

Accountability of Judiciary

Its Linkage to the 13th Amendment of the Constitution

By Aabed Rahman

In last couple of months much has been written, much debate has also taken place about the judicial system following some alleged decisions of our upper courts. None other than the Prime Minister and Home Minister had to face the charge of contempt of court for making comments on the functioning and some recent decisions of the upper courts.

This article is in fact prompted by the speech of the Indian Prime Minister delivered last week in New Delhi on the occasion of the Golden Jubilee celebrations of the Supreme Court of India. The Indian Prime Minister expressed his anguish at the pending and long delay in the disposal of cases. Describing the situation alarming, he suggested specific ideas to the judiciary like alternative dispute settlement mechanisms at all levels of the judiciary. He pointed out that arbitration can be an obvious choice for civil cases. He also emphasised the need for scrapping or drastically simplification of outdated laws to remove delays and to make the ordinary litigant understand the processes of justice.

His government is also trying to ensure that the delays in the administration of laws are reduced so that women, children and weaker section of the society get the fruits of justice.

For better part, the Indian Prime Minister announced that a national Judicial Commission would be set up

that would recommend judicial appointment to the higher courts and draw up a code of conduct of ethics for the judiciary. He also disclosed his government's commitment to the independence of the judiciary.

On the other hand, the Chief Justice of India speaking on the same occasion said that a respected and independent judiciary and a respected strong Bar are indispensable for a democratic, accountable and transparent judicial system.

Flurry of public litigation and criticism of judiciary are regular features in India. Fortunately, Indian judicial system is receptive, tolerant and appreciative of all criticism about it. Just a few weeks ago, the Chief Election Commissioner of India also voiced concerns at the activism of judiciary in electoral politics. Unlike our country, no case of contempt of court was lodged against him or against anybody criticising the decisions or functioning of the judiciary in that country.

What to learn from others?

As a comparatively new country, we use to learn from countries like India and others on many issues, in particular, in formulating or enacting any new laws, policies in varied areas. This has been our national practice for years. There is nothing wrong in it. Incidentally, we learn less or take less example that is good

in other countries. The successive governments in our country adopted policies not in view of national interest; but more in the interest of the party in power. The fact, however, all governments fail to recognise is that no party can remain in power for ever and that their own adopted laws go against them when they are no longer in power and sit in the opposition. That is why a law, a policy that is good for the whole nation is better for everyone. It is equally good for the party in power as well as parties in the opposition. This very fact of life is to be acknowledged and believed by all political parties. We are such an unfortunate nation that our politicians have just divided the nation on all issues. High Court and Supreme Court Bar Association is no exception to this vertical division. This division is also contributing to the alleged dubious role our judiciary is now playing.

Implications of the 13th Amendment to the Constitution for the Judiciary

In my earlier article published in the Daily Star in its issue of 22 November 1999 on the same subject, I tried to advocate that the problem that the judiciary is now facing or the criticism it is now receiving from many quarters is not wholly due to its own fault. This amendment has made provision only for retired Chief Justices and other Supreme Court Judges to head the caretaker government in the run up

perhaps judiciary can perform better than what it is doing now.

It seems that there is much to learn from the speech of the Indian Prime Minister in view of the on-going debate on the judiciary in our country. We also need to update and modify our outdated laws as per the need of the day. As also revealed by the Indian Prime Minister, perhaps a National Judicial Commission or a Supreme Judicial Council can also be set up in our country with the responsibility of recommending the appointment of judges for the upper courts based on the qualification, expertise, experience and professional integrity of the appointees. It can also draw up appropriate code of conduct of ethics for the judiciary. This way, perhaps nation can get better judges in our upper courts.

The 13th Amendment of the Constitution seems to be discriminatory as it has barred other distinguished citizens of the country from heading a caretaker government. Why should only the retired Chief Justices and Supreme Court Judges head the caretaker government when there are a number of other equally, if not more, eligible, qualified and respected eminent citizens in the country for the same job? The proposed re-amendment to the 13th Amendment of the Constitution should authorise the President to choose anybody eligible and qualified in his view and opinion from any sphere of the society to head the caretaker government. This can perhaps also discourage the party in power to appoint judges from alleged political consideration.

However, if the judges are appointed through the proposed National Judicial Commission or Supreme Judicial Council based purely on their professional qualification, expertise and integrity, the question of favouritism will not arise. The political parties should also be prepared to go in a free

to the general elections. In the interest of the nation, this amendment should be re-amended. Political parties in power can think that if they can put their like minded judges in upper courts, in due course they might help them in general elections ahead of a caretaker government.

The 13th Amendment of the Constitution seems to be discriminatory as it has barred other distinguished citizens of the country from heading a caretaker government. Why should only the retired Chief Justices and Supreme Court Judges head the caretaker government when there are a number of other equally, if not more, eligible, qualified and respected eminent citizens in the country for the same job? The proposed re-amendment to the 13th Amendment of the Constitution should authorise the President to choose anybody eligible and qualified in his view and opinion from any sphere of the society to head the caretaker government. This can perhaps also discourage the party in power to appoint judges from alleged political consideration.

On the other hand, our judiciary should learn to be more tolerant and receptive of constructive criticism about its overall state of affairs. It should have reconciliatory attitude. It should bear in mind that judiciary is like other organs of the state and has not come from the blue. It is expected to be accountable and transparent. In fact, the nation looks to the judiciary as last resort. This popular credence should be upheld and must not be floundered. Judiciary should steer the rule of law in the country and should hold the candle to the best.

The writer is a Delhi based Bangladeshi Researcher

law watch

The Legacy Continues

By S. Rizwana Hasan

Two years have elapsed, but he never came back in physical form. Everyone at BELA waited for the hearty command of their comrade who knew continued to guide them even from heaven. The struggle that he initiated for a better earth still continues as a legacy for BELA and BELA has chosen the same as the best appropriate way to honor its departed founder Dr. Mohiuddin Farooque, who passed away on 2nd December '97.

The last 2 years were truly years of remembrance. The remembrance continues as BELA faces new questions and situations to address. His voice echoes, the loving memories dominate and the success and teaching are counted before BELA steps ahead. He was never missing from the realm of BELA and the credit of the successes marked after his departure have rightly been deposited to his account that BELA is safeguarding with due respect.



Dr. Mohiuddin Farooque (1954-1997)

BELA and Dr. Farooque are synonymous. The ideology for BELA is the vision of Dr. Farooque. The confidence of BELA is the spirit of Dr. Farooque. The confidence of BELA is the benevolence of Dr. Farooque. All efforts of BELA have their foundation in the vision of this extra ordinarily humane personality who sought to protect the creature of Almighty and initiated a systematic movement with law as weapon for protection. He made the society aware about the beneficial impact of law and the strength of professional commitment. The courage of his bold person transformed into actions that in all cases revolved around the welfare of the distressed, disabled and the vulnerable community who could not speak for themselves. Any attempt in the judiciary to protect the interest of the thousands and millions of poor and helpless people would inevitably tell us about his relentless effort to gain legal status for them.

Dr. Farooque sought to assist the community in gaining a meaning for life. He wished to ensure dignity and worth for everyone as committed in the Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. He unveiled the rich regime of environmental law that not only ensured the right of human beings but also the nature and its resources that support life on earth. He was dutiful and responsive to the needs of the present as well as the future generation. The trust that he held was the trust the Constitution bestows on us by requiring every citizen to maintain discipline, perform public duties and protect public property. The evolution of BELA would mark continuation of the inheritance of that trust and any progress made in that respect would and to the credibility of his philosophy.

On our part, we admit lack of experience but no negligence or leniency in fulfilling the organizational commitment, lack of wisdom but no enthusiasm in realizing his dreams, abundance of shortcomings but no lack of affiliation with the action agenda.

During his lifetime, he never wanted to grow older and disliked to introduce his father as "Late". Be assured that your troop at BELA would leave no stone unturned to keep you functionally alive and although your vision would mature, you would remain in their heart as the smiling and rejoicing "Farooque Bhai". We continue with the tasks you initiated and would not go to rest till the struggle against wrong and for right continues.

The writer is Manager, Programmes, BELA

African Children's Charter

A Welcome Step to Securing the Rights of Africa's Children

The entry into force of the African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child (African Children's Charter), within days of the 10th anniversary of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, is another positive step towards securing the protection of children's rights. Amnesty International said on 29 November.

The human rights of African children are violated every day of their lives, with severe consequences which extend well beyond their childhood, Amnesty International said.

The African Children's Charter provides a basis for the promotion and protection of the rights of children at the national and regional level. The Charter -- the first regional treaty on the human rights of the child -- was adopted by the Organization of African Unity in 1990. However, member states have been slow to ratify the treaty, and it was not until last month that the fifteenth country ratified the Charter, thereby allowing the treaty to enter into force.

The African Children's Charter codifies the responsibilities of the state, community and individual in the protection of the civil, cultural, economic, political and social rights of the child.

Amnesty International has continued to document abuses of children's rights in a number of African countries -- including the Democratic Republic of Congo and Rwanda -- perpetrated by both governments and armed opposition groups. Such abuses include torture and ill-treatment, rape, extrajudicial and arbitrary executions, "disappearances" and abductions, participation in armed conflicts, and permanent injuries sustained by children as a result of anti-personnel landmines.

It is estimated that 120,000 children under 18 years of age are participating in armed conflicts in the region, some as young as 7 and 8 years of age. Governments which ratify the African Children's Charter will be bound to ensure that no-one under the age of 18 is recruited into the armed forces or participates in hostilities.

States parties will be required to submit reports to an 11-member African Committee of Experts on the Rights and Welfare of the Child (the Committee), who will monitor compliance with the African Children's Charter. The Committee will be empowered to receive complaints from any person, group or non-governmental organization recognized by the OAU relating to any matter covered by the treaty. It will also be able to resort to any appropriate method of investigating matters falling within the ambit of the treaty. The Committee is expected to be elected at the OAU Summit next June, in Lomé, Togo.

"Member states of the OAU should ensure that they nominate and appoint individuals who are independent and impartial and who have expertise in the area of children's rights," the organization urged.

The OAU should also provide the Committee with sufficient resources so that it is able to begin functioning as quickly and as efficiently as possible." Amnesty International urges the remaining 37 states of the 53 member OAU to ratify the African Children's Charter as quickly as possible. Background those governments which have ratified the African Children's Charter are Angola, Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Lesotho, Malawi, Mali, Mauritius, Mozambique, Niger, Senegal, Seychelles, Togo, Uganda and Zimbabwe.

News Release Issued by the International Secretariat of Amnesty International

Right to Housing and the Saga of Forced Eviction

By A.H. Monjurul Kabir

In the recent past, the Government of Bangladesh was in an euphoric mood of demolition and eviction. After the sordid and inhuman eviction of the commercial sex workers from country's the biggest and century old brothel Tanbazar, Narayanganj on 24 July 1999, they decided to crackdown on the unfortunate victims of poverty, thousands of slum dwellers of Dhaka. On 8 August 1999, they demolished Tippara basti (slum) and other installations adjacent to Gopibagh railline with the proclaimed objective of improving the deteriorating law and order situation of the country. As a direct consequence of this action, thousands of people became homeless and vulnerable to all other obvious stigma. The government did this without resorting to any rehabilitation scheme that might help them immediately and without adequate notice as required by law. Three leading legal aid and human rights organisations, Ain O Salish Kendra, Odhikar and Bangladesh Legal Aid and Services Trust filed a writ petition in the High Court Division of the Supreme Court praying for restoration of their (slum dwellers) homes and huts from where they have been evicted upon the declaration of the whole sale eviction to have been done without legal authority more so, without providing any alternative accommodation.

The court vacated the order of demolition earlier dated 10 August 1999 and directed the government providing a guideline to it (the government) for rehabilitation of the slum dwellers in phases. The court also declared that the government should undertake a master plan or rehabilitation schemes or pilot projects for the rehabilitation of the slum dwellers and undertake eviction of the slum dwellers to the capacity of their available abode and with the option to the dwellers either to go to their village home or to stay back leading an urban life. The application was disposed of without any order as to costs. Justice Mohammad Fazlul Karim and Justice Md. Ali Asgar Khan delivered the verdict (Ain O. Salish Kendra and Others Vs Government of Bangladesh and others) on 23 August 1999. Dr. Kamal Hossain, Senior Advocate, Bangladesh Supreme Court, represented the petitioners. Mr. Mahmudul Islam, Attorney General of Bangladesh, appeared for the respondents.

The Petitioners' Views
The application has been moved for enforcement of fundamental rights of slum dwellers as guaranteed under Article 27, 31, and 32 of the Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh that includes their right to life, liberty, livelihood etc. together with articles 7, 11, 15, and 19 in particular of the chapter of fundamental principles of state policy.

The application under Article 102 of the Constitution at the instance of the petitioners are directed against the demolition of basties (slums) of Dhaka city and eviction of the inhabitants contrary to the government's declaration of 1993 housing policy that has been repeatedly assured to be protected and promoted by the Prime Minister. The petitioner has further asserted that unless a creative programme is taken and slum dwellers are evicted phase by phase the whole sale eviction would not only deny dignity of inhabitants but would also amount to discriminations as has not been equally treated as the slum dwellers of

The Observations of the Court

The High Court Division of the Supreme Court has clearly tried in this case to strike a balance between social justice and administrative regime of the government. Two of the slum dwellers were parties along with the three non-governmental organisations as the petitioners and the sole object of the petition are to protect the slum dwellers' right to life, living, shelter, livelihood and to rehabilitate them physically and socially. Their such rights, according to the court, are in consonance with the fundamental rights of the basties people guaranteed by the Constitution in Articles 27, 31 and 32 there cf.

Views of the Government

Recognising the reality that slums dweller are the distressed people uprooted by natural calamities and forced to live in such shanties (huts), the government has argued that nobody has the right to occupy, reside or stay on the lands belonging to the government and public authorities. These lands are used in public interest. But over years basties have sprung up in the city of Dhaka over the land of the government and the public authorities creating manifold fold problems and the law and order situation also. The distressed and uprooted people have been residing in basties and they are to pay rent to the mastaans who organise and manage the basties and there are illegal electric, gas and water connections in the basties. The criminals and drug traffickers offer safe place for concealing illegal arms and drugs in basties. Newspapers published many reports of those heinous activities of the mastaans (hoodlums) taking shelter at the basties.

The court has been also appraised that the governments and public authorities asked the slum dwellers to leave the place removing their shanties and huts but some people have left these basties and others are continued to stay there to be joined by new comers to the detriment and annoyance of the society disturbing the peace and tranquillity of the area.

The government has asserted that it tries for rehabilitation of the distressed and uprooted people residing in the basties and the Government at the instance of the Krishibank carried on survey of 32 big basties and found 50,000 families where upon the Bank adopted a scheme of rehabilitation named 'Ghore Fera' that was inaugurated by the Prime Minister on 20th May 1999. In the process of this rehabilitation scheme the government, railway, public works department and Dhaka City Corporation have decided to clear the basties altogether with a view to rehabilitate the bonafide slum dwellers and to do away with the heinous activities carried on with the help of the mastaans (hoodlums) who were engaged in possessing illegal arms, manufacturing explosives and selling drugs to the innocent slum dwellers. The government has already sanctioned Tk. 5 crore under its nine projects to be spent in helping the evicted basti fami-

lies. A consortium of commercial banks to extend easy loan to the evicted families of the slums' dwellers under the projects has also been formed.

The Observations of the Court
The High Court Division of the Supreme Court has clearly tried in this case to strike a balance between social justice and administrative regime of the government. Two of the slum dwellers were parties along with the three non-governmental organisations as the petitioners and the sole object of the petition are to protect the slum dwellers' right to life, living, shelter, livelihood and to rehabilitate them physically and socially. Their such rights, according to the court, are in consonance with the fundamental rights of the basties people guaranteed by the Constitution in Articles 27, 31 and 32 there cf.

Views of the Government
Recognising the reality that slums dweller are the distressed people uprooted by natural calamities and forced to live in such shanties (huts), the government has argued that nobody has the right to occupy, reside or stay on the lands belonging to the government and public authorities. These lands are used in public interest. But over years basties have sprung up in the city of Dhaka over the land of the government and the public authorities creating manifold fold problems and the law and order situation also. The distressed and uprooted people have been residing in basties and they are to pay rent to the mastaans who organise and manage the basties and there are illegal electric, gas and water connections in the basties. The criminals and drug traffickers offer safe place for concealing illegal arms and drugs in basties. Newspapers published many reports of those heinous activities of the mastaans (hoodlums) taking shelter at the basties.

The court has been also appraised that the governments and public authorities asked the slum dwellers to leave the place removing their shanties and huts but some people have left these basties and others are continued to stay there to be joined by new comers to the detriment and annoyance of the society disturbing the peace and tranquillity of the area.

The government has asserted that it tries for rehabilitation of the distressed and uprooted people residing in the basties and the Government at the instance of the Krishibank carried on survey of 32 big basties and found 50,000 families where upon the Bank adopted a scheme of rehabilitation named 'Ghore Fera' that was inaugurated by the Prime Minister on 20th May 1999. In the process of this rehabilitation scheme the government, railway, public works department and Dhaka City Corporation have decided to clear the basties altogether with a view to rehabilitate the bonafide slum dwellers and to do away with the heinous activities carried on with the help of the mastaans (hoodlums) who were engaged in possessing illegal arms, manufacturing explosives and selling drugs to the innocent slum dwellers. The government has already sanctioned Tk. 5 crore under its nine projects to be spent in helping the evicted basti fami-

lies. A consortium of commercial banks to extend easy loan to the evicted families of the slums' dwellers under the projects has also been formed.

The Observations of the Court
The High Court Division of the Supreme Court has clearly tried in this case to strike a balance between social justice and administrative regime of the government. Two of the slum dwellers were parties along with the three non-governmental organisations as the petitioners and the sole object of the petition are to protect the slum dwellers' right to life, living, shelter, livelihood and to rehabilitate them physically and socially. Their such rights, according to the court, are in consonance with the fundamental rights of the basties people guaranteed by the Constitution in Articles 27, 31 and 32 there cf.

Views of the Government
Recognising the reality that slums dweller are the distressed people uprooted by natural calamities and forced to live in such shanties (huts), the government has argued that nobody has the right to occupy, reside or stay on the lands belonging to the government and public authorities. These lands are used in public interest. But over years basties have sprung up in the city of Dhaka over the land of the government and the public authorities creating manifold fold problems and the law and order situation also. The distressed and uprooted people have been residing in basties and they are to pay rent to the mastaans who organise and manage the basties and there are illegal electric, gas and water connections in the basties. The criminals and drug traffickers offer safe place for concealing illegal arms and drugs in basties. Newspapers published many reports of those heinous activities of the mastaans (hoodlums) taking shelter at the basties.

The court has been also appraised that the governments and public authorities asked the slum dwellers to leave the place removing their shanties and huts but some people have left these basties and others are continued to stay there to be joined by new comers to the detriment and annoyance of the society disturbing the peace and tranquillity of the area.

The Observations of the Court
The High Court Division of the Supreme Court has clearly tried in this case to strike a balance between social justice and administrative regime of the government. Two of