

Impact of growing ship-breaking industry

Wake up call for S Asian nations

by Hemant Babu

Alang (Gujarat), Dec 24: The stand-off between Greenpeace activists and a shipping company at Botany Bay harbour in Sydney has come as a wake up call for South Asian countries where ship-breaking is a growing industry.

In a high-pitch drama last week, six activists of Greenpeace and Basel Action Network, another worldwide environmental organisation, clung to the bow of a ship called 'Encounter Bay', preventing it from sailing on its last commercial voyage.

The ship, which belongs to the German company P&O Nedlloyd, was destined to end up in the world's largest ship-breaking yard here on India's West coast.

Greenpeace activists claimed that the ship, which contained hazardous wastes like asbestos and heavy metals, was part of an international conspiracy to dump toxic wastes in Asia.

While being broken apart, the ship would have polluted the sea dangerously besides harming the health and lives of workers.

Claiming that the Indian subcontinent had become the in-

final destination for most ships around the world, the Greenpeace activists demanded that the ship be de-toxified in Europe before sending it to Asia's ship-breaking yards.

According to the Lloyd's Shipping Register, apart from warships, there are about 45,000 ocean-going vessels including container ships, general cargo ships, tankers, ferries, cruise liners and special ships for research and cable laying.

Every year, about 700 of these ships are decommissioned after an average service of 29 years at sea. About 70 per cent of these ships are scrapped in India while the remaining are taken apart in Pakistan, Bangladesh and China. Vietnam and Philippines are the new entrants to the business.

In the 1970s, ship-breaking had been a highly mechanised industrial operation carried out mainly in Great Britain, Spain, Mexico and Brazil. During the 1980s, the industry shifted to Asian countries where cheap labour was abundantly available. This shift changed the nature of the in-

dustry from highly mechanised to labour-intensive.

In the mid-eighties, half of the world's ship-breaking was done in China but India took over the mantle of the industry leader by the beginning of this decade, with Pakistan and Bangladesh following suit.

Environmental samples collected by Greenpeace activists from Alang and Mumbai have revealed high levels of asbestos, heavy metals and other dangerous chemicals.

Unprotected exposure to hazardous chemical agents and total absence of labour laws have made thousands of workers in the ship-breaking industry vulnerable to death and disease, Jayaraman says.

The Alang and Sosiyia ship-breaking yards in the western state of Gujarat employ about 40,000 workers, most of whom are migrants from eastern states like Orissa and Bihar. Employed on a daily wage basis, they are not covered by India's labour laws, nor do they get any medical facilities.

A worker at Alang gets Rs. 60 (\$1.4) per day going up to a maximum of three dollars a day for a high-risk, high-skill job like cutting the top of a ship.

Working with bare hands

and without any protective gear, workers are involved in accidents which have become common at the ship-breaking yard. Even a death at the yard does not halt work 50 yards away, according to Jayaraman.

It was only in 1997, when a gas explosion killed 50 workers at Alang, that the Gujarat government made wearing of protective helmets compulsory.

However, a visit to the yard reveals the truth. Majority of workers simply tie a cotton cloth around their nostrils for protection while the much-touted headgear was nowhere in sight.

The yard and the workers' huts are divided by a dusty road on which trucks continue to pass in a serpentine queue kicking up dust contaminated with asbestos fibres and particles of heavy metals.

In this highly accident-prone industrial area, there is no operational fire station while the nearest well equipped hospital is 28 kilometres away in Bhavnagar town where the critically injured workers are taken.

—India Abroad News Service

The workplace politics

It's taken a long time coming but early in the New Year the 'Fairness at Work' White Paper will finally be published.

Ever since Labour was elected, it has been the focus of the ideological struggle within the party - and within the government.

It has been a battle fought right on the line between New Labour and its older variant.

Indeed, if there is a faultline presaging damaging tremors in the government, issues like where the balance of power in workplaces should lie will surely be at the centre of it.

That, no doubt, is all for the future. For the moment, we now know what the White Paper will say.

It means a big shift in the balance of power towards the employed, whether union members or not. For better or worse, though, the shift is not as big as it would have been without the appointment of Peter Mandelson as former Trade and Industry Secretary.

Business lobby

The main change is to the provision to give unions automatic recognition where they have more than half the members. In other words, if more than 50 per cent of employees in a workplace are members of a union, the employer would have had to deal with the union under the original scheme without the employees being balloted.

The CBI lobbied hard and Mr

Mandelson softened the provision. Employers will be able to appeal to a revamped Central Arbitration Committee if they feel that union recognition would not be conducive to sustainable and good industrial relations.

The argument apparently is that even if more than half the employees are in a union, they may not want the union to represent them (they may have joined for other benefits like cheap insurance).

Employers might argue then that imposing a union would merely disrupt a basically happy situation.

In reality, it is hard to imagine many such situations but the opportunity for appeal does make union recognition more complicated, demanding more time and effort on both parties.

Obscure body to the fore

It also puts a great burden on the Central Arbitration Committee. This is an obscure body destined to become less obscure.

It will mean that the composition of the committee will be crucial. Back in the 1960s, ACAS decided such issues. The union appointees voted as one. So did the employer appointees.

The individual academics tended to side one way or the other (their sympathies came out consistently in the vote). One academic appointee, though, did tend to switch his

vote so in effect every decision was made by him.

It will be much the same with the CAC so who is on it will determine whether unions or employers get the results they want.

Business champion

It is assumed that the composition will be decided by the new Trade and Industry Secretary Stephen Byers.

Clearly, Mr Mandelson would have made very different choices to his predecessor, Margaret Beckett. And that is probably the point of the changes. Labour was committed to the new law in the manifesto.

Mr Mandelson saw himself as the guarantor of business interests in the government.

Labour can not go back to its old fashioned hostility to profitable business and Mr M was the bulwark against that.

The law will be more complicated with a lot more fuzzy definitions, all making work for the lawyers.

Unions emerge bruised from the experience - but recognise that the law is still much better than they could have dreamt of before Labour was elected. The word "betrayal" is not on their lips.

Some of the shine has gone off the love affair, though. That may or may not matter in the future.

—BBC Internet

EU aid budget ignoring poorest

Mike Crawley writes from London

The European Commission has turned development priorities upside down, favouring countries that are geographically close instead of those that have the greatest need. But as Europe prepares to set its development budget for the first six years of the new millennium, Gemini News Service reports that pressure for change is growing.

The statistics couldn't be much starker.

The European Commission spent three-quarters of its development aid on low-income countries during the 1980s. Now those same countries receive barely half.

The shift has come despite a European Union commitment to the international community's goal of bringing half of the world's poor out of extreme poverty by 2015.

It's a shift that must be reversed, according to a growing number of voices, and not just from the South.

The EC's aid spending came under fire in a recent report from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, which represents the major industrialised western economies. Its Development Assistance Committee called into question "how far the EC policy on poverty is reflected in the allocation of aid resources."

The report said: "The EC allocates a lower proportion of its resources to lower income countries than most other donors and there has been a trend for this share to fall over recent years."

Another strong opposing voice comes from Clare Short, Britain's secretary of state for international development. She says EC aid spending is "skewed quite dreadfully against the poorest" and slams its programmes for inefficiency.

Even the EU's Development Council — the development ministers from each European country — has expressed concern about the lack of attention to poverty in its spending.

Europe's international development spending matters because of its size. The EC is the world's fifth-largest development assistance donor, with a current annual budget of \$5.3 billion.

The EC is currently preparing what it calls its "financial perspectives" for the years 2000-2006. These will be the terms that set out how aid money will be spent, and they are scheduled to be signed next March.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at a crucial time. And since the commission will dole out some \$48 billion in aid during that period, those priorities will have a major impact on developing countries.

The British House of Commons international development committee — made up of politicians of all stripes — recently visited Brussels in its investigation into EC aid spending.

That's why the criticism of the EC comes at