

The Challenge of Feeding Twice as Many in the Next Millennium

by Md. Asadullah Khan

Politics would mean an empty show if people are starving, going without employment, have no access to education, healthcare facilities, sanitation and safe drinking water. Government must realise that what subsistence farmers need most is higher prices for their crops and ready access to credit to enhance production.

THE prospect is horrifying. Barren fields, dried up wells and hunger on a scale never before known by humanity are now a reality. That is what Lester Brown, president of the World Watch Institute in Washington, predicts for 2050, when the earth's population will have doubled to nearly 10 billion and the capacity of science to devise methods for coaxing more food out of the soil will, in his view, be greatly diminished. The situation may be alarming, as Brown calculates, with specific mention of the dire economic situation in some countries in the Asian region: By 2030 India will need to import 44 million tonnes of grain annually to help feed its 1.5 billion people. By the same year an increasingly prosperous and industrialised China will need to purchase 200 million tonnes of grain from abroad for its 1.6 billion people — as much as is now exported by all the world's countries. The result will be a spike in food prices that will trigger wholesale social disintegration and chaos in Africa, Latin America and other poor countries in the Asian region. "China's scarcity will become the world's scarcity," Brown predicts. The world is now getting genuinely concerned at the prospect of declining food supply in consequence of rapid urbanisation, deforestation, loss of bio-diversity, pest attack coupled with drought like situation and excessive flooding at times. Unfortunately with farmers' race for the highest possible yields these days and all trying to use the same kind of seeds, the productivity has fallen.

Most "disappointingly, strains of crops that seem to have magical qualities are becoming ever harder to find. But our past knowledge reveals that individual crops share more genetic material and most local varieties are vanishing. Moreover as the explosive growth of the world's population causes more farmers to turn more forest land into fields, wild species of plants are getting wiped out.

Potentially valuable food sources are lost forever — before they are even discovered. In consequence, the world is losing a marvelous diversity of genetic material that has enabled the plant kingdom to overcome pests, blights, and droughts throughout the ages.

But it's a common knowledge that plant breeders have used genetic diversity to help fuel the green revolution and kept agricultural production ahead of population growth. But as the raw material of the revolution disappears, the food supply continues to become more vulnerable to catastrophe.

Despite Thomas Malthus' prediction in the last decade of 17th century that human fertility would outstrip the ability to produce enough food, human

ingenuity has consistently beaten such prediction. But in 1968, Paul Ehrlich in his study *The Limits to Growth* raised fears that unchecked population growth might lead to mass starvation. And the horrifying situation of famine, coupled with ethnic conflict in Rwanda, Somalia and Ethiopia in the past few years bears testimony to that assertion. In the early part of '70s, Lester Brown argued that the world's farmers were already pushing the practical limits of what good land, high-yield crops, irrigation and artificial fertilizers and pesticides could deliver.

Strikingly evident, beginning in the mid '80s, the momentum of green revolution slowed dramatically especially in Bangladesh, Pakistan, India and China. In most parts of Asia, rice researchers have failed to raise yields significantly for more than two decades. Hidden costs of green revolution have begun to surface all around the world: the amount of irrigated land which produces 35 per cent of the food supply has been declining steadily. The reasons: fields become poisoned with salts left behind when irrigation water evaporates. Looming ahead are the agricultural impacts of global changes such as ozone depletion in the upper atmosphere and greenhouse effect.

The combination of both immediate and long range threats to the food supply has brought back the old question: How much longer can the world deliver adequate food to human number relentlessly expanding at the rate of 91 million a year. Speaking for India, at the current rate of growth, population figure reached 1 billion mark in the last year and it might have 1.5 billion people by 2035 almost challenging China. So the prospect for Bangladesh for getting grain supply from the Asian countries like China or India on business deals would be harder still.

The situation in Bangladesh is somewhat paradoxical. Although government claims that population growth in the country stands at 2.1 per cent, that figure does not hold true for the vast areas of rural Bangladesh. True, economic reforms in the form of development efforts are under way in almost all places of the country and encouraged by that efforts planners and economists argue that people will have fewer children once they become prosperous. That

plants. Purdue University researchers have been concentrating on improving the nutritional profile of rice and developing techniques for enhancing the disease and pest resistance of sorghum, a staple in many developing countries.

Against the backdrop of such a dismaying situation, many agricultural experts are taking doomsayers more seriously. Even the optimists admit that putting an adequate amount of nutrition into 5 billion extra mouths 50 years from now poses a mammoth challenge. But at the same time, they recall the success of the green revolution which, in the short span of about 25 years starting in the early 1960s, quadrupled crop yields in parts of the developing world and greatly reduced the frequency of famines in Asia and Africa. The infrastructure, namely 17 food research institutes funded in part by the UN's Food and Agriculture Organisation and the World Bank, plus dozens of plant science operations run by governments, universities and private companies did achieve the miraculous result. But, unfortunately, the Mexico-based International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre which developed the high-yielding, disease resistant wheat that launched the green revolution was forced to abandon years of work on a strain of nutritionally enhanced corn because it ran short of funds.

"I'm pretty confident that science and technology can produce enough to meet the needs of a doubled population," says Mark Canetty, head of biotechnology unit at the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development in Paris. "But the question is whether utilization, distribution and policies will hold things back," he adds.

Despite all the constraints, plant breeders and molecular biologists around the world are at work on techniques for bolstering pest, disease and drought resistance in grains and improving the nutritional value of various foods. Only in the recent past a breakthrough was announced by the International Rice Research Institute in the Philippines where scientists have developed a new "super rice" with yield 25 per cent higher than current varieties. At the University of California at Riverside, plant physiologist Tony Hall has found shortcuts for breeding heat and drought tolerance into

Israel's special expertise lies in exploiting the desert — a subject of obvious interest to Africa where country after country is threatened by the expanding Sahara. Bangladesh these days, faces a similar situation because of long absence of monsoon rain and inadequate overall rainfall. The long spell of drought like situation has almost turned the whole country, especially the northern part, of Bangladesh into barren lands. Israel, it is learnt, has turned

thousands of hectares of its own desert, the Negev, into a Savanna like plain, using both modern irrigation techniques and ancient methods for tapping and holding rainfalls. The Negev today, as reports turn out, is a major centre for both livestock and crop production. The unprecedented fury of floods in the last year for almost two months with heavy onrush of water would have allowed us to trap this water, but we but such mechanisms to trap and utilise it. "Theoretically, the Sahara is just like the Negev," says David Nachmias, director of the Jewish Fund's Land Development Authority, "we could change the whole Sahara to green." Even India now takes pride in its successful effort to eliminate the food shortages of the 1950s and 60s and is now securely self-sufficient. Despite frequent twists and bursts in Indian politics and change of governments, Indian officials insist that the nation will feed itself without relying on foreign help for the foreseeable future. Says M. S. Swaminathan, India's leading agricultural scientist: "To be forewarned is to be forearmed". We can also prevent Brown's predictions from coming true in this part of the world, if we start taking serious action now.

The fact is, even to-day we have not in the country more than 60 per cent of the people who are malnourished because they do not have either money to buy food or the wherewithal to grow enough on their own. Despite all the unrest and the muddle the country is passing through politicians must agree that the country be placed above politics. And politics would mean an empty show if people are starving, going without employment, have no access to education, healthcare facilities, sanitation and safe drinking water. Government must realise that what subsistence farmers need most is higher prices for their crops and ready access to credit to enhance production.

Technology is not the problem to cope with hunger. "There's a profusion of technology," says Yona Chen, dean of the faculty of agriculture at Jerusalem's Hebrew University. "But it has to be brought to the developing nations. The World Bank and other institutions, shockingly sink money into projects that do not directly help the poor nor it helps eliminate poverty when they need to do is to educate people". Even if we are not agreeing with Malthus' dire prediction because of the advances we have made in science and technology, we cannot afford to forget that the highest priority remains population control. The ultimate solution has to come from stabilizing the country's population. Meanwhile, biotechnology and other agricultural technologies offer us the potential to buy time.

Younger generation into the Bangladeshi politics will be essential for the revival of the country. Such efforts will eventually enable Justice Shahabuddin to call for a change in the nature of politics in Bangladesh. In the world of computers and information technology it will not be difficult for any one with sincere and honest intent to peacefully mobilize the support of people to remove corruption, restore law and order, institute transparency and establish good governance in Bangladesh. It is obvious that the corruption clique is extremely widespread, desperately ruthless and intensely strong in Bangladesh and may often be violent. However these perpetrators of corruption also know that they are now dangerously alienated from the people who blame them for all their miseries. They are also under the ponderous scrutiny of the world community who are trying to help us out in our development initiatives. Especially recent events of power crisis and police brutality also alienated the police force along with the government from the people. People of Bangladesh already realize that the use of police for political purposes is part of the corruption process and in desperation, are trying to take law in their own hands.

The new party may also need to look at the early history of development of democracy, establishment of fundamental rights, creation of opportunity for an industrial revolution and the desire to establish a just society of the western world in general and the USA in particular. In the USA the average age of the people who laid out the foundation for establishing democracy was 42 and James Madison the architect of the US constitution was 36. Alexander Hamilton 32, George Washington 55 and Benjamin Franklin 81. They could assimilate ideas of great thinkers and teachings of great religions in their efforts to establish a just society and maintain transparency by making people the source of power. Introduction of innovative thinking and induction of

little sacrifice and have enough courage to practise what he is preaching. And in a practising democracy for a peaceful transition his only option is to form a new party to lead the country out of this political wilderness. The new party will need to participate in the next general election under a caretaker government with specific agenda to decide the fate and destiny of 120 million people of one of the poorest countries of the world. He should not have any shortage of people with commitment, courage, honest intent, required knowledge and the right kind of mind to join the new party and even finance the initiative. The only thing he would need to ensure is that he would exclude every one belonging to any of the existing political parties with no exceptions and look for Bangladeshi talents from all over the world.

The new party may also need to look at the early history of development of democracy, establishment of fundamental rights, creation of opportunity for an industrial revolution and the desire to establish a just society of the western world in general and the USA in particular. In the USA the average age of the people who laid out the foundation for establishing democracy was 42 and James Madison the architect of the US constitution was 36. Alexander Hamilton 32, George Washington 55 and Benjamin Franklin 81. They could assimilate ideas of great thinkers and teachings of great religions in their efforts to establish a just society and maintain transparency by making people the source of power. Introduction of innovative thinking and induction of

freedom movement, millions willingly put their lives in the line of fire. It is a history of extraordinary courage and mammoth determinations of the people of Bangladesh in general and the valiant freedom fighters in particular and a sacrifice through the stream of blood of millions that placed Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman in power. When Sheikh Mujib could not make any difference, events led one after another and finally his daughter Sheikh Hasina to power. If any one of them could have made any difference we might not have any predilection for President Shahabuddin's wise words. Perhaps Sheikh Hasina was the last hope for the politicians to prove their worth and for the people of Bangladesh to realize their expectations. One of the reasons of failure of Bangladeshi leaders is the so called India factor — the Indian efforts to control politicians and administrators through greed and fear. With every change of government, India slowly expanded influence within the country, extending their market of industrial as well as agricultural products.

Perhaps India's worst fear lies in the industrial potential of Bangladesh, which could take over a major market share of their regions along the 1400 mile Bangladesh border containing over 100 million of their relatively poor citizens. With India's industrial base situated, say, 1000 miles away from these regions, Bangladeshi industrial products will have natural price advantage in the competitive arena of globalisation. To contain such reverse flow of border trade India need

to maintain their influence to keep Bangladesh GDP trailing behind their's.

We are in the information age. We do not need any one to bell the cat. We need one person with right kind of mind to lead us out of this political wilderness

to the right direction.

Under these circumstances, contemporary events have been suggestive of President Shahabuddin being the person people could believe to be capable of making a difference — the kind of difference that the people who remained so shamefully poor, so tragically deprived and so humiliatingly oppressed. People also understand that there is little or no chance that President Shahabuddin's desperate cry for change will have any effect on the thinking of our current leaders and that he himself needs to initiate the change. Possibly President Shahabuddin needs to make a

little sacrifice and have enough courage to practise what he is preaching. And in a practising democracy for a peaceful transition his only option is to form a new party to lead the country out of this political wilderness. The new party will need to participate in the next general election under a caretaker government with specific agenda to decide the fate and destiny of 120 million people of one of the poorest countries of the world. He should not have any shortage of people with commitment, courage, honest intent, required knowledge and the right kind of mind to join the new party and even finance the initiative. The only thing he would need to ensure is that he would exclude every one belonging to any of the existing political parties with no exceptions and look for Bangladeshi talents from all over the world.

The new party may also need to look at the early history of development of democracy, establishment of fundamental rights, creation of opportunity for an industrial revolution and the desire to establish a just society of the western world in general and the USA in particular. In the USA the average age of the people who laid out the foundation for establishing democracy was 42 and James Madison the architect of the US constitution was 36. Alexander Hamilton 32, George Washington 55 and Benjamin Franklin 81. They could assimilate ideas of great thinkers and teachings of great religions in their efforts to establish a just society and maintain transparency by making people the source of power. Introduction of innovative thinking and induction of

freedom movement, millions willingly put their lives in the line of fire. It is a history of extraordinary courage and mammoth determinations of the people of Bangladesh in general and the valiant freedom fighters in particular and a sacrifice through the stream of blood of millions that placed Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman in power. When Sheikh Mujib could not make any difference, events led one after another and finally his daughter Sheikh Hasina to power. If any one of them could have made any difference we might not have any predilection for President Shahabuddin's wise words. Perhaps Sheikh Hasina was the last hope for the politicians to prove their worth and for the people of Bangladesh to realize their expectations. One of the reasons of failure of Bangladeshi leaders is the so called India factor — the Indian efforts to control politicians and administrators through greed and fear. With every change of government, India slowly expanded influence within the country, extending their market of industrial as well as agricultural products.

Perhaps India's worst fear lies in the industrial potential of Bangladesh, which could take over a major market share of their regions along the 1400 mile Bangladesh border containing over 100 million of their relatively poor citizens. With India's industrial base situated, say, 1000 miles away from these regions, Bangladeshi industrial products will have natural price advantage in the competitive arena of globalisation. To contain such reverse flow of border trade India need

to maintain their influence to keep Bangladesh GDP trailing behind their's.

We are in the information age. We do not need any one to bell the cat. We need one person with right kind of mind to lead us out of this political wilderness

to the right direction.

Under these circumstances, contemporary events have been suggestive of President Shahabuddin being the person people could believe to be capable of making a difference — the kind of difference that the people who remained so shamefully poor, so tragically deprived and so humiliatingly oppressed. People also understand that there is little or no chance that President Shahabuddin's desperate cry for change will have any effect on the thinking of our current leaders and that he himself needs to initiate the change. Possibly President Shahabuddin needs to make a

little sacrifice and have enough courage to practise what he is preaching. And in a practising democracy for a peaceful transition his only option is to form a new party to lead the country out of this political wilderness. The new party will need to participate in the next general election under a caretaker government with specific agenda to decide the fate and destiny of 120 million people of one of the poorest countries of the world. He should not have any shortage of people with commitment, courage, honest intent, required knowledge and the right kind of mind to join the new party and even finance the initiative. The only thing he would need to ensure is that he would exclude every one belonging to any of the existing political parties with no exceptions and look for Bangladeshi talents from all over the world.

The new party may also need to look at the early history of development of democracy, establishment of fundamental rights, creation of opportunity for an industrial revolution and the desire to establish a just society of the western world in general and the USA in particular. In the USA the average age of the people who laid out the foundation for establishing democracy was 42 and James Madison the architect of the US constitution was 36. Alexander Hamilton 32, George Washington 55 and Benjamin Franklin 81. They could assimilate ideas of great thinkers and teachings of great religions in their efforts to establish a just society and maintain transparency by making people the source of power. Introduction of innovative thinking and induction of

freedom movement, millions willingly put their lives in the line of fire. It is a history of extraordinary courage and mammoth determinations of the people of Bangladesh in general and the valiant freedom fighters in particular and a sacrifice through the stream of blood of millions that placed Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman in power. When Sheikh Mujib could not make any difference, events led one after another and finally his daughter Sheikh Hasina to power. If any one of them could have made any difference we might not have any predilection for President Shahabuddin's wise words. Perhaps Sheikh Hasina was the last hope for the politicians to prove their worth and for the people of Bangladesh to realize their expectations. One of the reasons of failure of Bangladeshi leaders is the so called India factor — the Indian efforts to control politicians and administrators through greed and fear. With every change of government, India slowly expanded influence within the country, extending their market of industrial as well as agricultural products.

Perhaps India's worst fear lies in the industrial potential of Bangladesh, which could take over a major market share of their regions along the 1400 mile Bangladesh border containing over 100 million of their relatively poor citizens. With India's industrial base situated, say, 1000 miles away from these regions, Bangladeshi industrial products will have natural price advantage in the competitive arena of globalisation. To contain such reverse flow of border trade India need

to maintain their influence to keep Bangladesh GDP trailing behind their's.

We are in the information age. We do not need any one to bell the cat. We need one person with right kind of mind to lead us out of this political wilderness

to the right direction.

Under these circumstances, contemporary events have been suggestive of President Shahabuddin being the person people could believe to be capable of making a difference — the kind of difference that the people who remained so shamefully poor, so tragically deprived and so humiliatingly oppressed. People also understand that there is little or no chance that President Shahabuddin's desperate cry for change will have any effect on the thinking of our current leaders and that he himself needs to initiate the change. Possibly President Shahabuddin needs to make a

little sacrifice and have enough courage to practise what he is preaching. And in a practising democracy for a peaceful transition his only option is to form a new party to lead the country out of this political wilderness. The new party will need to participate in the next general election under a caretaker government with specific agenda to decide the fate and destiny of 120 million people of one of the poorest countries of the world. He should not have any shortage of people with commitment, courage, honest intent, required knowledge and the right kind of mind to join the new party and even finance the initiative. The only thing he would need to ensure is that he would exclude every one belonging to any of the existing political parties with no exceptions and look for Bangladeshi talents from all over the world.

The new party may also need to look at the early history of development of democracy, establishment of fundamental rights, creation of opportunity for an industrial revolution and the desire to establish a just society of the western world in general and the USA in particular. In the USA the average age of the people who laid out the foundation for establishing democracy was 42 and James Madison the architect of the US constitution was 36. Alexander Hamilton 32, George Washington 55 and Benjamin Franklin 81. They could assimilate ideas of great thinkers and teachings of great religions in their efforts to establish a just society and maintain transparency by making people the source of power. Introduction of innovative thinking and induction of

freedom movement, millions willingly put their lives in the line of fire. It is a history of extraordinary courage and mammoth determinations of the people of Bangladesh in general and the valiant freedom fighters in particular and a sacrifice through the stream of blood of millions that placed Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman in power. When Sheikh Mujib could not make any difference, events led one after another and finally his daughter Sheikh Hasina to power. If any one of them could have made any difference we might not have any predilection for President Shahabuddin's wise words. Perhaps Sheikh Hasina was the last hope for the politicians to prove their worth and for the people of Bangladesh to realize their expectations. One of the reasons of failure of Bangladeshi leaders is the so called India factor — the Indian efforts to control politicians and administrators through greed and fear. With every change of government, India slowly expanded influence within the country, extending their market of industrial as well as agricultural products.

Perhaps India's worst fear lies in the industrial potential of Bangladesh, which could take over a major market share of their regions along the 1400 mile Bangladesh border containing over 100 million of their relatively poor citizens. With India's industrial base situated, say, 1000 miles away from these regions, Bangladeshi industrial products will have natural price advantage in the competitive arena of globalisation. To contain such reverse flow of border trade India need

to maintain their influence to keep Bangladesh GDP trailing behind their's.

We are in the information age. We do not need any one to bell the cat. We need one person with right kind of mind to lead us out of this political wilderness

to the right direction.

Under these circumstances, contemporary events have been suggestive of President Shahabuddin being the person people could believe to be capable of making a difference — the kind of difference that the people who remained so shamefully poor, so tragically deprived and so humiliatingly oppressed. People also understand that there is little or no chance that President Shahabuddin's desperate cry for change will have any effect on the thinking of our current leaders and that he himself needs to initiate the change. Possibly President Shahabuddin needs to make a

little sacrifice and have enough courage to practise what he is preaching. And in a practising democracy for a peaceful transition his only option is to form a new party to lead the country out of this political wilderness. The new party will need to participate in the next general election under a caretaker government with specific agenda to decide the fate and destiny of 120 million people of one of the poorest countries of the world. He should not have any shortage of people with commitment, courage, honest intent, required knowledge and the right kind of mind to join the new party and even finance the initiative. The only thing he would need to ensure is that he would exclude every one belonging to any of the existing political parties with no exceptions and look for Bangladeshi talents from all over the world.

The new party may also need to look at the early history of development of democracy, establishment of fundamental rights, creation of opportunity for an industrial revolution and the desire to establish a just society of the western world in general and the USA in particular. In the USA the average age of the people who laid out the foundation for establishing democracy was 42 and James Madison the architect of the US constitution was 36. Alexander Hamilton 32, George Washington 55 and Benjamin Franklin 81. They could assimilate ideas of great thinkers and teachings of great religions in their efforts to establish a just society and maintain transparency by making people the source of power. Introduction of innovative thinking and induction of