system has been to bring close to 10 million borrowers into the institutional credit market. This figure may be compared to the 6.5 million accounts who received credit from the formal banking system. The MFIs have established that the more deprived segment of the population are now familiar with commercial banking. They know

about their fiduciary obligations, they have to make intelligent market decisions on investment and manage their resources with enough efficiency to be able to service loans, paying market based rates of interest.

## Social Injustice in the

**Banking System** This recognition of the bankability of the poor in Bangladesh which has been established by the widespread coverage of the loan operations of the MFIs has to be juxtaposed against the concentrated nature of lending by the formal banking sector. This inequitable loan structure of the commercial banks has ended up with a loan classification ratio of 41% the bulk of which originate from the large borrowers in both the public and private sector, but mostly in the private sector. It should be kept in mind that such large defaults, particularly in the NCBs have a high opportunity cost vis a vis micro-credit borrowers. If all the defaulted loans of the NCB's could, instead, have been be channelled by the NCBs to micro-borrowers the remaining million households in need or micro-finance could have been

fully covered. This inequitable dispersal of loans as between households is compounded by the inequity in the geographical spread of the loans. The rural areas as of 31.3.99 received only 17.2% of all loans even though they accounted for 22.3% of deposits. It is significant that the urban areas of just two districts, Dhaka and Chittagong accounted for two thirds of all bank advances. In contrast virtually the entire operations of the MFIs are located in the rural areas.

This disposition of bank loans has derived not from any criteria of efficiency or creditworthiness but from the nature of Bangladesh's political economy where power and influence have been the dominant influence in accessing resources. Had these resources been effectively used whereby big borrowers had serviced their loans and in the process generated sizeable surpluses for reinvestment then at least the inequity in the banking system would have been compensated by the regenerative capacity of such a lending strategy. Instead, an inequitable banking system has ill served the cause of justice or efficiency by misallocating resources which have in no small measure originated from the deposits of millions of small account holders scattered all over Bangladesh.

#### Political Injustice in the **Banking System**

The perpetuation of social and economic injustice in the banking system is compounded by the erosion of political justice. Our political institutions have become increasingly dominated by an affluent elite who exercise considerable influence in the major political parties and in the national parliament. Recent research has shown that these political institutions have now come to play an active role in influencing NCB banking operations. More seriously this influence is brought to bear on influencing NCB Boards and management to condone default by repeated rescheduling of defaulted loans. Such politicised interventions in NCB's violate the trust of the citizens of Bangladesh who expect those in positions of political authority to ensure that public banking resources are not used to serve private interests.

This symbiotic linkage between political and economic power perpetuates both economic and social injustice in the banking system and undermines its efficiency and viability. Such a system, perpetuates inequity in the society and denies the deprived majority the opportunity to compete on equal terms in the market. As a result the deprived remain largely excluded from the institutions of representative government where money has emerged as the major criteria for political advancement. In such circumstances, our elected representatives need to sensitise themselves to the importance of directing banking resources to the deprived populations. It is not enough to intervene periodically to seek loan forgiveness for small

In discussing the issue of political injustice we should also take account of the political abuse of power exercised by collective bodies of NCB bank employees who use their position within the system to extract rents from both clients and the NCB management. This practise raises the costs of credit whilst compromising the efficiency of the banks. Such rent seeking proclivities within the NCBs have been perpetuated over several regimes because the major parties remain reluctant to discipline these rentseekers for reasons of political opportunism.

## Restoring justice to the banking system

Is there any scope for restoring justice to our banking system? A democratic society has to recon-

cile its goal of removing poverty

through democratising access to

resources and restoring efficiency

to the financial system. A system

which does not regenerate itself

though repayment of loans by its

borrowers cannot survive. We,

therefore, need to address the

problem of justice in the banking

system within a more holistic

framework of financial sector

reform which can effectively

integrate the micro-credit and

macro-credit system. In this

process our MFIs need to gradu-

ate from specialised institutions

designed to operate outside the

formal banking system and

should establish themselves as

major corporate financial institu-

tions whose shareholders happen

to come from the lower income

groups. The reconstructed MFIs

should be able to compete with

To reach out to large and more creditworthy

clientele of small borrowers the NCB's will not

only have to be backed by new policy guidelines

but they will have to equip themselves to deal

with their more democratic clientele.

the established commercial banks for rural deposits and to provide loans and other financial services to rural clients hithertoo served by the NCBs and agricultural banks. The MFIs should also be able to underwrite procurement of corporate assets by the lower income groups and should accordingly equip themselves to intermediate the linkages of small producers to the national and global market.

out for the low income groups served by MFIs as well as to small farmers served largely by specialised financial institutions and target the underserved small scale business sector, including small ventures capitalists. The NCB's and specialised banks have already been providing micro-credit to small borrowers and upto June 1998 had provided loans of Tk. 4421 crores. This shows that the NCB's have the capacity to serve this MFI market but have, as yet, only touched the fringe of this market. The present government has also shown that when it commits itself it can deliver resources, at least to the small farmers, as they did in directing agricultural credit to farmers through the NCBs and BKB in the wake of the 1998 floods. This introduced a inflow of more effectively supervised credit into the rural areas which contributed to the bumper boro crop early in 1999. This experience can be repeated, expanded and institutionalised.

To reach out to this large and more creditworthy clientele of small borrowers the NCB's will not only have to be backed by new policy guidelines but they will have to equip themselves to deal with their more democratic clientele. This will demand a major restructuring in the institutional structure of the NCB's, in their banking culture and in the mechanisms of accountability which guide their operations. Regrettably the programme of financial sector reforms put in place by the World Bank failed to address itself to such a restructuring of our financial institutions. Correspondingly the banking and monetary policy of the government will have to provide the macro-economic signals to the banking system necessary redirect their lending targets to the creditworthy poor. At the same time the government will need to realise institutional reforms in the corporate owner-

ship of public and private banks

so as to take account of the logic

of efficiency and the principle of

justice as the guiding philosophy

Conclusion : An agenda

for action

any move to redirect banking

policy I present below some spe-

cific suggestions for building the

foundations of a more just bank-

1. In order to ensure that our

NCBs and specialized institu-

tions target the deprived seg-

ments of the population in their

lending programmes we should

tions publicly accountable. Such

an approach may consider divest-

ing part of their shares to their

own depositors with accounts

below a certain ceiling. This

would convert millions of citizens

of modest means into prospective

Other stakeholders in the way of

prospective borrowers such as

small farmers, small entrepre-

neurs, micro-credit borrowers

could also be given an equity

stake in these public institutions.

NCB bank employees should also

owners of public institutions.

find ways to make these institu-

ing system in Bangladesh.

In order to give substance to

of its public policy.

well as records of the servicing of such loans, their defaults if any, and all rescheduling of debts where this takes place. The Finance Minister should be instructed to report this information to the Parliament at the time of placing the budget.

3. NCBs and specialised banks should be insulated from political pressure. This commitment should be made public on the basis of a political consensus amongst the major political par-In turn, the formal banking ties. All such patronised loan system should more readily reach applications should be penalised. All such acts of external pressure should be reported to Parliament and made public.

4. The Finance Minister should report to Parliament on all loans made to small beneficiaries. i.e. small farmers, business, micro-credit. An appropriate definitional ceiling should be developed for this system of reporting.

Private banks should also be encouraged to democratize their ownership. For those private banks with large loan classification ratios and which are in consequence under-capitalized, their capital inadequacy should be made up by the government through an equity injection. This new equity inflow to these banks should be distributed among a large cross section of the deprived classes. These prospective equity holders can be financed by NCB loans which can be repaid from the future dividends paid to these new equity owners by the private banks. Private banks should be completely transparent in their accounting and remain fully accountable to both their shareholders and depositors.

6. The new private banks should, as part of their licence conditions, have to distribute say 25% of their equity to this category of deprived stakeholders. The private banks should also be persuaded, as with public institutions, to give an equity stake to their low income depositors.

Under no circumstances should a private bank owner, defined as a member of the Board of Directors, be permitted to borrow from their own bank.

Members of Parliament should be disqualified from borrowing from public financial institutions on the same principle applicable to directors of private banks. MP's are custodial owners of the NCB's on behalf of the real owners, the citizens of Bangladesh. Thus any conflict of interest between an MP's responsibilities as a public representative and as a claimant on the resources of public institutions should be avoided. MP's should however be at liberty to borrow from private banks. All current defaults of MPs should be reported to Parliament on a regular basis. At the time of the next general election no defaulter should be permitted to contest elections. The Finance Minister should commit to the people that no new rescheduling of defaults will be permitted on the eve of the election as was done on the eve of the February 1996

9. A number of new banks, with low income groups as their equity owners, should be incorporated as commercial banks targetted to serve the deprived as owners and clients. These banks should compete in the open market with NCBs and private banks for deposits, and clients on the basis of their performance.

10. Bangladesh Bank should extend its regulatory and custodial functions to protect the interests of depositors as well as clients from the deprived classes. To this end it should encourage all banks to serve these constituencies and should promote institutional reform to equip banks to

deal with a new client base.

The above suggestions are merely illustrative of an agenda for democratising Bangladesh's financial system. A more definitive agenda should emerge out of a process of extensive and intensive public consultation. To this end a Citizen's Task Force on Financial Sector Reform may assemble a body of distinguished experts and citizens to work out the architecture of such a reform. In preparing their report the Task Force may draw upon the valuable work of the Wahiduddin Mahmud Committee and other studies, as well as initiate a process of public dialogue with Government, legislators, bankers, clients and deprived citizens. The report should be exposed to wide public debate around which a public consensus may emerge to underwrite the reform process, which would have to be discussed and approved by Parliament.

The article is based on the Third Nurul Matin Memorial Lecture on Ethics in Banking delivered by the author on 5 June, 2000.

# Our Macro Fixation by Alif Zabr

he state of the nation is symbolised or reflected by the way our Dhaka metropolis is being governed, as it is visible, compared to the invisible working of the offices and agencies in other places. The foundation cannot be seen, but the of private borrowers. Private fluttering flag of indolence atop the tall structure is very much visible. It is a red flag danger loans to industry which includes

The macro problems have been voluntarily encouraged, although inadvertently, due to mega failures as a result of indifferent administrative and management lapses. Things were allowed to drift in a slipshod manner (like floating kochuripana), and attention was drawn when the problems developed into macro dimensions. We export galda prawn; why not octopus?

We have developed management deafness, and do not listen unless the noise level (of criticism

or protest) is very high. This management insensitivity at the higher policy and decision making levels disturb the facilitators, who, at that late stage, can only pay attention to specific and acute problems: for example, the mega problems of the mega city, publicised in a mega way, and ignored in the same high-handed

This is the stage of ganjam (snafu) administration (remember Yahya Khan's Korangi project when all the ministers concentrated on one single project at the highest level of priority, leaving all other jobs pending?). With dishonest polices and orders, even the honest workers cannot produce results. We are concerned about the polluted environment in nature, but hardly bother about the pollution in administration. Now our drinking water is also polluted (arsenicosis).

Emergency administration is different from routine, noiseless administration. Working quietly takes time to learn, each one doing his part of the job, to keep the system going. Rajuk and DCC come to mind, with their ad hoc and sporadic decisions and instant cures, which do not last (go for the mosquito kill or its environment?). Is there a discipline called administrative ecol-

Another bug is political nepotism, which is misuse of power and influence. Professional processing is discouraged in developing countries by the bosses above, and the priorities are changed suddenly and frequently by the powers that be, introducing chaos and frustration. Nothing can be predicted; and mayhem rules the day. Is there a method in the madness, or madness in system? What is the third

choice?

The public servicing sector is always under pressure, due to extraneous over-lording by the overlords. The politicianprofessional equation is warped, as the latter is frequently not allowed to use his professional judgement in public interest. This abnormal stress pattern gets embedded into the system, overloading it into unstable equilibrium note how the DCC, Rajuk and PDB are run.

We need mega leaders to solve the mega problems, but what we get are mega egos bursting with self-righteousness. This syndrome is extended to the election time during the polls, creating the justification for 'neutral, caretaker government'.

Perhaps we need made-tomeasure mega leadership, naive to unholy proposals and suggestions. Or we go for Zen mysticism: try to clap with one hand.

be given an equity, stake in the banks so that they become stakeholders in the prosperity of these banks rather than rent seekers who prosper at the expense of both the institution and its 2. Public financial institu-

tions should observe complete transparency in their transactions. Where necessary, banking secrecy laws should be amended to enable the banks to make full public disclosure of their transactions. This would involve, for example, publishing the identity and loan particulars of all loan recipients above Tk. 1 crore, as

TOM & JERRY









