

## Rickets: Posing a Serious Threat in Chakoria

by Md Shahidul Haque

WITH a view to prevent permanent disability of the victims of the 1991 tidal surge and cyclone at Chittagong and Cox's Bazar, Social Assistance and Rehabilitation for the Physically Vulnerable (SARPV) went there to perform relief work in May 1991. It was the first of its kind to do relief work only for the disabled people to save them from the curse of lifelong disability.

SARPV rendered various rehabilitative services to 799 disabled people and also gave primary treatment to 1000 injured persons. Out of 799 disabled people who were served, 45.31 per cent were below 10 years in age and 18.52 per cent of these children were victims of rickets.

Observing this severe situation of rickets, a survey to detect the prevalence of rickets at the village of Kakara and Boroitali under the union of Cheringa in consultation with Dr John E Bullock, an orthopaedic surgeon from the Memorial Christian Hospital (MCH), was planned and conducted. Total population of these two villages were 17,000 and 50 per cent of them were of below 15 years in age. It was found that 1.09 per cent of these children were suffering from rickets. It was informed to the local reporter at Lala of a leading vernacular daily and accordingly a report was pub-

lished on its 14-02-92 issue. Another report was published under title "Save the next generation of Chakoria, from the curse of rickets" in one of the English dailies on 20-03-93. In these two reports, it was appealed to both the Government and other non-government agencies to take necessary urgent steps. Also SARPV-Bangladesh financed orthopaedic surgery for some children suffering from rickets with the help of Dr John E Bullock and his colleagues at MCH. As the treatment was very expensive and rickets patients were increasing at an alarming rate, so it was difficult for any organisation to continue it. Saving these children needs repeated surgical intervention, unless the cause of rickets is eradicated, so there was an urge for in-depth study. So, World Health Organization (WHO), and organizations working for children such as Save the Children Fund (SCF)-USA, SCF-UK, ICDR-B were requested for taking necessary actions on this issue.

Meanwhile, Ruhul Amin, First Secretary of Bangladesh Embassy in France was informed of this and he personally took an initiative to consult with AEM, an organization working for the children. AEM sent a team of four to examine the matter.

Dr Simon, biologist from AEM:

Brigadier M A Hafiz of WHO attended a workshop conducted by SARPV-Bangladesh on 3rd and 4th December on the occasion of the World Disability Day 3rd December of 1993. He assured that he would take necessary steps. Later, Bangladesh representative of WHO A N A Abeyasundare issued a letter to the Director General of Health, Mohakhali; Joint Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Planning; the Director, IEDCR-Dhaka; the Director, Primary Health and Diseases Control-Dhaka; Director, Institute of Public Health and Nutrition, Mohakhali-Dhaka; for taking necessary steps. SARPV volunteered field activities for identifying and investigating the rickets patients at Chakoria. In a report of April 19th issue of a widely circulated vernacular daily, the senior scientist of IEDCR and head of the rickets survey team Dr Mushtaq Hossain discussed their findings that deficiency of vitamin D, calcium and phosphate in food the children were taking was the primary cause of rickets at Cox's Bazar.

The same view was expressed by the French expert team consisting of four members — Dr Jean Paul, paediatrician and member of the World Physician Council; Dr Simon, biologist from AEM;

one agronomist and the team leader. SARPV facilitated this team to cover Chakoria Ramu, Cox's Bazar in November 1993 for a brief study. Information on food habit of families having rickets patients and sample of water, soil of these area were collected. X-rays of rickets patients were examined. The team identified that —

• Children suffering from rickets have no significant vitamin-D deficiency in their body but the vitamin seems not to be functioning.

• Their daily food like rice lacks in minimum calcium necessary for health.

• Presence of aluminium in water is very high.

• Soil is very much acidic.

The findings were also shared with Dr Eric Laroche, Chief of the Department of Nutrition and Health of UNICEF, Dhaka. For future study on this issue the French team conducted another comprehensive survey in the 1st week of March 1994 at Chakoria.

It is feared that the problem may not be only with Chakoria, rather it may be a problem in all the areas in and around the coastal belt of our country. It may also be due to environmental degradation which is forcing our future generation to a life fraught with physical ailments and various health hazards.

Between five and 20 other malaria vaccine candidates are lining up for trials, illustrating what may be true significance of the Tanzanian result; that for the first time scientists are ready to get potential malaria vaccines out of the laboratory and into the countries where the disease is a real killer.

This represents a fundamentally new phase of malaria vaccine development.

The Tanzania test demonstrates that we are over half way to developing the first ever effective malaria vaccine," says Dr Tore Godal, director of the UN and World Bank-backed programme for research and training in tropical disease (TDR). "We are now bringing the decades of sophisticated, laboratory research to fruition, in careful, properly monitored human field trials."

Godal urges the research groups, private companies and public institutions working in the field to "forget competition and combine in a global effort to create an effective vaccine."

There had been fears that the controversial "SPF66" vaccine, developed independently by Colombian scientist Manuel Patarroyo, might have caused severe reactions in people highly exposed to malaria, or that it would have no effect on an already primed immune system.

Both concerns have now been proved unfounded. So independent trial monitors have given the go-ahead for tests on 600 children aged between one and five years to see if the immune response created by the vaccine can significantly reduce malarial attacks.

The full effectiveness of the

vaccine in Tanzania should be known later this year, according to an announcement by the TDR, one of the funders of the Tanzanian trial. Anticipating success, the Colombian government has offered to host production facilities, and Prof Patarroyo has predicted an eventual price of under \$2 per treatment.

He has offered patent rights on the vaccine to the World Health Organization, and negotiations on the rights are currently underway.

Even if the final results of the trial — and that of other trials of the same vaccine now underway in Thailand and Gambia — were a total success, the earliest the vaccine could be available for widespread use would be 1998.

More pessimistic estimates run to the year 2005, or never, according to the exact impact of the vaccine on disease and death, on the willingness of donor governments to pay for development of the vaccine, and on the eventual real price and sales potential among tourists and the better-off.

Then there is the problem of delivering the vaccine to those needing protection — principally, young children in Africa; people without immunity moving to malarial areas, such as families involved in resettlement schemes in Brazil, Cambodia and Vietnam; and people in areas prone to

unstable epidemic malaria, such as Madagascar.

WHO is convening a meeting in the middle of next year — the date of completion of all current trials — to decide how to proceed.

Perfect results would indicate immediate production and distribution. Less than perfect might indicate the need for further development work. Development in any case might be needed to simplify the administration of the vaccine, which currently requires three shots 30 and 150 days apart.

The SPF66 vaccine created controversy from the outset because of disputes over the quality of its initial clinical testing, its developing country origin outside the charmed circle of the big research programmes in richer countries, and its innovative design.

It is a clever combination of synthetic peptides derived from the malaria parasite, which Patarroyo discovered by their ability to induce immunity in monkeys.

The Tanzanian trial is a much more severe test for the vaccine, as are those in Gambia and Thailand. A person in the trial area of Kilombero suffers an average 300 bites a year from malaria-infested mosquitoes, which carry the disease from person to person.

In the rainy season in February and May the number of infected bites may rise to 20-25 a night. These figures are about 100 times higher than in Colombia.

As a result, at any moment more than two out of three people in Kilombero are infected with malaria parasites.

Moreover, some 15-20 per cent of the parasites in the area already show resistance to the front-line drug against malaria, chloroquine.

There is no doubt that in Kilombero — and in many other parts of the world where malaria is equally severe — a vaccine would be a welcome addition to the crumpling armaments against the disease.

— Gemini News.

## A Question of Life and Death

Every year, tens of thousands of people are infected with HIV through blood or blood products. And the situation is getting worse, not better. Phyllida Brown reports on the battle for clean blood.

pllications of pregnancy and childbirth.

**A blood-borne epidemic**

A lack of information makes it extremely difficult to say just how big the burden of blood-borne HIV infections is in the most disadvantaged regions of the world. WHO's global estimate can tell us nothing about the number of new infections occurring daily. Nobody knows how many there are, and studies to document them are notoriously difficult to do. But Jean Emmanuel, scientist in blood transfusion systems at WHO's Global Programme on AIDS, fears that the number is likely to be increasing wherever the virus is already at large in a population and where political and economic instability assist its spread. From Somalia to Cambodia, civil war and poverty are fu-

elling the blood-borne epidemic.

In practice, many of the test kits used in Africa are provided as a part of research programmes funded by international bodies and NGOs in the industrialised countries. As interest in AIDS has begun to wane in the West, funding for some research programmes is under threat.

But funding and the provision of test kits are not the whole story. A good blood transfusion system needs much more, says Emmanuel at WHO. First the system must find safe donors, and keep them. Then the service must meet high technical standards for transporting, storing and documenting all the blood collected, as well as testing it reliably and accurately. Finally, the doctors who actually give the blood to patients must use it appropriately.

**To pay or not to pay**

The recruitment of safe donors is at the heart of a good blood system — and it is also one of the toughest challenges in any country. In many countries whose economies are restricted, including some in the former Eastern bloc, it is a deeply-rooted tradition for people to sell, rather than give, their blood.

Even in the industrialised world, pockets remain where blood donors are rewarded. In Germany, some large municipal and university hospitals remunerate their donors with money or perks such as cinema tickets. "We think this is absolutely unacceptable," says Frits Kothe, head of the blood programme at the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC) in Geneva.

Screening blood for HIV — as well as for hepatitis viruses and others — is nevertheless expensive. ELISA tests, which detect the presence of antibodies to HIV, cost at least 65 US cents each — even with bulk-purchase deals negotiated by WHO.

The uses of blood in the developing countries are often different from those in the North. In sub-Saharan Africa, blood is given mainly to treat anaemia caused by Malaria — a problem which is now worsening with the spread of drug-resistant malaria parasites — and to women suffering from

epilepsy, which is a disease of the brain, like any other chronic disease of other organs of the body. It occurs as a result of an excessive electric discharge from the brain. It might have many underlying causes, some are curable permanently, some may not be. A large number of cases result from preventable causes.

An educated mother living in Dhaka never visited a physician during her pregnancy.

During her prolonged labour stage, instead of taking her to an obstetrician she was kept home and the child was born without spontaneous respiration. After two weeks the child developed epilepsy, which is an inevitable result of a difficult or prolonged labour in most of the cases. But this mother has been blamed by her family members for the child's illness and was told that she has some fault in her blood. Which was obviously not true. Inhibitions and bigotry such as these must be removed before we can ever think of a nation consisting of people with sound health.

— Gemini News.

**Banking blood**

Last year, scandal erupted in Germany when it emerged that three people had been infected by plasma products made by a company that had failed to follow the proper procedure for testing for HIV. Millions of Germans were offered free HIV tests and panic gripped the country. But despite hiccups such as this, the blood supplies of the industrialised nations are now considered to be comparatively safe. It is the developing world which bears the far greater burden.

**In Western Europe, North America and the richer Asian and Pacific countries, blood is collected largely from volunteer donors and banked for future use. Even so, many of these countries lack a national, coordinated system but instead rely on a patchwork of services. In the US, the Red Cross is responsible for about half of all blood supplied.**

**In the poorer countries of South Asia and most of Africa — with exceptions such as South Africa, Namibia, Zimbabwe and Botswana — much looser systems operate with a mixture of hospital-based and centrally-funded services. Often very little blood is banked and most transfusions are obtained ad-hoc from emergency donors, often relatives.**

**Testing times**

But despite the clear incentives to make blood safe, there has been little progress. Why? An obvious answer would be that it simply costs too much to provide safe blood. In some African countries, the health budget is as little as \$3 per person per year, while the average cost of a unit of blood ready for transfusion is estimated at about \$30. At face value, this looks like an impossible drain on the country's purse. But Emmanuel at WHO stresses that because not everybody needs a blood transfusion, the total cost of providing blood is estimated to be only about 1.5% of the health budget.

**To pay or not to pay**

The recruitment of safe donors is at the heart of a good blood system — and it is also one of the toughest challenges in any country. In many countries whose economies are restricted, including some in the former Eastern bloc, it is a deeply-rooted tradition for people to sell, rather than give, their blood.

Even in the industrialised world, pockets remain where blood donors are rewarded. In Germany, some large municipal and university hospitals remunerate their donors with money or perks such as cinema tickets. "We think this is absolutely unacceptable," says Frits Kothe, head of the blood programme at the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC) in Geneva.

Screening blood for HIV — as well as for hepatitis viruses and others — is nevertheless expensive. ELISA tests, which detect the presence of antibodies to HIV, cost at least 65 US cents each — even with bulk-purchase deals negotiated by WHO.

The uses of blood in the developing countries are often different from those in the North. In sub-Saharan Africa, blood is given mainly to treat anaemia caused by Malaria — a problem which is now worsening with the spread of drug-resistant malaria parasites — and to women suffering from

epilepsy, which is a disease of the brain, like any other chronic disease of other organs of the body. It occurs as a result of an excessive electric discharge from the brain. It might have many underlying causes, some are curable permanently, some may not be. A large number of cases result from preventable causes.

An educated mother living in Dhaka never visited a physician during her pregnancy.

During her prolonged labour stage, instead of taking her to an obstetrician she was kept home and the child was born without spontaneous respiration. After two weeks the child developed epilepsy, which is an inevitable result of a difficult or prolonged labour in most of the cases. But this mother has been blamed by her family members for the child's illness and was told that she has some fault in her blood. Which was obviously not true. Inhibitions and bigotry such as these must be removed before we can ever think of a nation consisting of people with sound health.

— Gemini News.

**Banking blood**

Last year, scandal erupted in Germany when it emerged that three people had been infected by plasma products made by a company that had failed to follow the proper procedure for testing for HIV. Millions of Germans were offered free HIV tests and panic gripped the country. But despite hiccups such as this, the blood supplies of the industrialised nations are now considered to be comparatively safe. It is the developing world which bears the far greater burden.

**In Western Europe, North America and the richer Asian and Pacific countries, blood is collected largely from volunteer donors and banked for future use. Even so, many of these countries lack a national, coordinated system but instead rely on a patchwork of services. In the US, the Red Cross is responsible for about half of all blood supplied.**

**Testing times**

But despite the clear incentives to make blood safe, there has been little progress. Why? An obvious answer would be that it simply costs too much to provide safe blood. In some African countries, the health budget is as little as \$3 per person per year, while the average cost of a unit of blood ready for transfusion is estimated at about \$30. At face value, this looks like an impossible drain on the country's purse. But Emmanuel at WHO stresses that because not everybody needs a blood transfusion, the total cost of providing blood is estimated to be only about 1.5% of the health budget.

**To pay or not to pay**

The recruitment of safe donors is at the heart of a good blood system — and it is also one of the toughest challenges in any country. In many countries whose economies are restricted, including some in the former Eastern bloc, it is a deeply-rooted tradition for people to sell, rather than give, their blood.

Even in the industrialised world, pockets remain where blood donors are rewarded. In Germany, some large municipal and university hospitals remunerate their donors with money or perks such as cinema tickets. "We think this is absolutely unacceptable," says Frits Kothe, head of the blood programme at the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC) in Geneva.

Screening blood for HIV — as well as for hepatitis viruses and others — is nevertheless expensive. ELISA tests, which detect the presence of antibodies to HIV, cost at least 65 US cents each — even with bulk-purchase deals negotiated by WHO.

The uses of blood in the developing countries are often different from those in the North. In sub-Saharan Africa, blood is given mainly to treat anaemia caused by Malaria — a problem which is now worsening with the spread of drug-resistant malaria parasites — and to women suffering from

epilepsy, which is a disease of the brain, like any other chronic disease of other organs of the body. It occurs as a result of an excessive electric discharge from the brain. It might have many underlying causes, some are curable permanently, some may not be. A large number of cases result from preventable causes.

An educated mother living in Dhaka never visited a physician during her pregnancy.

During her prolonged labour stage, instead of taking her to an obstetrician she was kept home and the child was born without spontaneous respiration. After two weeks the child developed epilepsy, which is an inevitable result of a difficult or prolonged labour in most of the cases. But this mother has been blamed by her family members for the child's illness and was told that she has some fault in her blood. Which was obviously not true. Inhibitions and bigotry such as these must be removed before we can ever think of a nation consisting of people with sound health.

— Gemini News.

**Banking blood**

Last year, scandal erupted in Germany when it emerged that three people had been infected by plasma products made by a company that had failed to follow the proper procedure for testing for HIV. Millions of Germans were offered free HIV tests and panic gripped the country. But despite hiccups such as this, the blood supplies of the industrialised nations are now considered to be comparatively safe. It is the developing world which bears the far greater burden.

**In Western Europe, North America and the richer Asian and Pacific countries, blood is collected largely from volunteer donors and banked for future use. Even so, many of these countries lack a national, coordinated system but instead rely on a patchwork of services. In the US, the Red Cross is responsible for about half of all blood supplied.**

**Testing times**

But despite the clear incentives to make blood safe, there has been little progress. Why? An obvious answer would be that it simply costs too much to provide safe blood. In some African countries, the health budget is as little as \$3 per person per year, while the average cost of a unit of blood ready for transfusion is estimated at about \$30. At face value, this looks like an impossible drain on the country's purse. But Emmanuel at WHO stresses that because not everybody needs a blood transfusion, the total cost of providing blood is estimated to be only about 1.5% of the health budget.

**To pay or not to pay**

The recruitment of safe donors is at the heart of a good blood system — and it is also one of the toughest challenges in any country. In many countries whose economies are